Exponential stability and synchronization results for Caputo fractional order impulsive differential equation

Akshara Asok ^a, K Pooja Lakshmi ^b

^{a,b} Department of Mathematics, Amrita School of Arts and Sciences, Kochi, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, India

^aakshara.asok24sep@gmail.com

^bpoojalakshmi98k@gmail.com

Article History: Received: 24 January 2021; Revised: 25 February 2021; Accepted: 28 March 2021; Published: 4 June 2021

Abstract: This paper studies exponential stability results for Caputo fractional order impulsive differential equations. We derive some new sufficient conditions for the given system using Convex Lyapunov function and matrix inequality approach. In addition we have incorporated the discussion of exponential synchronization for the error system derived from the given drive system and its response system. The obtained results are validated through fractional order Lorenz system

Keywords: Lyapunov function, matrix inequality approach, exponential stability, exponential synchronization, Caputo fractional order impulsive differential equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the recent years, the nonlinear fractional differential equations (NFDEs) remarkably received notable attention due to its application in electronics, bio engineering, epidemiology, physics, engineering, biology, etc. In fact, the nonlinear fractional differential equations exhibit chaos, for example, Lorenz system[22], Lu system[23], Chen system[24], etc. Therefore, the investigation of stability of nonlinear differential equations of fractional order is unavoidable.

On the contrary, controllers play an vital role in the chaotic fractional differential equations. Al- ready some valuable results have been obtained for stability and synchronization based in numerous controllers. In[9] using a convex and positive definite Lyapunov function with fractional order derivative as negative definite, it is revealed that the fractional order system is Mittag Leffler stable. [25] and [26] proposes certain stability conditions through matrix inequality for fractional order systems.[27] and [28] discusses the designing of feedback controllers for stabilization of fraction order systems using LMI conditions. In [17] the synchronization is investigated and based on Lyapunov stability a different fractional order controller for synchronization which is hyper chaotic is studied. In [18] the synchronization is investigated among fractional order hyper-chaotic systems and hyper chaotic integer order systems through a sliding mode type of controller and through proper drive, response system and parameters.

However, the impulse effects widely exist while investigating nonlinear differential equations whose order is fractional. Impulses can make sudden changes in the nature of the systems and many researchers contribute to initiate their research in this area. Recently, impulsive effects have been used as control point of view, for example neural networks[11], epidemic models[12], biological models[14], financial models [13], economic models etc. It is a recently developed branch of control theory and is important in secure communication[8]. In [29] uses impulsive controller to stabilize chaotic behavior of fractional order system. In [20] re-evaluates some of the important conclusions made on the stability of impulsive systems. In [30] by applying Lyapunov stability and LMI, the impulsive synchronization of different and same structure chaotic fractional order system is studied.

Inspired from the above mentioned results this paper focus a computationally strong approach to construct an impulsive stabilizing and an impulsive synchronizing controller for nonlinear fractional

impulsive differential equation. The central features of this work are summarized as follows

- (i) By using Lyapunov convex function and matrix inequality approach, we derive some new sufficient conditions for exponential stability and exponential synchronization for nonlinear fractional order impulsive differential equations.
- (ii) The derived results are new and better than past literature[17][27][28].

The rest of this paper is as follows through some notations, definitions and lemmas in the preliminary section 2. In section 3, we prove exponential stability and exponential synchronization of fractional order impulsive differential equation. In section 4, proposed results are validated by an illustrative example that is Lorenz system. We end the paper by conclusion

Notations

 $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \ge 0\}$ where R is the the set of real numbers, $^+ = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$, \mathbb{R}^n is the Euclidean space. $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the set of all real matrices, $\|.\|_2$ is the 2-norm where $\|.\|_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition1. The Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ on the half axis \mathbb{R}^+ is defined as

$${}_{t_{0}}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(g(t)\right) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-a)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{g^{(n)}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{(\alpha-n+1)}} d\tau$$

with $n = \min \{ k \in N | k > \alpha > 0 \}$, where $g^{(n)}(t)$ is the n-order derivative of g(t) and $\Gamma(.)$ is the Gamma function[9].

