Analysis of Emotion Recognition Model Using Electroencephalogram (EEG) Signals Based on Stimuli Text

Khodijah Hulliyah¹, Normi Sham Bt. Awang Abu Bakar²

¹Syarif Hidayatullah State Islamic University (UIN), Jakarta, Indonesia ²Computer Science Department, KICT International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Gombak, Malaysia ¹khodijah.hulliyah@uinjkt.ac.id, ²nsham@iium.edu.my

Article History: Received: 10 November 2020; Revised: 12 January 2021; Accepted: 27 January 2021; Published online: 05 April 2021

Abstract:Recognizing emotions through the brain wave approach with facial or sound expression is widely used, but few use text stimuli. Therefore, this study aims to analyze the emotion recognition experiment by stimulating sentiment-tones using EEG. The process of classifying emotions uses a random forest model approach which is compared with two models, namely Support Vector Machine and decision tree as benchmarks. The raw data used comes from the results of scrapping Twitter data. The dataset of emotional annotation was carried out manually based on four classifications, specifically: happiness, sadness, fear, and anger. The annotated dataset was tested using an Electroencephalogram (EEG) device attached to the participant's head to determine the brain waves appearing after reading the text. The results showed that the random forest model has the highest accuracy level with a rate of 98% which is slightly different from the decision tree with 88%. Meanwhile, in SVM the accuracy results are less good with a rate of 32%. Furthermore, the match level of angry emotions from the three models above during manual annotation and using the EEG device showed a high number with an average value above 90%, because reading with angry expressions is easier to perform.

For this reason, this study aims to test the emotion recognition experiment by stimulating sentiment-tones using EEG. The process of classifying emotions uses a random forest model approach which is compared with two models, namely SVM and decision tree as benchmarks. The dataset used comes from the results of scrapping Twitter data.

Keywords: Emotion recognition model, EEG signals, sentiment text stimuli

1. Introduction

Emotion recognition in the computer field is growing rapidly nowadays Computer machine can identify the same emotion recognition and continue learning to the new data rapidly. Several studies using different approach to detect the human emotion aims to improve the communication amongst human and machine and to make an effective, usable, and easier interaction. Furthermore, the elaboration of NLP and Brain Computer Interface (BCI) is becoming more interesting and challenging due to the massive development of the Internet, especially social media. The research of human and machine interaction has become an important part of today.

Various algorithm models are offered in emotion recognition with a dataset of words on the Internet. Also, sentiment analysis is carried out in several studies for identification and classification purpose. However, the emotional recognition stages such as analyzing the text structure model on social media [1], classifying hate speech in tweets [2], as well as several algorithms, such as random forest [3], [4], and deep learning methods [5] has become the concern of researchers. Furthermore, pre-processing stages in text analysis was also conducted to recognize the emotional recognition. Some researchers carried out study to classify the emotions using feature extracting from the text by the word embedding technique [6]. The other research was conducted to compare several algorithms in machine learning [7], as well as a survey of sentiment analysis models to detect and recognize emotions [8]–[10].

The research on BCI has also been widely carried out, especially in emotional recognition. Consequently, [11], [12] conducted a survey in recognizing brain wave activity in various events and found that it controls thoughts, feelings, and behavior. Furthermore, human speech, behavior, and writing indicate a mood or emotion that is going on [13]. Moreover, the dataset on various stimuli in determining brain waves is also a concern for the researchers to analyze emotions [14]. The Electroencephalogram (EEG) signals that the brain's electrical information has been highly valued over the past few years. In addition, an EEG device, depending on the application, uses 3 to 256 electrodes placed on the scalp to record relative voltages. For example, in clinical applications, 8 to 32 EEG channels are usually required.

However, few findings use EEG to translate emotions in texts with a little difference in the process of analyzing the sentiment. Thus, the main objective of this research is to analyze several models for emotion recognition for detecting the suitability level of reader's emotions based on texts stimuli modal using EEG signals.

2. Related Works

Several findings of previous related articles have provided an important guide for this research. Consequently, the articles related to this research are the process of extracting features from signal waves to numbers, performing text sentiment annotations for stimuli, and algorithmic models for classifying emotions.

An article by [15] found new extraction features to get better accuracy values in detecting emotions by dividing two features, namely time and frequency domain. In this research, the classifiers used are SVM, KNN, and neural network. Furthermore, another article uses the extraction method in building vector features by also comparing three classifiers, namely rule-based, decision tree, and SVM [16].

