

(A,M)-Multi Fuzzy Subgroup Of A Group

¹Dr.KR.BALASUBRAMANIAN, ²R.REVATHY ³R.RAJANGAM

¹Assistant Professor in Mathematics, ²Research Scholar in Mathematics, ³Guest Lecturer in Mathematics
H.H .The Rajah's college (Affiliated to bharathidasan University, Trichy), Pudukkottai

Article History: Received: 11 January 2021; Revised: 12 February 2021; Accepted: 27 March 2021; Published online: 10 May2021

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we have defined (λ, μ) - multi fuzzy subgroups of a group G and discussed some of its properties by using $(\alpha, \beta) -$ cuts . Also We have defined (λ, μ) -multi fuzzy cosets of a group and proved some related theorems with examples.

Mathematics Subject Classification :03F55, 08A72, 20N25, 03E72, 06F35.

Keywords: (λ, μ) -fuzzy set $((\lambda, \mu)$ -FS), (λ, μ) -multi fuzzy set $((\lambda, \mu)$ -MFS), (λ, μ) -multi fuzzy subgroup $((\lambda, \mu)$ -MFSG), (λ, μ) -multi fuzzy normal subgroup $((\lambda, \mu)$ -MFNSG), (α, β) -cut, Homomorphism.

1.INTRODUCTION

After the presentation of the fluffy set by way of L.A.Zadeh[23] some professionals investigated the speculation of concept of fluffy set. The concept of intuitionistic fluffy set(IFS) became provided by way of Krassimir.T.Atanassov [1] as a hypothesis of Zadeh's fluffy set.

In recent years, some variants and extensions of fuzzy groups emerged. In 1996, Bhakat and Das proposed the concept of an $(\in, \in \forall q)$ -fuzzy subgroup in [6] and investigated their fundamental properties. They showed that A is an $(\in, \in \forall q)$ -fuzzy subgroup if and only if $A\alpha$ is a crisp group for any $\alpha \in (0, 0.5]$ provided $A\alpha \neq 0$. A question arises naturally: can we define a type of fuzzy subgroups such that all of their nonempty α -level sets are crisp subgroups for any α in an interval $(\lambda, \mu]$? In 2003, Yuan et al. [22,23] answered this question by defining a so-called (λ, μ) -fuzzy subgroups, which is an extension of $(\in, \in \forall q)$ -fuzzy subgroup. As in the case of fuzzy group, some counterparts of classic concepts can be found for (λ, μ) -fuzzy subgroups. For instance, (λ, μ) -fuzzy normal subgroups and (λ, μ) -fuzzy quotient groups are defined and their elementary properties are investigated, and an equivalent characterization of (λ, μ) -fuzzy normal subgroups was presented in [22,23]. However, there is much more research on (λ, μ) -fuzzy subgroups if we consider rich results both in the classic group theory and the fuzzy group theory in the sense of Rosenfeld.

S. Sabu and T.V. Ramakrishnan [17] proposed the theory of multi fuzzy sets in terms of multi dimensional membership functions and investigated some properties of multi level fuzziness. An element of a multi fuzzy set can occur more than once with possibly [same or different membership values]. R.Muthuraj and S.Balamurugan[15] proposed the intuitionistic multi fuzzy subgroup and its level subgroups. The notion of t-intuitionistic fuzzy set, t-intuitionistic fuzzy group, t-intuitionistic fuzzy coset was introduced by P.K.Sharma[18,19]. And KR.Balasubramanian et al[3]. introduced the notion of t-intuitionistic multi fuzzy set and t-intuitionistic multi fuzzy subgroup of a group. In this paper we conduct a detailed investigation on (λ, μ) -multi fuzzy subgroups of a group."

2. PRILIMINARIES

Definition 2.1[23]

Let X be a non-empty set .A fuzzy subset A of X is defined by a function $A: X \rightarrow [0,1]$.

Definition 2.3[15,16]

Let X be a non-empty set. A multi fuzzy set A in X is defined as the set of ordered sequences as follows.

$A = \{(x, A_1(x), A_2(x), \dots, A_k(x), \dots) : x \in X\}$. Where $A_i: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ for all i.