Definition2. The Riemann Liouville Fractional derivative of order α of function g(t) is defined as

$$_{t_{0}}^{R}D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(g(t)\right) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-a)} \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} t - \tau^{(\alpha-n-1)} g(\tau) d\tau$$

Where, $n - 1 \leq \alpha < n$; $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Model Description

Consider the fractional order impulsive control Caputo fractional differential equation of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{0}^{L}D_{t}^{\alpha} x(t) = Ax(t) + Bf(x(t)); t \neq t_{k}, t \geq t_{0} \\ & x(t_{k}) = B_{k} (x(t_{k})); t = t_{k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \\ & x(t_{0}) = x_{0}, \end{aligned}$$
(1)

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ are constant matrices, $f(x(t)):\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is the non-linear function vector, $B_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ is impulsive gain matrix, $t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \dots < t_k$ with $\lim_{k \to \infty} tk = +\infty$. We assume that x(t) is right continuous at $t = t_k$ and $x(t_k^+) = x(t_k^-)$.

Assumption 1: f(x(t)) is continuous and satisfied Lipschitz condition on \mathbb{R}^{n} .

Lemma 1:[16] For the given vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any positive constant $\mathcal{E} > 0$, the following inequality holds:

$$2\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\mathbf{y}(t) \leq \varepsilon \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\mathbf{x}(t) + \varepsilon^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\mathbf{y}(t)$$

Lemma 2:[9]Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If V(h(t)) : $\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and h(t) : $[0,\infty) \to \omega$ are two continuous and differentiable functions and V(h(t)) is convex over ω , then

$${}_{t0}^{C}D_{t}^{\alpha} \operatorname{V}(\mathbf{h}(t)) \leq \frac{\partial v^{T}}{\partial h} {}_{t0}^{C}D_{t}^{\alpha} (\mathbf{h}(t)), \forall \alpha \in (0,1), \forall t \geq 0$$

.Specially for any P > 0, when

 $h(t) = h^{T}(t)Ph(t)$

then the following well known inequality holds;

$${}_{t0}^{C}D_{t}^{\alpha} (\mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\mathbf{P}\mathbf{h}(t)) \leq 2\mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\mathbf{P}_{t0}^{C}D_{t}^{\alpha} (\mathbf{h}(t)).$$

Lemma 3: For all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and a real valued continuous function G(t) on $[a, \infty)$, if there exist a constant θ such that ${}_{t0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}(G(t)) < \theta G(t)$; $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ then,

$$G(t) \le G(a)e^{\int_{a}^{t}\frac{\theta}{n\alpha}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1}} d\tau$$
$$= G(a)e^{\frac{\theta}{\Gamma\alpha-1}(t-a)^{\alpha}}$$

3.MAIN RESULT

Using convex Lyapunov function, exponential stability of nonlinear Caputo fractional order system is studied.

Exponential stability results

Theorem 3.1: Let Q be a positive definite matrix. If there exists ϵ , γ , $\mu > 0$ and $\zeta^k > 1$ such that the following conditions

I. $QA + A^TQ + \epsilon QBB^TQ + \epsilon^{-1}L^2 \leq -\gamma Q$,

II.
$$B_k^T Q B_k < e^{-\mu} Q$$

III. $\zeta^k \ e^{-\mu_k} \ e^{-\frac{\eta}{\lceil \alpha+1}(t_k-t_{k-1})^{\alpha}} < 1 \; ,$

are satisfied. Then the system (1) is exponentially stable.

Proof. By assumption 1, the solution of equation (1) is piece-wise right continuous function. Consider the convex Lyapunov function

$$\mathbf{W}(t) = \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{y}(t)$$

Taking Caputo derivative and by using Lemma 2, when $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$\begin{split} {}_{t0}^{\ C}D_t^{\alpha}\left(W(t)\right) &\leq 2y^{T}(t)Q\{ {}_{t0}^{\ C}D_t^{\alpha}(y(t)) \ = 2y^{T}(t)Q[Ay(t)+Bf(y(t))] \\ &= 2y^{T}(t)QAy(t)+2y^{T}(t)QBf(y(t)) \\ &\leq y^{T}(t)QAy^{T}(t)+y(t)A^{T}Qy(t)+2y^{T}(t)QBf(y(t)) \end{split}$$

