

Δ –Synchronization Of Interval Nutrosophic Automata

N. Mohanarao ¹ V. Karthikeyan ²

¹Department of Mathematics, Government College of Engineering, Bodinayakkanur, Tamilnadu, India.

²Department of Mathematics, Government College of Engineering, Dharmapuri Tamilnadu, India.

Article History: Received: 11 January 2021; Revised: 12 February 2021; Accepted: 27 March 2021; Published online: 23 May 2021

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study Δ –Synchronization of interval neutrosophic automata and their characterizations.

Key words: Interval neutrosophic automaton (INA), Δ –Synchronization.

AMS Mathematics subject classification: 03D05, 20M35, 18 B20, 68Q45, 68Q70, 94 A45

1 Introduction

The neutrosophic set was introduced by Florentin Smarandache in 1999 [6]. Fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh in 1965 [8]. Bipolar fuzzy sets, YinYang, bipolar fuzzy sets, NPN fuzzy set were introduced by W. R. Zhang in [9, 10, 11]. A NS N is classified by a Truth T_N , Indeterminacy I_N , and Falsity membership F_N where T_N , I_N , and F_N are real standard and non-standard subsets of $]0^-, 1^+[$. Fuzzy automaton was introduced by Wee [7]. The INA was introduced by Tahir Mahmood [4]. Retrievalability, subsystem, and strong subsystems of INA are studied in the papers [1, 2, 3]. Here, We study the characterizations of Δ –synchronization of INA.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Definition [5]

A FA is triple $F = (T, I, S)$ where T, I are set of states, set of input symbols and S is transition function in $T \times I \times T \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

2.2 Definition [4]

Let U be universal set. A NS S in U is classified as truth K_S , an indeterminacy L_S and a falsity values M_S where K_S, L_S , and M_S are real standard or non-standard subsets of $]0^- 1^+[$. $S = \{ \langle z, (K_S(z), L_S(z), M_S(z)) \rangle, z \in U, K_S, L_S, M_S \in]0^- 1^+[\}$ and $0^- \leq \sup K_S(z) + \sup L_S(z) + \sup M_S(z) \leq 3^+$. We take values $[0, 1]$ instead of $]0^-, 1^+[$.

2.3 Definition [4]

Let $F = (T, I, S)$ be INA. T and I are set of states and input symbols respectively, and $S = \{ \langle K_S(z), L_S(z), M_S(z) \rangle \}$ is an INS in $T \times I \times T$. The set of all strings I is denote by I^* . The empty string is denoted by ϵ and the length of $z \in I^*$ is denoted by $|z|$.

2.4 Definition [4]

Let $F = (T, I, S)$ be INA. Define an INS $s^* = \{ \langle K_{S^*}(z), L_{S^*}(z), M_{S^*}(z) \rangle \}$ in $T^* \times I^* \times T$ by

$$K_S(z)(t_a, \epsilon, t_b) = \begin{cases} [1,1] & \text{if } t_a = t_b \\ [0,0] & \text{if } t_a \neq t_b \end{cases}, \quad L_S(z)(t_a, \epsilon, t_b) = \begin{cases} [0,0] & \text{if } t_a = t_b \\ [1,1] & \text{if } t_a \neq t_b \end{cases}, \text{ and}$$

$$M_S(z)(t_a, \epsilon, t_b) = \begin{cases} [0,0] & \text{if } t_a = t_b \\ [1,1] & \text{if } t_a \neq t_b \end{cases}$$

$$K_{S^*}(t_a, zz', t_b) = \bigvee_{t_r \in T} [K_{S^*}(t_a, z, t_r) \wedge K_{S^*}(t_r, z', t_b)] > [0, 0]$$

$$L_{S^*}(t_a, zz', t_b) = \bigwedge_{t_r \in T} [L_{S^*}(t_a, z, t_r) \vee L_{S^*}(t_r, z', t_b)] < [1, 1]$$

$$M_{S^*}(t_a, zz', t_b) = \bigwedge_{t_r \in T} [M_{S^*}(t_a, z, t_r) \vee M_{S^*}(t_r, z', t_b)] < [1, 1] \quad \forall t_a, t_b \in T, z \in I^* \text{ and } z' \in I.$$