Definition 2.5[16]

Let X be a non-empty set. A k-dimensional multi fuzzy set A in X is defined by the set

$A = \{(x, (A_1(x), A_2(x), \dots, A_k(x))), : x \in X\}$. Where $A_i: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k$

Definition 2.6 [16]:For any three MFSs A, B and C, we have:

1. Commutative Law : $A \cap B = B \cap A$ and $A \cup B = B \cup A$
2. Idempotent Law : $A \cap A = A$ and $A \cup A = A$
3. De Morgan's Law : $\neg(A \cup B) = (\neg A \cap \neg B)$ and $(\neg A \cap B) = (\neg A \cup \neg B)$
4. Associative Law : $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap C$ and $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup C$
5. Distributive Law : $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ and

$$A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$$

Definition 2 [21,22] Let A be a fuzzy subset of G . A is called a (λ, μ) -fuzzy subgroup of G if, for all $x, y \in G$,

- (i) $A(xy) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge A(y) \wedge \mu$
- (ii) $A(x^{-1}) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu$

Clearly, a $(0, 1)$ -fuzzy subgroup is just a fuzzy subgroup, and thus a (λ, μ) -fuzzy subgroup is a generalization of fuzzy subgroup .

3. Main Results

Definition .3.1

Let A be a fuzzy subset of G . Then a (λ, μ) - fuzzy subset $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ of a fuzzy set A of G is defined as $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} = (x, A \vee \lambda \wedge \mu : x \in G)$.

Definition .3.2

Let A be a multi fuzzy subset of G . Then a (λ, μ) - multi fuzzy subset $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ of a fuzzy set A of G is defined as $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} = (x, A \vee \lambda \wedge \mu : x \in G)$. That is, $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)} = (x, A_i \vee \lambda_i \wedge \mu_i : x \in G)$

Clearly, a $(0, 1)$ -multi fuzzy subset is just a multi fuzzy subset of G , and thus a (λ, μ) - multi fuzzy subgroup is a generalization of fuzzy subgroup. Where $(0, 1)$ -multi fuzzy subset A is defined as $A^{(0,1)} = (A_i^{(0_i, 1_i)})$

Definition .3.3

Let A be a multi fuzzy subset of G . $A = (A_i)$ is called a (λ, μ) -multi fuzzy subgroup of G if, for all $x \in G$,

$$A(xy) \vee \lambda \geq \min\{A(x), A(y)\} \wedge \mu,$$

That is,

$$A_i(xy) \vee \lambda_i \geq \min\{A_i(x), \{A_i(y)\} \wedge \mu_i$$

Clearly, a $(0, 1)$ -multi fuzzy subgroup is just a multifuzzy subgroup of G , and thus a (λ, μ) - multi fuzzy subgroup is a generalization of multi fuzzy subgroup.

Definition 3.3

Let $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ be any two (λ, μ) - multi fuzzy sets having the same dimension k of X . Then

(i). $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \subseteq B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$, iff $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x) \leq B^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x)$ for all $x \in X$

(ii). $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} = B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$, iff $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x) = B^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x)$ for all $x \in X$

(iii). $\hat{A}^{(\lambda, \mu)} = \{(x, 1 - A^{(\lambda, \mu)}): x \in X\}$

(iv). $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda, \mu)} = \{(x, (A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda, \mu)})(x)): x \in X\}$,

where $(A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda, \mu)})(x) = \min\{A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x), B^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x)\} = \min\{A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x), B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x)\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

(v). $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda, \mu)} = \{(x, A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x)): x \in X\}$,

where $(A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda, \mu)})(x) = \max\{A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x), B^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x)\} = \max\{A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x), B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x)\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

Here, $\{A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x)\}$ and $\{B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x)\}$ represents the corresponding i^{th} position membership values of $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ respectively (see the definition 4.6, in ref.[17]).

Definition 3.4 :

For any three (MFSs) $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$, $B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $C^{(\lambda, \mu)}$, we have:

1. Commutative Law : $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda, \mu)} = B^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and

$$A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda,\mu)} = B^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cup A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$$

2. Idempotent Law : $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cap A^{(\lambda,\mu)} = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ and $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cup A^{(\lambda,\mu)} = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$

3. De Morgan's Law : $\neg(A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda,\mu)}) = \neg(A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cap \neg B^{(\lambda,\mu)})$ and $\neg(A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda,\mu)}) = \neg(A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cup \neg B^{(\lambda,\mu)})$

4. Associative Law : $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cup (B^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cap C^{(\lambda,\mu)}) = (A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda,\mu)}) \cap C^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ and $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cap (B^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cup C^{(\lambda,\mu)}) = (A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda,\mu)}) \cup C^{(\lambda,\mu)}$

5. Distributive Law : $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cup (B^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cap C^{(\lambda,\mu)}) = (A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda,\mu)}) \cap (A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cup C^{(\lambda,\mu)})$ and $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cap (B^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cup C^{(\lambda,\mu)}) = (A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda,\mu)}) \cup (A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \cap C^{(\lambda,\mu)})$

Definition 3.5 :

Let $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} = \{(x, A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x)) : x \in X\}$ be a (λ, μ) -MFS of dimension k and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) \in [0,1]^k$, where each $\alpha_i \in [0,1]$ for all i . Then the α -cut of $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is the set of all x such that $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x) \geq \alpha_i, \forall i$ and is denoted by $[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_{(\alpha)}$. Clearly it is a crisp set.