Besides, there is a survey article about several methods of the framework and components of BCI, band, and frequency of brain wave signals that was carried out by (Deepak et al. 2015) and a review paper on several types of the systems to recognize human emotions [12]. As a result, these articles give ease to determine the model with the best accuracy.

Emotion Recognition								
The Topic	Main Discussion	The Papers						
Emotion	Discrete Basic Emotion	Emotion analysis using SAM (Self-assessment						
Classification		manikin) scale [17], CBE : Corpus-Based of						
		Emotion for Emotion Detection in Text Document						
		[18]						
	VA Emotion Dimension	Sentiment Analysis: Detecting Valence, Emotions,						
		and Other A actual States from Text [19], Norms of						
		valence, arousal, and dominance for 13,915 English						
		lemmas [20], Predicting Valence-Arousal Ratings of						
		Words Using a Weighted Graph Method [21]						
	Sentiment Words	Emotion Level Sentiment Analysis: The Affective						
		Opinion Evaluation [22], A model for sentiment and						
		emotion analysis of unstructured social media text						
		[1], Analytical mapping of opinion mining and						
		sentiment analysis research during 2000–2015 [23]						
	Emotion Classification	Approaches of Emotion Detection from						
	Methods	Text[24], Ontology-Based Textual Emotion						
		Detection [25],						
		Affect Detection: An Interdisciplinary Review of						
		Models, Methods, and Their Applications [26]						
	Brain Wave usage	Emotion classification based on brain wave: a survey						
		[27], Emotion Analysis using Different Stimuli with						
		EEG Signals in Emotional Space [14]						
Algorithm approach	Naïve Bayes	A Benchmark Corpus for Sentiment Analysis of the						
		Indonesian Presidential Election in 2019 Using						
		Emotion Word Annotation [28]						
	SVM	Emotion classification of EEG brain signal using						
		SVM and KNN [29]						
	Random Forest	Research on machine learning framework based on						
		random forest algorithm [4]						
	Deep Learning	Sentiment analysis using deep learning approaches:						
		an overview [10]						
Stimuli for Brain	Facial Expression	Fusion of Facial Expressions and EEG for						
Wave Dataset		Multimodal Emotion Recognition [30]						
	Speech Recognition	Investigation on dynamic speech emotion from the						
		perspective of brain associative memory [31]						
	Sentiment Text Based							

Table 1. The Emotion Recognition Related Papers

In the table above, the algorithm widely used to recognize emotions with Electroencephalogram signals are naïve Bayes, SVM, decision tree, and machine learning techniques as well as random forest models. Furthermore, the stimuli modal for the dataset on brain waves mostly use facial and speech (audio) expressions. Meanwhile, detecting emotions with text-based is still rarely studied.

3. Research Methodology

The proposed method for emotion recognition analysis consists of the following tasks, namely dataset collection, initial processing, signal extraction, and algorithm model analysis. Figure 1 shows each step in the proposed method.



Figure 1. The Conceptual Framework of Emotion Recognition Model

The Electroencephalogram signals and sentiment texts from twitter crawled 6000 tweet dataset. However, two participants annotated the it to four basic emotion classification. EEG signals were recorded using an Emotiv EPOC wireless device, but videos are not used in this research. During the collection of data, stimulants were presented to each participant in the order of happy, sadness, fear, and angry emotions.

3.1. Data Collection

In the first step, we compiled twitter tweets with the topic of the 2019 presidential election, which was collected from January 1, 2018, to October 9, 2018 by scrapping technique, with related emotions that were manually annotated. Scrapping is a process of getting small fragments of something. In our case, it is web scraping, so here we are taking fragments of information available on a website. This study, the process of retrieving of the twitter data scraping using the "tweet-scraper" module found in Python, with the keyword "2019 presidential election". Scraping twitter data was taken from January 1, 2018, to October 9, 2018. Data obtained as many as 116,961 tweets containing "presidential election 2019". The raw from crawling data twitter obtained is stored in JSON format.

Below are the tweets result after being annotated manually by the participants. Besides, the classification process grouped the same data of 1000 tweets into four classes of emotion words, which include happy, sad, angry, and fear. Consequently, each document classified will be categorized into the most dominant class.