3 Δ –Synchronization of Interval Neutrosophic Automata

3.1 Definition

Let $F = (T, I, S)$ be an IVNA. F is called deterministic IVNA, $\forall t_a \in T$ and $z \in I$
 \exists unique state t_b such that $K_{S^*}(t_a, z, t_b) > [0, 0]$, $L_{S^*}(t_a, z, t_b) < [1, 1]$, $M_{S^*}(t_a, z, t_b) < [1, 1]$.

3.2 Definition

Let $F = (T, I, S)$ be an IVNA and $\Theta = T_1, T_2, \dots, T_z$ be a partition of T . If $K_{S^*}(t_a, z, t_b) > [0, 0]$, $L_{S^*}(t_a, z, t_b) < [1, 1]$, $M_{S^*}(t_a, z, t_b) < [1, 1]$ for some $z \in I$ then $t_a \in T_S$ and $t_b \in T_{S+1}$. Then Θ is periodic partition of order $z \geq 2$. An INA F is periodic of period $z \geq 2$ iff $z = Maxcard(\Theta)$, maximum is consider all periodic partitions Θ of F . F has no periodic partition, then F is called aperiodic.

Note.

Throughout this paper we consider aperiodic INA.

3.3 Definition

Let $F = (T, I, S)$ be an IVNA. Two states t_a, t_b interval neutrosophic stability related (INSR) denoted by $t_a \Omega t_b$, for any string $z \in I^*$, $t_k \in T$ such that

$$K_{S^*}(t_a, zz', t_k) > [0, 0] \Leftrightarrow K_{S^*}(t_b, zz', t_k) > [0, 0]$$

$$L_{S^*}(t_a, zz', t_k) < [1, 1] \Leftrightarrow L_{S^*}(t_b, zz', t_k) < [1, 1]$$

$$M_{S^*}(t_a, zz', t_k) > [0, 0] \Leftrightarrow M_{S^*}(t_b, zz', t_k) < [1, 1]$$

3.4 Example

Let $F = (T, I, S)$ be an IVNA, where $\{T = T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\}$ $I = \{z, z'\}$ and S are defined as below.

$$\begin{aligned} (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_1, z, t_4) &= \{[0.3,0.4], [0.4,0.5], [0.6,0.8]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_1, z', t_2) &= \{[0.1,0.2], [0.3,0.4], [0.7,0.8]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_2, z, t_3) &= \{[0.2,0.3], [0.5,0.6], [0.8,0.9]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_2, z', t_4) &= \{[0.7,0.8], [0.3,0.4], [0.2,0.3]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_3, z, t_2) &= \{[0.6,0.7], [0.4,0.5], [0.3,0.4]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_3, z', t_4) &= \{[0.5,0.6], [0.4,0.5], [0.2,0.3]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_4, z, t_1) &= \{[0.8,0.9], [0.2,0.3], [0.1,0.2]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_4, z', t_3) &= \{[0.3,0.4], [0.4,0.5], [0.6,0.8]\} \end{aligned}$$

For any string $v \in I^*$, there exists a string $zz'z' \in I^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{S^*}(t_1, vzz'z', t_k) > [0, 0] &\Leftrightarrow K_{S^*}(t_4, vzz'z', t_k) > [0,0] \\ L_{S^*}(t_1, vzz'z', t_k) < [1, 1] &\Leftrightarrow L_{S^*}(t_4, vzz'z', t_k) < [1,1] \\ M_{S^*}(t_1, vzz'z', t_k) < [1, 1] &\Leftrightarrow M_{S^*}(t_4, vzz'z', t_k) < [1,1] \text{ and} \\ K_{S^*}(t_2, vzz'z', t_l) > [0, 0] &\Leftrightarrow K_{S^*}(t_3, vzz'z', t_l) > [0,0] \\ L_{S^*}(t_2, vzz'z', t_l) < [1, 1] &\Leftrightarrow L_{S^*}(t_3, vzz'z', t_l) < [1,1] \\ M_{S^*}(t_2, vzz'z', t_l) < [1, 1] &\Leftrightarrow M_{S^*}(t_3, vzz'z', t_l) < [1,1]. \end{aligned}$$

The states t_1, t_4 and t_2, t_3 are interval neutrosophic stability related.