Definition 3.6 :

Let $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} = \{(x, A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x)) : x \in X\}$ be a (λ, μ) -MFS of dimension k and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) \in [0,1]^k$, where each $\alpha_i \in [0,1]$ for all i . Then the strong α -cut of $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is the set of all x such that $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x) > \alpha_i, \forall i$ and is denoted by $[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_{\alpha^*}$. Clearly it is also a crisp set.

Theorem 3.7 (ref.[19]):

Let A and B are any two (λ, μ) -MFSs of dimension k taken from a non-empty set X . Then $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_{(\alpha)} \subseteq [B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_{(\alpha)}$ for every $\alpha \in [0,1]^k$.

Definition 3.8 :

A MFS $A = \{(x, A(x)) : x \in X\}$ of a group G is said to be a (λ, μ) -multifuzzy sub group of G (MFSG), if it satisfies the following: For $\lambda, \mu \in [0,1]^k, 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq \mu_i \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda_i + \mu_i \leq 1$

(i) $A(xy) \vee \lambda \geq \min\{A(x), A(y)\} \wedge \mu$

(ii) $A(x^{-1}) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu$ for all $x, y \in G$. That is,

(i) $A_i(xy) \vee \lambda_i \geq \min\{A_i(x), A_i(y)\} \wedge \mu_i$

(ii) $A_i(x^{-1}) \vee \lambda_i \geq A_i(x) \wedge \mu_i$ for all $x, y \in G$.

Clearly, a $(0, 1)$ -multi fuzzy subgroup is just a multi fuzzy subgroup of G , and thus a (λ, μ) - multi fuzzy subgroup is a generalization of multi fuzzy subgroup.

An alternative definition for (λ, μ) -MFG is as follows:

Definition 3.9 :

A MFS A of a group G is said to be a (λ, μ) -multi-fuzzy sub group of G ((λ, μ) -MFSG), if it satisfies.

$A(xy^{-1}) \vee \lambda \geq \min\{A(x), A(y)\} \wedge \mu$ for all $x, y \in G$

Where, $A(xy^{-1}) \vee \lambda = (A_1(xy^{-1}) \vee \lambda_1, A_2(xy^{-1}) \vee \lambda_2, \dots, A_k(xy^{-1}) \vee \lambda_k)$ and $\min\{A(x), A(y)\} \wedge \mu = (\min\{A_1(x), A_1(y)\} \wedge \mu_1, \min\{A_2(x), A_2(y)\} \wedge \mu_2, \dots, \min\{A_k(x), A_k(y)\} \wedge \mu_k)$ for all x, y and xy^{-1} in G .

Remark 3.10 :

(i) If A is a (λ, μ) -MFSG of G , then the complement of A need not be an (λ, μ) -MFSG of G

(ii) A is a MFSG of a group \Leftrightarrow each (λ, μ) -FS $A^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)} (A^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}): x \in G_{i=1,2,\dots,k}$ is a (λ, μ) -FSG of G .

Definition 3.11(ref.[6,9,12]) :

A (λ, μ) – MFSG $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ of a group G is said to be an (λ, μ) –multi fuzzy normal subgroup ((λ, μ) – MFNSG) of G , it satisfies

$$A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(xy) = A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(yx) \text{ for all } x, y \in G$$

Theorem 3.12 :

A (λ, μ) –MFSG $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ of a group G is normal, it satisfies

$$A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(g^{-1}xg) = A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in G \text{ and } g \in G$$

Proof :Let $x \in A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $g \in G$.

Then $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(g^{-1}xg) = A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(g^{-1}(xg)) = A^{(\lambda, \mu)}((xg)g^{-1})$, since $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is normal.

$$A^{(\lambda, \mu)}((xg)g^{-1}) = A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x(gg^{-1})) = A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(xe) = A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x), \text{ Hence (i) is true.}$$

Definition 3.13 :

Let $(G, .)$ be a Groupoid and $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}, B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ be any two (λ, μ) –MFSs having same dimension k of G . Then the product of $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$, denoted by $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \circ B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and is defined as:

$$A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \circ B^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x) = \begin{cases} \max[\min\{A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(y), B^{(\lambda, \mu)}(z)\} : yz = x, \forall y, z \in G] \\ \lambda_k = (\lambda, \lambda, \dots, \lambda_k \text{ times}), \text{ if } x \text{ is not expressible sa } x = yz \end{cases}, \forall x \in G$$

That is, $\forall x \in G$,

$$A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \circ B^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x) = \begin{cases} (\max[\min\{A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(y), B^{(\lambda, \mu)}(z)\} : yz = x, \forall y, z \in G] \\ (\lambda_k) \end{cases}, \text{ if } x \text{ is not expressible as } x = yz$$