1	ち・ご・ a data_anotasi_tweet - Excel Sign in C	1 0 – 11	8	×				
File Home. Insert PageLayout Formulas Data Review View Help 📿 Tell mewhatyouwantto.do								
P	n ★ C.d.	n * A Z Sort & Filter*	Pind & Select -	^				
E	<i>A</i> ∨ × :: ▼			¥				
A	A	D	E	E a				
1 3 5 7 8 11 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 22 24 25 26 27 28 30 32 37 38 39 40 41 42 41 42 41 42 41 42 42 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44	ni de la fanz 1a Fernandi Dakurgan ban Capres Prabava, tap Sulti Rah Sung Vatera, Kenga Brilger 2019 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020	anota.at anota.at angry fear happy happy happy sadness al fear fear fear fear fear fear sadness ar sadness as sadness sadness sadness as sadness as sadness as sadness as sadness as sadness an sadness an sadness as sadness as sadness as sadness an sadness as sadness an sadness as sadness as sadness as sadness as sadness as sadness as sadness an sadnes an sadness an sadnes						
45	walahhhh Sama-sama sibuk tapi beda tindakan nya? #PILPRES2019 #PILPRES https://twitter.com/SihumorangFrant/status/1047465633700234241Å 84;	angry						
	data anotasi tweet			•				

Figure 2. The results of the annotation data twitter manually in CSV format

3.2. Data Acquisition

The brain's electrical activity recorded using the EPOC headset manufactured by Emotiv EPOC neuroheadset, a 14-channel (plus CMS/DRL references, P3/P4 locations) high-resolution wireless neuroheadset with neuro signal acquisition and processing function (Emotiv 2014). The available electrode positions can be seen in Figure 3.



Figure 3. EmotivEpoc+ headset and electrodes positioning [32]

The experimental setup for data acquisition process consists of several devices including emotiveEPOC+, a presentation screen, signal analysis software, and twitter data collection. Consequently, the dataset above was annotated again based on EEG signal, using Emotive EPOC 14+ which contains 14 channels brainwave sensor, namely AF3, F7, F3, FC5, T7, P7, O1, O2, P8, T8, FC6, F4, F8, AF4. TheF3 and F4 sensor was used for neuroscan, and wasrecorded at 1000 Hz, meanwhile AF3 and AF4 wasused for EPOC. Besides, EEG device was placed in the user's head with appropriate electrode in sensors. While the Emotiv EPOC uses a sequential sampling method at a rate of 128 samples per seconds (SPS).



Figure 4. The implementation of transferring Brain Wave

3.3. Participants

Two participants were invited to capture the brain wave while reading the sentiment text using the EEG tool (EmoticEpoc+). Electroencephalogram data were recorded using a device for two healthy subjects, and both are male. The two participants were students from Bandung, West Java Indonesia, whose age ranges from 18 years. The text was read carefully so that it can be understood and the intonation was adjusted to the label. The following of procedure for collecting data by participants is: 1) Read the text for 2 minutes; 2) Close eyes to arouse emotions for 1 minute; 3) Open eyes for 1 minute; and 4) Reread the same text with emotions that have built for 4 minutes Material.

4. Result and Discussion

The stimuli used are a set of text-based posts/comments taken from Twitter, which are the same as the data in emotion classification with sentiment analysis. The brain signal was recorded in 1–2 hours speech of a user while reading political domain tweets. However, the signal changed into 14 class numerical EEG brain representations known as features. Consequently, after reading 63200 tweets, 6300 features vector of reading political domain were produced.

4.1. EEG Signal Processing

The signal-processing block involves the pre-processing, feature extraction, and classification steps. Furthermore, the EEG signal pre-processing involves a variety of techniques which were applied to reduce noise and remove artifacts so that a clean signal is ready for the next step. Therefore, several stages were done such as the conversion of brain wave data into numbers. Consequently, the whole of the signal were covered, even though there were many unnecessary data. However, unnecessary columns which can be truncated using Python programming are deleted.

Furthermore, feature selection was used to remove misleading data and noise, thereby resulting in increased accuracy. After collecting the 63200 EEG feature vector, the data was pre-processed in order to produce an appropriate value. The feature is scaled into the range between 0 - 1 and the value below the threshold known as idle condition were removed. However, while reading the tweet, several steps from raw data used to classify emotion detection were also followed.