3.5 Definition

Let $F = (T, I, S)$ be an IVNA. F is called Δ –Synchronization if \exists a string $z \in I^*$, $t_b \in T$ and a real number Δ with $\Delta \in (0,1]$ such that $K_{S^*}(t_a, z, t_b) \geq \Delta > [0,0]$, $L_{S^*}(t_a, z, t_b) \leq \Delta < [1,1]$, $M_{S^*}(t_a, z, t_b) \leq \Delta < [1,1] \forall t_a \in T$.

4 Algorithm

Let $F = (T, I, S)$ be an IVNA.

- 1) Find the equivalence classes of the states T using INSR.
- 2) Construct the quotient INA G by considering each equivalence class as a state.
- 3) Relabel the quotient INA along with neutrosophic values G into G' keeping the stability class.
- 4) Construct New INA F' from G' .
- 5) INA G' gives the synchronized string.

4.1 Example

From Example 3.4 and the quotient *INA G* is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{S^*}, L_{S^*}, M_{S^*})(t_1 t_4, z, t_1 t_4) &= \{[0.3, 0.4], [0.4, 0.5], [0.6, 0.8]\} \\ (K_{S^*}, L_{S^*}, M_{S^*})(t_1 t_4, z', t_2 t_3) &= \{[0.1, 0.2], [0.4, 0.5], [0.7, 0.8]\} \\ (K_{S^*}, L_{S^*}, M_{S^*})(t_2 t_3, z, t_2 t_3) &= \{[0.2, 0.3], [0.5, 0.6], [0.8, 0.9]\} \\ (K_{S^*}, L_{S^*}, M_{S^*})(t_2 t_3, z', t_1 t_4) &= \{[0.5, 0.6], [0.4, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3]\} \end{aligned}$$

Relabeled quotient *INA G'* is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{S^*}, L_{S^*}, M_{S^*})(t_1 t_4, z', t_1 t_4) &= \{[0.1, 0.2], [0.4, 0.5], [0.7, 0.8]\} \\ (K_{S^*}, L_{S^*}, M_{S^*})(t_1 t_4, z, t_2 t_3) &= \{[0.3, 0.4], [0.4, 0.5], [0.6, 0.8]\} \\ (K_{S^*}, L_{S^*}, M_{S^*})(t_2 t_3, z, t_2 t_3) &= \{[0.2, 0.3], [0.5, 0.6], [0.8, 0.9]\} \\ (K_{S^*}, L_{S^*}, M_{S^*})(t_2 t_3, z', t_1 t_4) &= \{[0.5, 0.6], [0.4, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3]\} \end{aligned}$$

Relabeled *INA F'* from *G'* is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_1, z', t_4) &= \{[0.5, 0.6], [0.4, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_1, z, t_2) &= \{[0.3, 0.4], [0.4, 0.5], [0.6, 0.8]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_2, z, t_3) &= \{[0.2, 0.3], [0.5, 0.6], [0.8, 0.9]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_2, z', t_4) &= \{[0.7, 0.8], [0.3, 0.4], [0.2, 0.3]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_3, z, t_2) &= \{[0.6, 0.7], [0.4, 0.5], [0.3, 0.4]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_3, z', t_4) &= \{[0.8, 0.9], [0.2, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_4, z, t_3) &= \{[0.3, 0.4], [0.4, 0.5], [0.6, 0.8]\} \\ (K_S, L_S, M_S)(t_4, z', t_1) &= \{[0.3, 0.4], [0.4, 0.5], [0.6, 0.8]\} \end{aligned}$$