Definition 3.14 :

Let X and Y be any two non-empty sets and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Let $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ be any two (λ, μ) –MFSs of X and Y respectively having the same dimation k . Then the image of $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} (\subseteq X)$ under the map f is denoted by $f(A^{(\lambda, \mu)})$, is defined as: $\forall y \in Y$,

$$f(A^{(\lambda, \mu)})(y) = \begin{cases} \max\{A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y) \\ \lambda_k, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Also, the pre – image of $B^{(\lambda, \mu)} (\subseteq Y)$ under the map f is denoted by $f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda, \mu)})$ and it is defined as:

$$f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda, \mu)})(x) = (B^{(\lambda, \mu)}(f(x))), \forall x \in X.$$

4. Properties of α –cuts of the (λ, μ) –MFSGs of a group

In this section, we have proved some theorems on (λ, μ) –MFSGs of a group G by using some of their α –cuts.

Proposition 4.1 :

If $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ are any two (λ, μ) –MFSs of a universal set X

Then the following are hold good :

- (i) $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha \subseteq [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\delta$ if $\alpha \geq \delta$
- (ii) $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \subseteq B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ implies $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha \subseteq [B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\delta$

$$(iii) [A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha} = [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha} \cap [B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}$$

$$(iv) [A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha} \supseteq [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha} \cup [B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha} \text{ (here equality holds if } \alpha_i = 1, \forall i)$$

$$(v) [\cap A_i^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha} = \cap [A_i^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}, \text{ where } \alpha \in [0,1]^k$$

Proposition 4.2 : Let (G, \cdot) be a groupoid and $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ are any two (λ, μ) –MFSs of G . Then we have

$$[A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \circ B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha} = [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha} [B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}, \text{ where } \alpha \in [0,1]^k.$$

Theorem 4.3 :

If $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is a (λ, μ) –multi fuzzy subgroup of G and $\alpha \in [0,1]^k$, then $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}$ is a subgroup of G , where $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(e) \geq \alpha$, and ‘ e ’ is the identity element of G .

Proof :

Since $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(e) \geq \alpha, e \in [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}$. There fore $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $x, y \in [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}$. Then $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x) \geq \alpha$ and $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(y) \geq \alpha$.

Then for all $i, A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x) \geq \alpha_i$ and $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(y) \geq \alpha_i$,

$$\Rightarrow \min\{A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x), A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(y)\} \geq \alpha_i, \forall i \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

$\Rightarrow A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(xy^{-1}) \geq \min\{A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x), A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(y)\} \geq \alpha_i, \forall i$, since $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is a (λ, μ) –multi fuzzy subgroup of a group G and by (1).

$$\Rightarrow A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(xy^{-1}) \geq \alpha_i, \forall i.$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(xy^{-1}) \geq \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow xy^{-1} \in [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha} \text{ is a subgroup of } G.$$

Theorem 4.4 :

If $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is a (λ, μ) –multi fuzzy subset of a group G , then $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is a (λ, μ) –multi fuzzy subgroup of $G \Leftrightarrow$ each $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}$ is a subgroup of G , for all $\alpha \in [0,1]^k$ for all i .

Proof : (\Rightarrow) Let $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ be a (λ, μ) – multi-fuzzy subgroup of a group G . Then by the theorem 3.4, each $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}$ is a subgroup of G for all $\alpha \in [0,1]^k$.

(\Leftarrow) Conversely, let $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ be a (λ, μ) –multifuzzy subset of a group G such that each $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}$ is a subgroup of G for all $\alpha \in [0,1]^k, \forall i$.

To prove that $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is a (λ, μ) –multi fuzzy subgroup of G , we must prove that :

$$(i) A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(xy) \geq \min\{A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x), A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(y)\}, \forall x, y \in G$$

$$(ii) A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x^{-1}) = A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x)$$

Let $x, y \in G$ and for all i , let $\alpha_i = \min\{A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x), A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(y)\}$. Then $\forall i$,

$$\text{We have } A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x) \geq \alpha_i, A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(y) \geq \alpha_i$$

That is, $\forall i$, we have $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x) \geq \alpha_i$, and $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(y) \geq \alpha_i$

Then we have $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x) \geq \alpha$ and $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(y) \geq \alpha$. That is, $x \in [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}$ and $y \in [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}$ therefore, $xy \in [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}$, since each $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{\alpha}$ is a subgroup by hypothesis.

Therefore , $\forall i$, we have $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(xy) \geq \alpha_i = \min\{A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x), A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(y)\}$.

That is, $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(xy) \geq \min \{A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x), A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(y)\}$ hence (i) is true.