 ${}^{C}_{t0} D^{\alpha}_{t}(W(t)) \leq \ y^{T}(t) QAy(t) + y^{T}(t) A^{T} Qy(t) + \ y^{T}(t) QBB^{T} Qy(t) + e^{-1} f^{T}(y(t)) f(y(t))$

By assumption 1, it follows that

$$\begin{split} {}^{C}_{t0}D^{\alpha}_{t}(W(t)) &= y^{T}(t)QAy(t) + y^{T}(t)A^{T}\,Qy(t) + \ y^{T}(t)QBB^{T}\,Qy(t) + \ e^{-1}Ly^{T}(t)Ly(t) \\ &= y^{T}(t)QAy(t) + y^{T}(t)A^{T}\,Qy(t) + y^{T}(t)QBB^{T}Qy(t) + e^{-1}y^{T}(t)Ly(t) \\ &= y^{T}(t)[QA + A^{T}Q + QBB^{T}Q + e^{-1}L^{2}]y(t) \end{split}$$

Now take $QA + A^TQ + QBB^TQ + e^{-1}L^2 \le -\gamma Q$

Thus

$$\int_{t0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha}(W(t)) \leq y^{T}(-\gamma Q)y(t)$$
$$\leq -\gamma y^{T} Q y(t)$$

That is

$$_{t0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha}(W(t)) \leq -\gamma W(y(t))$$

By using lemma 3, we have

 $W(t) \leq W(t_k) \; e^{\frac{-\eta}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left(t-t_k\right)^{\alpha}} \quad , \, t \in (t_k, t_{k+1})$

When $t = t_k$ it follows from second equation of system (1) that

$$\begin{split} W(t_k) &= y^T(t_k)Qy(t_k) \\ &= [(B_k)y(t_k^-)]^T \ Q(B_k)y(t_k^-) \\ &= y^T(t_k^-)(B_k)^T \ Q(B_k)y(t_k^-) \end{split}$$

Now by taking $(B_k)^T Q(B_k) < e^{-\mu} Q$

Then $W(t_k) \leq y^T(t_k^-) e^{-\mu} Q y(t_k^-)$ $= e^{-\mu} y^T(t_k^-) Q y(t_k^-)$ Thus

$$W(t_k) \le e^{-\mu} W(t_k^-)$$

Now by using lemma 3 we can write for any $t\epsilon(t_0, t_1)$, we have

$$W(t) \leq W(t_0) e^{\frac{-\gamma}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}(t-t_0)^{\alpha}}$$

which leads to:

$$W(t_1^-) \leq W(t_0) e^{\frac{-\gamma}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}(t_1-t_0)^{\alpha}}$$

Next for any $t\epsilon(t_1, t_2)$,

$$W(t) \le W(t_1) e^{\frac{-\gamma}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}(t-t_1)^{\alpha}}$$

$$\le W(t_0) e^{-\mu} e^{\frac{-\gamma}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}[(t-t_1)^{\alpha} + (t_1-t_0)^{\alpha}]}$$

Similarly, for any $t \epsilon(t_k, t_{k+1})$ we have,

$$W(t) \leq W(t_k) e^{\frac{-\gamma}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}(t-t_k)^{\alpha}}$$
$$\leq W(t_0) \prod_{i=1}^k e^{-\mu i} e^{\frac{-\gamma}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}(t_i-t_{i-1})^{\alpha}} \times e^{\frac{-\gamma}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}(t-t_k)^{\alpha}}$$

Now by taking $\zeta^k e^{-\mu_k} e^{-\frac{\eta}{|\alpha+1}(t_k - t_{k-1})^{\alpha}} < 1$,

we get

$$W(t) \leq W(t_0) \frac{1}{\zeta^k} e^{\frac{-\gamma}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}(t-t_k)^{\alpha}}$$

Where $\frac{1}{\zeta^k} \to \mathbf{0}$ as $k \to \infty$, then $W(t) \le \mathbf{0}$.

Then system 1 is exponentially stable.