Figure 5. The extraction feature of brain wave data into numbers

The stimuli used are a set of text-based posts/comments taken from Twitter, which are the same as the data in emotion classification with sentiment analysis. The brain signal was recorded in 1–2 hours speech of a user while reading political domain tweets. However, the signal changed into 14 class numerical EEG brain representations known as features. Consequently, after reading 63200 tweets, 6300 features vector of reading political domain, were produced.

Meanwhile, the Librosa tool in the python package was used in this experiment for feature extraction. Also, in the EEG Emotiv EPOC+ type, a software capable of converting waves into numerical (.csv) forms were gotten, so as to make a feature selection to obtain a clean data. The following are the figure of instance of visualization signal for each emotion texts and the result of sum of the sentiment frequencies from EEG feature extraction and selection, where from 63200 texts to 19990 sadness texts, 17546 angry texts, 13242 fear texts, and 12483 happy text.



Figure 6. The obtaining sum of EEG Feature Extraction and Selection frequencies.

4.2. Eliminate the Unnecessary Columns

Raw data contained columns that are not important in the process of determining emotional groups. Therefore, unnecessary columns were truncated using Python's 'pop' syntax.

CO	JNTE	R INTE	RPOL	ATED		AF3		F7		F3		FC5		77	3	97	01		02	PB
0	10	9		0	1819.4	87183	2203	589600	4127	7.692383	190	4.102539	2583.589	600 1	537.4359	3 2242.0	51270	3797.948	730 393	4.871582
1	11	0		0	1718.9	74365	2049	230713	4134	1.871582	181	4.871704	2391.794	922 1	544.1025	9 2037.4	35791	3726.666	504 385	8.461426
2	11	1		0	1852.5	07617	2026	666626	4126	1.666504	185	9.487183	2288.717	773 1	711.2819	1943.0	76904	3597.948	730 383	0.256348
з	11	2		0	1382.0	151270	1597	435913	4122	2.051270	145	5.384521	1800.512	817 1	262 5640	87 1616.9	23096	3518.974	365 378	5.128174
4	11	3		0	1019.4	87183	1263	589722	4134	.358887	113	1.281982	1453.846	069	P16.4102	7 1335.3	84644	3478.461	426 376	13.076904
	PB		TB		FCS		F4		F8		AF4	RAW_CO	CQ AF3	caj	7 CQ.F	CQ.FCS	COT	7 CQ_P1	0.00	CQ_02
3934.87	1582	2597.94	8730	3922	051270	3324.10	2539	2375.89	7461	2300.00	0000	1269	4	8	4	D 4	e 0	4. 4		1 1
3898.46	1426	2373.33	3252	3893.	333252	3162.05	1270	2206.15	3809	2121.02	5635	2893	4		4	0 4	6 - N	4 4	1 1	1
3830.25	6348	2118.97	4365	3830.	256348	2827.60	2139	2143.07	16904	2063.07	6904	2813	4		4	0 4		4 4		1
3785.12	8174	1816.41	0156	3793.	333252	2618.46	1426	1712.30	07617	1654.35	8887	19	4		4	0 4		4 4	1 4	1
3763.07	6904	1572.30	7617	3768.	205078	2493.33	13252	1372.83	10435	1327.17	9443	1266	4		4	9 4	4 4	4 4		1
FB		AF4	RAN	v.co	CQ AFS	CQF	7 CQ	F3 CG	FCS	CQ_17	co	P7 CQ 0	1 CQ_02	CQ.P	8 CQ_T	CQ_FC6	CQ_F4	CQ_F8	CQ_AF4	LABEL
5.897461	2300	0.000000		1269	4	6. J	4	0	4	4		4	4 1		0 4	0	4	4	4	angry
6.153809	212	025635		2893	4	1) - A	4	0	4	4		4	4 1		0 4	0	4	4	4	angry
3.076904	206	3.076904		2813	4	6	4	0	4	4		4	4 1		0 4	0	4	4	4	angry
2.307617	165	1.358887		19	4		4	0	4	4		4	4 1		0 4	0	4	4	4	angry
2.820435	132	7.179443		1269			4	0	- 4	. 4		4	4 1		0 4	0	4	- 4	4	angry

Figure 7. Cutting the missing value

5. Modelling

In order to discover the best model, Random Forest, Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Decision Tree were performed for the classification of emotion. The three models were performed using 63261 EEG vector features and were divided into 42384 and 20877 trained and tested data respectively.