Now, let $x \in G$ and $\forall i$, let $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x) = \alpha_i$. Then $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x) \geq \alpha_i$ is true for all i . Therefore $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x) \geq \alpha$. Thus , $x \in [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha$.

Since each $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha$ is a subgroup of G for all $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]^k$ and $x \in [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{(\alpha, \beta)}$, we have $x^{-1} \in [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha$ which implies that $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x^{-1}) \geq \alpha_i$ is true $\forall i$. Which implies that $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x^{-1}) \geq A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x)$ is true $\forall i$. Thus , $\forall i, A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x) = A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}((x^{-1})^{-1}) \geq A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x^{-1}) \geq A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x)$ which implies that $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x^{-1}) = A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x)$. Hence $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is a $(\lambda, \mu) -$ multifuzzy subgroup of G .

Theorem 4.5 :

If $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is a $(\lambda, \mu) -$ multi fuzzy normal subgroup of a group G and for every $\alpha \in [0,1]^k$, then $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha$ is a normal subgroup of G , where $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(e) \geq \alpha$ and ‘ e ’ is the identity element of G .

Proof :

Let $x \in [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha$ and $g \in G$. Then , $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(e) \geq \alpha$.

That is , $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x) \geq \alpha_i, \forall i \dots\dots\dots(1)$

Since $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is a $(\lambda, \mu) -$ MFNSG of G ,

$$A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(g^{-1}xg) = A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x), \forall i.$$

$$\Rightarrow A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(g^{-1}xg) = A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x) \geq \alpha_i, \forall i, \text{ by using (1).}$$

$$\Rightarrow A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(g^{-1}xg) \geq \alpha_i, \forall i$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(g^{-1}xg) \geq \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow g^{-1}xg \in [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha \text{ is normal subgroup of } G$$

Theorem 4.6 :

If $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ are any two $(\lambda, \mu) -$ multi fuzzy subgroups ($(\lambda, \mu) -$ MFSGs) of a group G , then $(A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda, \mu)})$ is also a $(\lambda, \mu) -$ multi fuzzy subgroup of G .

Proof:

By the above theorem 4.6, $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is a $(\lambda, \mu) -$ multi fuzzy subgroup of $G \Leftrightarrow$ each $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha$ is a subgroup of G for all $\alpha \in [0,1]^k$ with $\alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$. But, since $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha = [A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha \cap [B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha$ and both $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha$ and $[B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha$ are subgroups of G (as $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ are $(\lambda, \mu) -$ multi fuzzy subgroups) and the intersection of any two subgroups is also a subgroup of G , which implies that $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_\alpha$ is a subgroup of G and hence $(A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda, \mu)})$ is a $(\lambda, \mu) -$ multi fuzzy subgroup of G .

Remark 4.7 :

The union of two $(\lambda, \mu) -$ multi fuzzy subgroups of a group G need not be a $(\lambda, \mu) -$ MFSG of the group G .

Proof: Consider the Klein’s four group $G = \{e, a, b, ab\}$, where $a^2 = e = b^2$ and $ba = ab$. For $0 \leq i \leq 5$, let $t_i, s_i \in [0,1]^k$ such that $r_0 > r_1 > \dots > r_5$ and $s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_5$. Define $(\lambda, \mu) -$ MFSSs $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ of dimension k as follows : $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} = \{(x, A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x)) : x \in G\}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)} = \{(x, B^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x)) : x \in G\}$, where $A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(e) = r_1 \vee \lambda_i \wedge \mu_i, A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(a) = r_3 \vee \lambda_i \wedge \mu_i, A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(b) = r_4 \vee \lambda_i \wedge \mu_i = A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(ab)$ and $B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(e) = r_0 \vee \lambda_i \wedge \mu_i, B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(a) = r_5 \vee \lambda_i \wedge \mu_i = B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(ab), B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(b) = r_2 \vee \lambda_i \wedge \mu_i$.

Clearly $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ are (λ, μ) – multi fuzzy subgroups of G .

$$\text{Now } (A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda, \mu)})(x) = \max\{A^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x), B^{(\lambda, \mu)}(x)\} = (\max\{A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x), B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x)\})_{i=1}^k$$

$$(A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)} \cup B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)})(e) = r_0 \vee \lambda_i \wedge \mu_i, (A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)} \cup B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)})(a) = r_3 \vee \lambda_i \wedge \mu_i, (A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)} \cup B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)})(b) = r_2 \vee \lambda_i \wedge \mu_i; A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(ab) = r_4 \vee \lambda_i \wedge \mu_i.$$

$$[A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}]_{r_3} = \{x: x \in G \text{ such that } A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x) \geq r_3\} = \{e, a\}$$

$$[B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}]_{r_3} = \{x: x \in G \text{ such that } B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x) \geq r_3\} = \{e\}$$

$$[A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)} \cup B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}]_{r_3} = \{x: x \in G \text{ such that } A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x) \geq r_3\} \\ = \{x: x \in G \text{ such that } \max\{A_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x), B_i^{(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}(x)\} \geq r_3\} = \{e, a, b\}$$

Since $\{e, a, b\}$ is not a subgroup of G , $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]_{r_3}$ is not a subgroup of G . Hence $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]$ is not a subgroup of G and there fore $[A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda, \mu)}]$ is not a (λ, μ) –MFSG of the group G .