In the relabeled *INA* there exists a string $zz' \in I^*$ in *F'* such that

$$K_{S^*}(t_i, zz', t_4) > [0, 0], L_{S^*}(t_i, zz', t_4) < [1, 1] \text{ and } M_{S^*}(t_i, zz', t_4) < [1, 1] \forall t_i \in T.$$

5. Procedure for finding Δ –Synchronized String of Interval Neutrosophic Automata

Let $F = (T, I, S)$ be an *INA*. We define another *INA* as follows:

$$F_S = (2^T, I, M_S, T, D \subseteq T) \text{ where}$$

T- Starting state on F_S , D- set of all final states on F_S , M_S – Interval neutrosophic transition function and is defined by

$$K_{M_S}(T_a, z, T_b) = \wedge \{(K_S(t_a, z, t_b))\} > [0, 0]$$

$$L_{M_S}(T_a, z, T_b) = \vee \{(L_S(t_a, z, t_b))\} < [1, 1]$$

$$M_{M_S}(T_a, z, T_b) = \vee \{(M_S(t_a, z, t_b))\} < [1, 1], t_a \in T_a, t_b \in T_b, T_a, T_b \in 2^T \text{ for } z \in I.$$

M_S is a deterministic *INA* and a string $z \in I$ is Δ – synchronized in *F* iff \exists a singleton subsets $T_t \in 2^T$ such that

$$K_{M_{S^*}}(T_a, z, T_t) > [0, 0], L_{M_{S^*}}(T_a, z, T_t) < [1, 1] \text{ and } M_{M_{S^*}}(T_a, z, T_b) < [1, 1].$$

6 Conclusion

Δ –Synchronization of *INA* are introduce, algorithm is given for finding Synchronized string using interval neutrosophic stability relation. Finally procedure is given for finding synchronized string.

References

[1] V. Karthikeyan, and R. Karuppaiaya, Retrieability in interval neutrosophic automata, *Advances in Mathematics: Scienti_c Journal*, 9(4) (2020), 1637-1644.
 [2] V. Karthikeyan, and R. Karuppaiaya, Subsystems of interval neutrosophic automata, *Advances in Mathematics: Scienti_c Journal*, 9(4) (2020), 1653-1659.

- [3] V. Karthikeyan, and R. Karuppaiaya, Strong subsystems of interval neutrosophic automata, *Advances in Mathematics: Scienti_c Journal*, 9(4) (2020), 1645-1651.
- [4] T. Mahmood, and Q. Khan, Interval neutrosophic finite switchboard state machine, *Afr. Mat.* 20(2)(2016),191-210.
- [5] J. N. Mordeson, D. S. Malik, *Fuzzy automata and Languages*, CRC Press, Chapman & Hall, (2002).
- [6] F. Smarandache, *A Unifying Field in Logics, Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, set and Logic*, Rehoboth: American Research Press, (1999).
- [7] W. G. Wee, On generalizations of adaptive algorithms and application of the fuzzy sets concepts to pattern classification Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue University, (1967).
- [8] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, 8(3) (1965), 338-353.
- [9] W. R. Zhang, Bipolar fuzzy sets and relations: A computational framework for cognitive modeling and multiagent decision analysis, *Proc. 1st Int. Joint Conf. North American Fuzzy Information Processing Society Biannual Conf.*, San Antonio, TX, USA, 1994, 305-309.
- [10] W. R. Zhang, YinYang bipolar fuzzy sets, *Proc. IEEE World Congr. Computational Intelligence*, Anchorage, Alaska, 1998, 835-840.
- [11] W. R. Zhang, NPN Fuzzy Sets and NPN Qualitative-Algebra: A Computational Framework for Bipolar Cognitive Modeling and Multiagent Decision Analysis, *IEEE Trans. on Sys., Man, and Cybern.* 26(8), 1996, 561-575.