5.1. Random Forest Model

The emotion classification of training data and test data used Random Forest Model from the matrix above, after a machine learning process using random forest, we get: 5698 sadness texts, 3957 angry texts, 3783 fear texts, and 6403 happy texts that match. After that, we analysed the predictive of accuracy data train and data test using the random forest algorithm. The following is table and bar chart of the accuracy of data train and data test predictive that had comparative with the real manually labelling.

	,			
Emosi	Happyness	Sadness	Angry	Fear
Manual Train Classify	12483	19990	17546	13242
Predictive Train Classify	8269	13489	11724	8902
Manual Test Classify	4214	6501	5822	4340
Predictive Test Classify	3783	6403	5698	3957

Table 2. The Train and Test Accuracy of Random Forest Model



The Train and Test Accuracy of Random Forest Model

5.2. Support Vector Machine (SVM)

In the same steps as we did with the random forest method, we took a 63261 tweets dataset in SVM, and two participants did the process labeling manually. Furthermore, we got the 19990 sadness texts, the 17546 angry texts, the 13242 fear texts, and the 12483 happy texts. Using the SVM model, we analyzed the accuracy of the predictive emotional classification versus the manually labeled baseline data. The following is the table and bar chart of accuracy results.

Emotion	Happyness	Sadness	Angry	Fear
Manual Train Classify	12483	19990	17546	13242
Predictive Train Classify	0	13476	151	0
Manual Test Classify	4214	6501	5822	4340
Predictive Test Classify	0	6500	75	0



Table 3. The Train and Test Accuracy of SVM Model

Figure 9. The Train and Test Accuracy of SVM Model

The results obtained are not good, because the accuracy of training data and test data only reaches around 32%.

5.3. Decision Tree

The basic ideas of the decision tree algorithm are as follows: (1) Choose the best attribute by using Attribution Selection Measures (ASM) to split notes; (2) Make the attribute a decision node and separate the dataset into smaller subsets; (3) Start building the tree by repeating this process recursively for each child until one of the conditions will match of all tuples have the same attribute value, there are no more attributes left, and here are no more examples. Below the result of the accuracy of the predictive emotional classification versus the manually label data.

Emotion	Happyness	Sadness	Angry	Fear
Manual Train Classify	12483	19990	17546	13242
Predictive Train Classify	8269	13489	11724	8902
Manual Test Classify	4214	6501	5822	4340
Predictive Test Classify	3340	5811	5488	3656

Table4. The Train and Test Accuracy of Decision Tree Model



Figure 10. The Four Emotion of Evaluation of Decision Tree Accuracy

The level of accuracy of training data with decision tree techniques is 100% for training data and 87% for test data. Besides, the Confusion Matrix reference was carried out to evaluate the performance, which also represents the prediction and actual conditions of the data generated by the ML algorithm. In addition, the Matrix determines the Accuracy, Precision, Recall and Specificity, as a result, it needs to know the most representative model of the writers and readers' emotions with the same text. Annotation was conducted manually and the reaction of the reader's brain waves was used to detect the accuracy level.

Model	Emotion	Precision	Recall	F1-score
Random Forest	Angry	0.98	0.98	0.98
	Fear	0.98	0.91	0.94
	Нарру	0.93	0.90	0.91
	Sadness	0.92	0.98	0.95
SVM	Angry	0.93	0.01	0.03
	Fear	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Нарру	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Sadness	0.31	1.00	0.48
Decision Tree	Angry	0.93	0.94	0.94
	Fear	0.84	0.84	0.84
	Нарру	0.80	0.79	0.80
	Sadness	0.90	0.89	0.90

Table 5. The Classification of Four Emotion of Accuracy by Three Models



Figure 11. The Classification of Four Emotion of Accuracy by Three Models

The table 5 showed the accuracy results in classifying the emotions generated by the three models as well as in the training and test data. In addition, the confusion matrix used to see how well or how much accuracy generated from the classification model has made to predict or classify classes from testing data, as shown in table 6.