Example 4.8 : There are two cases needed to clarify the previous theorem 3.7 and remark.

Case (i) : Consider the abelian group $G = \{e, a, b, ab\}$ with usual multiplication such that $a^2 = e = b^2$ and $ab = ba$. Let $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} = \{ \langle e, (0.6 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.8 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle a, (0.4 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.4 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle b, (0.3 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.3 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle ab, (0.3 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.3 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle \}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)} = \{ \langle e, (0.7 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.7 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle a, (0.2 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.2 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle b, (0.4 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.4 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle ab, (0.2 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.2 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle \}$ be two (λ, μ) –MFSSs having dimension two of G . Clearly $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ are (λ, μ) – MFSGs of G .

$$\text{Then } A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda, \mu)} = \left\{ \langle e, (0.6 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.7 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle a, (0.2 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.2 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle b, (0.3 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.3 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle ab, \left(\begin{matrix} 0.2 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1 \\ 0.2 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2 \end{matrix} \right) \rangle \right\}$$

$$\text{and } A^t \cup B^t = \{ \langle e, (0.7 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.8 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle a, \left(\begin{matrix} 0.4 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1 \\ 0.4 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2 \end{matrix} \right) \rangle, \\ \langle b, (0.4 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.4 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle ab, (0.3 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.3 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle \}$$

$$\langle b, (0.4 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.4 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle ab, (0.3 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.3 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle \}$$

Therefore it is easily verified that in this case $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is a (λ, μ) – MFSG of G and $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is not a (λ, μ) – MFSG of G . Hence case (i).”

Case(ii): Consider the abelian group $G = \{e, a, b, ab\}$ “with usual multiplication such that $a^2 = e = b^2$ and $ab = ba$. Let $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} = \{ \langle e, (0.5 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.9 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle a, (0.4 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.6 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle b, (0.1 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.2 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle ab, (0.1 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.2 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle \}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)} = \{ \langle e, (0 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.7 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle a, (0 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.4 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle b, (0 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.1 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle ab, (0 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.1 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle \}$ be two (λ, μ) –MFSSs having dimension two of G . Clearly $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ are (λ, μ) – MFSGs of G .

$$\text{Then } A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda, \mu)} = \{ \langle e, (0 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.7 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle a, (0 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.4 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle b, (0 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.1 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle ab, (0 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.1 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle \} \text{ and } A^t \cup B^t = \{ \langle e, (0.5 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.9 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle a, (0.4 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.6 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle b, (0.1 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.2 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle, \langle ab, (0.1 \vee \lambda_1 \wedge \mu_1, 0.2 \vee \lambda_2 \wedge \mu_2) \rangle \}.$$

Here, it can be easily verified that both $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cap B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ are (λ, μ) – MFSGs of G . Hence case (ii).

From the conclusion of the above example, we come to the point that there is an uncertainty in verifying whether or not $A^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cup B^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is a (λ, μ) – MFSG of G .

Theorem 4.9 :

If $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ be any two (λ, μ) –MFSGs of a group G . Then $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \circ B^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is a (λ, μ) –MFSG of $G \Leftrightarrow A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \circ B^{(\lambda,\mu)} = B^{(\lambda,\mu)} \circ A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$

Proof : Since $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ and $B^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ are (λ, μ) – MFSGs of G , each $[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$ and $[B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$ are subgroups of $G, \forall \alpha \in [0,1]^k$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i \dots \dots \dots (1)$

Suppose $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \circ B^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is a (λ, μ) –MFSG of $G \Leftrightarrow$ each $[A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \circ B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$ are subgroups of $G, \forall \alpha \in [0,1]^k$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$.

Now, from (1), $[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha \circ [B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$ is a subgroup of $G \Leftrightarrow [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha \circ [B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha = [B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha \circ [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$, since if H and K are any two subgroups of G , then HK is a subgroup of $G \Leftrightarrow HK=KH \Leftrightarrow [A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \circ B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha = [B^{(\lambda,\mu)} \circ A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in [0,1]^k$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i. \Leftrightarrow A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \circ B^{(\lambda,\mu)} = B^{(\lambda,\mu)} \circ A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$.