3.2 Exponential Synchronization

Consider drive system

The corresponding response system can be described as follows

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{t_0}^{C} D_t^{\alpha} y(t) &= A y(t) + B f(y(t)) ; t = t_k , t \ge t_0 \\ y(t_k^+) &= C y(t_k^-) ; t = t_k \\ y(t_0) &= y_0 \end{aligned}$$
(5)

Define the error variable as $\theta(t) = y(t) - x(t)$. Then we obtained error system from (5) – (4), it defined as

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{t_0}^C D_t^{\alpha} \theta(t) &= A \ \theta(t) + B \ f(\theta(t)) \ ; \ t = t_k \ , \ t \ge t_0 \\ \theta(t_k^+) &= C \ \theta(t_k^-) \ ; \ t = t_k \\ \theta(t_0) &= \ \theta_0 \end{aligned}$$
(6)

where $f(\theta(t)) = f(\theta(t) + x(t)) - f(x(t))$.

Theorem 3.2: Let Q be a positive definite matrix. If there exists γ , $\mu_k > 0$ and $\zeta^k > 1$ such that the following conditions

I. $QA + A^TQ + \epsilon QBB^TQ + \epsilon^{-1}L^2 \leq -\gamma Q$, II. $B_k^TQB_k < e^{-\mu}Q$,

III.
$$\zeta^k \ e^{-\mu_k} \ e^{-\frac{\eta}{|\alpha+1}(t_k - t_{k-1})^{\alpha}} < 1 ,$$

are satisfied. Then the system (6) is exponentially synchronized.

PROOF: The proof is similar to Theorem 3.1, thus we omit it.

4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section, we give two illustrative examples to verify the effectiveness of exponential stability and exponential synchronization results obtained in the previous section.

Example 1: Consider the three- dimensional Lorenz system

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{t_0}^C D_t^{\alpha} x_1(t) &= 10(x_2 - x_1) , \\ {}_{t_0}^C D_t^{\alpha} x_2(t) &= -28x_1 - x_2 - x_1 x_3 \\ {}_{t_0}^C D_t^{\alpha} x_3(t) &= x_1 x_2 - \frac{8}{3} x_3 \end{aligned}$$

The above system can be represented as a fractional order impulsive control as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t_0}^{C} D_t^{\alpha} x(t) = A x(t) + B f(x(t)) ; t = t_k , t \ge t_0 \\ & x(t_k^+) = C x(t_k^-) ; t = t_k \end{aligned}$$

(7)

$$\mathbf{x}(t_0) = x_0 \, \epsilon \, \mathbb{R}^3$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1, x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -10 & 10 & 0 \\ -28 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{8}{3} \end{bmatrix}, \ f(x(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -x_1 x_3 \\ x_1 x_2 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ C = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that, the initial conditions are chosen as $x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}^T$. By using Theorem 3.1, we found that the matrix inequality conditions are satisfied with Lipschitz constant L= 0.5, $\epsilon = 0.01$, $\mu_k = 0.5$, $\gamma = 0.01$ and we get

| | 0.0697 | 0.0221 | 0] |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| $\mathbf{P} =$ | 0.0221 | 0.1855 | 0 |
| | L O | 0 | 0.1263 |

Therefore, the systems (7) achieves exponential stability and similarly achieve exponential synchronization by Theorem 3.2.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have obtained exponential stability and exponential synchronization results for nonlinear fractional order impulsive differential equations. By using the convex Lyapunov function and matrix inequality approach we achieved the desired conditions for given system

References

1. Pu, Yifei, et al. "A recursive two-circuits series analog fractance circuit for any order fractional calculus". ICO20: Optical Information Processing. Vol. 6027. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2006.

2. Magin, R. L., and M. Ovadia. "Modeling the cardiac tissue electrode interface using fractional calculus." Journal of Vibration and Control 14.9-10 (2008): 1431-1442.

3. Pooseh, Shakoor, Helena Sofia Rodrigues, and Delfim FM Torres. "Fractional derivatives in dengue epidemics." AIP Conference Proceedings. Vol. 1389. No. 1. American Institute of Physics, 2011.

- 4. Tenreiro Machado, J. A., et al. "Some applications of fractional calculus in engineering."
- 5. Mathematical problems in engineering 2010 (2010).
- 6. Hilfer, Rudolf, ed. "Applications of fractional calculus in physics." World scientific, 2000

7. Mainardi, Francesco. "Fractional calculus." Fractals and fractional calculus in continuum mechanics. Springer, Vienna, 1997. 291-348.