Tuble of feedbacky value in Training and Test Data							
Model	Accuracy Score						
	Training Data	Test Data					
Random Forest	1.0	0,95					
SVM	0.32	0,31					
Decision Tree	1.0	0.88					

Table 6. Accuracy Value in Training and Test Data

There were modeling changes in the brain waves for the four bases of emotion, in which the random forest technique has the best results, compared to the SVM and decision trees. In SVM model, the evaluation results obtained are very small, because there are weaknesses present when applied to large data which will be controlled when enriched by adding kernel techniques and greedy-search. However, when compared to the decision tree model, the results are not too large, because the two techniques are random forest, which have the same algorithm and serve as an extended decision tree. Another insight is that, the angry statement has the highest accuracy value among the four emotions, because it is easier to read in anger, therefore, the brain waves also become more legible for emotional expressions.

In this research, the accuracy value of the SVM was low, however in some papers it shows a good significant value both for facial expression stimuli (Huang et al., 2017), and for detecting emotional words in a linear and direct way (Bo Pang and Lillian Lee 2019).

Theoretically, this research contributes to the field of psychology in terms of recognizing someone's writing, and the effect of affecting the reader's emotions. Meanwhile, practically, examining text with brain waves has its own difficulties, because the results are not satisfactory when the reader does not understand deeply the writing. Therefore, it will be easier to detect emotions with the help of a voice or video expressing the writing.

6. Conclusion

Analyzing of emotion recognition from brain signals using 14 EEG channels was proposed and the result showed that the emotion recognition with text, based on stimuli from brain EEG channels is possible. This showed a high accuracy of random forest over decision tree and SVM in all selected subjects. Also, despite the absence of strong emotion due to physiological indication to correlate the brain activity at the cortical level, it wasdiscovered that brain wave approach indicates the possibility of recognition. However, this research mainly focused on the analyzing model and classification techniques that could be used for EEG signal processing. Therefore, future work should look at using a fusion approach for recognizing emotion.

References

- 1. J. K. Rout, K. K. R. Choo, A. K. Dash, S. Bakshi, S. K. Jena, and K. L. Williams, "A model for sentiment and emotion analysis of unstructured social media text," Electron. Commer. Res., vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 181-199, 2018.
- 2. K. Antariksa, Y. S. Purnomo WP, and E. Ernawati, "Klasifikasi Ujaran Kebencian pada Cuitan dalam Bahasa Indonesia," J. Buana Inform., vol. 10, no. 2, p. 164, 2019.
- 3. M. Denil, D. Matheson, and N. De Freitas, "Narrowing the Gap: Random Forests In Theory and In Practice," no. 1998, 2014.
- Q. Ren, H. Cheng, and H. Han, "Research on machine learning framework based on random forest 4. algorithm Research on Machine Learning Framework Based on Random Forest Algorithm," vol. 080020, no. March, 2017.
- 5. I. Zulfa, E. Winarko, P. Studi, S. Ilmu, and F. Ugm, "Sentimen Analisis Tweet Berbahasa Indonesia dengan Deep Belief Network," vol. 11, no. 2, 2017.
- 6. X. Mao, S. Chang, J. Shi, F. Li, and R. Shi, "Sentiment-aware word embedding for emotion classification," Appl. Sci., vol. 9, no. 7, 2019.
- 7. Y. Christian, "Comparison of Machine Learning Algorithms Using WEKA and Sci-Kit Learn in Classifying Online Shopper Intention," J. Informatics Telecommun. Eng., vol. 3, no. 1, p. 58, 2019.
- 8. M. Joshi, P. Prajapati, A. Shaikh, and V. Vala, "A Survey on Sentiment Analysis," Int. J. Comput. Appl., vol. 163, no. 6, pp. 34-38, 2017.