Theorem 4.10 :

If $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is any (λ, μ) –MFSG of a group G , then $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \circ A^{(\lambda,\mu)} = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$.

Proof: Since $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is a (λ, μ) –MFSG of a group G , each $[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$ is a subgroup of $G, \forall \alpha \in [0,1]^k$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$.

$\Rightarrow [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha \circ [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha = [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$, since H is a subgroup of $G \Rightarrow HH=H$.

$\Rightarrow [A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \circ A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha = [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in [0,1]^k$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$.

$\Rightarrow A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \circ A^{(\lambda,\mu)} = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$.

5. (λ, μ) –multi fuzzy cosets of a group

Definition 5.1 :

Let G be a group and $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ be a (λ, μ) – MFSG of G . Let $x \in G$ be a fixed element. Then the set $x A^{(\lambda,\mu)} = \{(g, x A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(g)) : g \in G\}$ where $x A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(g) = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x^{-1}g), \forall g \in G$ is called the (λ, μ) – multi fuzzy left coset of G determined by $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ and x .

Similarly, the set $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} x = \{(g, A^{(\lambda,\mu)} x(g)) : g \in G\}$ where $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} x(g) = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(gx^{-1}), \forall g \in G$ is called the (λ, μ) –multifuzzy right coset of G determined by $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ and x .

Remark 5.2 :

It is clear that if $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is a (λ, μ) –multi fuzzy normal subgroup of G , then the (λ, μ) – multi fuzzy left coset and the (λ, μ) –multi fuzzy right coset of $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ on G coincides and in this case, we simply call it as (λ, μ) –multi fuzzy coset.

Example 5.3 :

Let G be a group. Then $A^{(\lambda,\mu)} = \{(x, A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x)) : x \in G / A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x) = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(e)\}$ is a (λ, μ) –multi fuzzy normal subgroup of G .

Theorem 5.4 :

Let $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ be a (λ, μ) – multifuzzy subgroup of G and x be any fixed element of G . Then the following hold :

(i) $x[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha = [x A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$

(ii) $[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha x = [A^{(\lambda,\mu)} x]_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in [0,1]^k$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$.

Proof :

(i) $[x A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha = \{g \in G : x A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(g) \geq \alpha\}$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } x[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha &= x\{y \in G : A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(y) \geq \alpha\} \\ &= \{xy \in G : A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(y) \geq \alpha\} \dots \dots \dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

Put $xy = g \Rightarrow y = x^{-1}g$. Then (1) can be written as ,

$$x[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha = \{g \in G : A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x^{-1}g) \geq \alpha\} = \{g \in G : xA^{(\lambda,\mu)}(g) \geq \alpha\} = [xA^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$$

Therefore, $x[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha = [xA^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in [0,1]^k$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$.

(ii) Now $[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}x]_\alpha = \{g \in G : A_x^{(\lambda,\mu)}(g) \geq \alpha\}$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha x &= \{y \in G : A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(y) \geq \alpha\}x \\ &= \{yx \in G : A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(y) \geq \alpha\} \dots \dots \dots (2) \end{aligned}$$

Set $yx = g \Rightarrow y = gx^{-1}$. Then (2) can be written as $[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha x = \{g \in G : A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(gx^{-1}) \geq \alpha\}$

$$= \{g \in G : A_x^{(\lambda,\mu)}(g) \geq \alpha\} = [A_x^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$$

Therefore, $[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha x = [A_x^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in [0,1]^k$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$.

Theorem 5.5 :

Let $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ be a (λ, μ) – MFSG of a group G . Let x, y be any two elements of G such that $= \min\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x), A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(y)\}$. Then the following hold :

(i) $xA^{(\lambda,\mu)} = yA^{(\lambda,\mu)} \Leftrightarrow x^{-1}y \in [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$

(ii) $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}x = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}y \Leftrightarrow yx^{-1} \in [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$

Proof :

(i) $xA^{(\lambda,\mu)} = yA^{(\lambda,\mu)} \Leftrightarrow [xA^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha = [yA^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in [0,1]^k$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$.

$\Leftrightarrow x[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha = y[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$, by Theorem 4.5 (i).

$\Leftrightarrow x^{-1}y \in [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$, since each $[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$ is a subgroup of G .

(ii) $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}x = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}y \Leftrightarrow [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}x]_\alpha = [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}y]_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in [0,1]^k$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$.

$\Leftrightarrow [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha x = [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha y$, by Theorem 4.5 (ii).

$\Leftrightarrow xy^{-1} \in [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$, since each $[A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$ is a subgroup of G .

6. Homomorphisms of (λ, μ) – Multi fuzzy subgroup

In this section we shall prove some theorems on (λ, μ) –MFSGs of a group by homomorphism.