8. Zhang, Qunjiao, and Jun-an Lu. "Chaos synchronization of a new chaotic system via nonlinear control." Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 37.1 (2008): 175-179.

9. Yang, Tao, and Leon O. Chua. "*Impulsive control and synchronization of nonlinear dynamical systems and application to secure communication*." International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos 7.03 (1997): 645-664.

10. Chen, Weisheng, et al. "Convex Lyapunov functions for stability analysis of fractional order systems." IET Control Theory and Applications 11.7 (2017): 1070-1074.

11. Craiem, D. O., et al. "Fractional calculus applied to model arterial viscoelasticity." Latin American applied research 38.2 (2008): 141-145.

12. Li, Xiaodi, and Shiji Song. "Impulsive control for existence, uniqueness, and global stability of periodic solutions of recurrent neural networks with discrete and continuously distributed delays." IEEE Transactions on neural networks and learning systems 24.6 (2013): 868-877.

13. Wang, Limin, Lansun Chen, and Juan J. Nieto. "*The dynamics of an epidemic model for pest control with impulsive effect.*" Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications 11.3 (2010): 1374-1386.

14. Sun, Jitao, Fei Qiao, and Qidi Wu. "Impulsive control of a financial model." Physics Letters A 335.4 (2005): 282-288.

15. Stamova, Ivanka, and Gani Stamov. "Functional and impulsive differential equations of fractional order: qualitative analysis and applications." CRC Press, 2017.

16. Mahmoud, Gamal M., Mansour E. Ahmed, and Tarek M. Abed-Elhameed. "Active control technique of fractional-order chaotic complex systems." The European Physical Journal Plus 131.6 (2016): 1-11.

17. Zhang, Shuo, Yongguang Yu, and Junzhi Yu. textit"LMI conditions for global stability of fractional-order neural networks." IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems 28.10 (2016): 2423-2433.

18. Li, Tianzeng, Yu Wang, and Yong Yang. "Synchronization of fractional-order hyperchaotic systems via fractional-order controllers." Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society 2014 (2014).

19. Wu, Yan-Ping, and Guo-Dong Wang. "Synchronization between fractional-order and integer- order hyperchaotic systems via sliding mode controller." Journal of Applied Mathematics 2013 (2013).

20. Ding, Yongsheng, Zidong Wang, and Haiping Ye. "Optimal control of a fractional-order HIVimmune system with memory." IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology 20.3 (2011): 763-769.

21. Liu, Bin, et al. "Review of some control theory results on uniform stability of impulsive systems." Mathematics 7.12 (2019): 1186.

22. Yang, Shuai, et al. "Exponential stability of fractional-order impulsive control systems with applications in synchronization." IEEE transactions on cybernetics 50.7 (2019): 3157-3168.

23. L. Chen, Y. Chai, R. Wu, J. Yang, Stability and stabilization of a class of nonlinear fractionalorder systems with caputo derivative, IEEE. Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs 59 (9) (2012) 602606.

24. J. G. Lu, Chaotic dynamics of the fractional-order l system and its synchronization, Physics Letters A 354 (4) (2006) 305311.

25. T. Li, Y. Wang, Stability of a class of fractional-order nonlinear systems, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society

26. Moze, Mathieu, Jocelyn Sabatier, and Alain Oustaloup. LMI tools for stability analysis of fractional systems. International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference.Vol. 47438. 2005.

27. Moze, Mathieu, Jocelyn Sabatier, and Alain Oustaloup. LMI characterization of fractional systems stability. Advances in Fractional Calculus.Springer, Dordrecht, 2007.419-434.

28. Wei, Yiheng, et al. General output feedback stabilization for fractional order systems: an LMI approach. Abstract and Applied Analysis.Vol.2014.Hindawi, 2014.

29. Faieghi, Mohammadreza, et al. LMI-based stabilization of a class of fractional-order chaotic systems. Nonlinear Dynamics 72.1 (2013):301-309.

30. Danca, Marius-F., Michal Fekan, and Guanrong Chen.Impulsive stabilization of chaos in fractional-order systems. Nonlinear Dynamics 89.3 (2017): 1889-1903.

31. Li, Dong, and Xingpeng Zhang. Impulsive synchronization of fractional order chaotic systems with time-delay. Neurocomputing 216 (2016): 39-44