- 9. S. V. Bo Pang and Lillian Lee, "Thumbs up? Sentiment Classification using Machine Learning Techniques," Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng. Transp., vol. 172, no. 2, pp. 122–122, 2019.
- 10. O. Habimana, Y. Li, R. Li, X. Gu, and G. Yu, "Sentiment analysis using deep learning approaches: an overview," *Sci. China Inf. Sci.*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 1–36, 2020.
- 11. D. P. Subha, P. K. Joseph, R. Acharya U, and C. M. Lim, "EEG signal analysis: a survey.," J. Med. Syst., vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 195–212, 2010.
- P. R. Bhise, S. B. Kulkarni, and T. A. Aldhaheri, "Brain Computer Interface based EEG for Emotion Recognition System: A Systematic Review," 2nd Int. Conf. Innov. Mech. Ind. Appl. ICIMIA 2020 - Conf. Proc., no. Icimia, pp. 327–334, 2020.
- 13. Charles S. and J. Walinga, Introduction to Psychology, vol. 28, no. 2. 2009.
- 14. Y. Daşdemir, E. Yıldırım, and S. Yıldırım, "Emotion Analysis using Different Stimuli with EEG Signals in Emotional Space," *Nat. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 1–10, 2017.
- 15. H. Zamanian and H. Farsi, "A new feature extraction method to improve emotion detection using EEG signals," *Electron. Lett. Comput. Vis. Image Anal.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 29–44, 2018.
- A. Zuhriya, "2018 International Conference on Intelligent Informatics and Biomedical Sciences, ICIIBMS 2018," 2018 Int. Conf. Intell. Informatics Biomed. Sci. ICIIBMS 2018, vol. 3, pp. 170–176, 2018.
- 17. B. Geethanjali, K. Adalarasu, A. Hemapraba, S. P. Kumar, and R. Rajasekeran, "Emotion analysis using sam (Self-assessment manikin) scale.," *Biomed. Res.*, vol. 2017, no. Special Issue ComplexWorldofNeuroscience, pp. S18–S24, 2017.
- 18. F. H. Rachman, "CBE: Corpus-Based of Emotion for Emotion Detection in Text Document," *Icitacee*, pp. 331–335, 2016.
- 19. Saif M. Mohammad, "Sentiment Analysis: Detecting Valence, Emotions, and Other A ectual States from Text," 2015.
- 20. A. B. Warriner, V. Kuperman, and M. Brysbaert, "Norms of valence, arousal, and dominance for 13,915 English lemmas," *Behav. Res. Methods*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 1191–1207, 2013.
- L.-C. Yu, J. Wang, K. R. Lai, and X. Zhang, "Predicting Valence-Arousal Ratings of Words using a Weighted Graph Method," Proc. 53rd Annu. Meet. Assoc. Comput. Linguist., pp. 788–793, 2015.
- 22. M. Almashraee, "Emotion Level Sentiment Analysis: The Affective Opinion Evaluation," no. May, 2016.
- 23. R. Piryani, D. Madhavi, and V. K. Singh, "Analytical mapping of opinion mining and sentiment analysis research during 2000–2015," *Inf. Process. Manag.*, vol. 0, pp. 1–29, 2016.
- 24. N. M. Shelke, "Approaches of Emotion Detection from Text," *Int. J. Comput. Sci. Inf. Technol. Res.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 123–128, 2014.
- 25. M. Haggag, "Ontology-Based Textual Emotion Detection," Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Appl., vol. 6, no. 9, pp. 239–246, 2015.
- R. A. Calvo, S. Member, S. D. Mello, and I. C. Society, "Affect Detection : An Interdisciplinary Review of Models, Methods, and Their Applications Affect Detection : An Interdisciplinary Review of Models, Methods, and Their Applications," *IEEE Trans. Affect. Comput.*, vol. 1, no. September, pp. 18–37, 2015.
- 27. T. M. Li, H. C. Chao, and J. Zhang, "Emotion classification based on brain wave: a survey," *Human-centric Comput. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2019.
- 28. K. Hulliyah, N. S. B. A. A. Bakar, and A. R. Ismail, "A Benchmark Corpus for Sentiment Analysis of the Indonesian Presidential Election in 2019 Using Emotion Word Annotation," 2019.
- 29. R. M. Mehmood and H. J. Lee, "Emotion classification of EEG brain signal using SVM and KNN," 2015 IEEE Int. Conf. Multimed. Expo Work. ICMEW 2015, no. October 2016, 2015.
- 30. Y. Huang, J. Yang, P. Liao, and J. Pan, "Fusion of Facial Expressions and EEG for Multimodal Emotion Recognition," *Comput. Intell. Neurosci.*, vol. 2017, 2017.
- N. Kamaruddin and A. Wahab, "Investigation on Dynamic Speech Emotion from the Perspective of Brain Associative Memory," *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 97, pp. 46–53, 2013.
- 32. J. Gwizdka, R. Hosseini, M. Cole, and S. Wang, "Temporal dynamics of eye-tracking and EEG during reading and relevance decisions," *J. Assoc. Inf. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 68, no. 10, pp. 2299–2312, 2017.