Preposition 6.1 :

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an onto map. If A and B are multi-fuzzy sets with dimension k of X and Y respectively , then the following hold :

(i) $f([A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha) \subseteq [f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha$

(ii) $f^{-1}([B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha) = [f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in [0,1]^k$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$.

Proof :

(i) Let $y \in f\left([A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha\right)$. Then there exist an element $x \in [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$ such that $f(x) = y$. Then we have $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x) \geq \alpha$,

$$\text{Since } x \in [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)}(x) \geq \alpha_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \max\{A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)}(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\} \geq \alpha_i, \forall i.$$

$$\Rightarrow \max\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\} \geq \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})(y) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow y \in [f\left(f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})\right)]_\alpha$$

Therefore, $\left([A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha\right) \subseteq [f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha, \forall A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \in (\lambda, \mu) - MFS(X)$.

(ii) Let $x \in [f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha \Leftrightarrow \{x \in X : f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda,\mu)})(x) \geq \alpha\}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \{x \in X : f^{-1}(B_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)})(x) \geq \alpha_i\}, \forall i.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \{x \in X : B_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)}(f(x)) \geq \alpha_i\}, \forall i.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \{x \in X : B^{(\lambda,\mu)}(f(x)) \geq \alpha\}, \forall i.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \{x \in X : f(x) \in [B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha\} \Leftrightarrow \{x \in X : x \in f^{-1}([B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha)\}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f^{-1}([B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha)$$

Theorem 6.2

Let $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be an onto homomorphism and if $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is a $(\lambda, \mu) - MFSG$ of G_1 , then $f(B^{(\lambda,\mu)})$ is a $(\lambda, \mu) - MFSG$ of group G_2 .

Proof :

By theorem 4.4 , it is enough to prove that each $[f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha$ is a subgroup of G_2 . $\forall \alpha \in [0,1]^k$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$. Let $y_1, y_2 \in [f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha$.

Then $f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})(y_1) \geq \alpha$ and $f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})(y_2) \geq \alpha$

$$\Rightarrow f(A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)})(y_1) \geq \alpha_i$$

$$\Rightarrow f(A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)})(y_2) \geq \alpha_i, \forall i \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

By the proposition 6.1(i), we have $f\left([f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha\right) \subseteq [f(f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)}))]_\alpha, \forall f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)}) \in (\lambda, \mu) - MFS(G_1)$.

Since f is onto, there exists some x_1 and x_2 in G_1 such that $f(x_1)=y_1$ and $f(x_2)=y_2$. Therefore, (1) can be written as $f(A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)})(f(x_1)) \geq \alpha_i$ and $f(A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)})(f(x_2)) \geq \alpha_i, \forall i$.

$$\Rightarrow f(A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)})(x_1) \geq f(A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)})(f(x_1)) \geq \alpha_i \text{ and } A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)}(x_2) \geq f(A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)})(f(x_2)) \geq \alpha_i, \forall i.$$

$$\Rightarrow A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)}(x_1) \geq \alpha_i \text{ and } A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)}(x_2) \geq \alpha_i, \forall i.$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x_1) \geq \alpha \text{ and } A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x_2) \geq \alpha .$$

$$\Rightarrow \min\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x_1), A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x_2)\} \geq \alpha .$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x_1x_2^{-1}) \geq \min\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x_1), A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x_2)\} \geq \alpha, \text{ since } A^{(\lambda,\mu)} \in (\lambda, \mu) - MFSG(G_1).$$

$\Rightarrow A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x_1x_2^{-1}) \geq \alpha$
 $\Rightarrow x_1x_2^{-1} \in [A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha \Rightarrow f(x_1x_2^{-1}) \in f([A^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha) \subseteq [f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha$
 $\Rightarrow f(x_1)f(x_2^{-1}) \in [f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha \Rightarrow f(x_1)f(x_2)^{-1} \in [f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha \Rightarrow y_1y_2^{-1} \in [f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha \Rightarrow [f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha$ is a subgroup of $G_2, \forall \alpha \in [0,1]^k \Rightarrow f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)}) \in (\lambda, \mu) - MFSG(G_2)$

Corollary 6.3 :

If $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be a homomorphism of a group G_1 onto a group G_2 and $\{ A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)} : i \in I \}$ be a family of $(\lambda, \mu) - MFSG$ s of G_1 , then $f(\cap A_i^{(\lambda_i,\mu_i)})$ is an $(\lambda, \mu) - MFSG$ of G_2 .

Theorem 6.4 :

Let $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be a homomorphism of a group G_1 into a group G_2 . If $B^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is an $(\lambda, \mu) - MFSG$ of G_2 , then $f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda,\mu)})$ is also a $(\lambda, \mu) - MFSG$ of G_1 .

Proof :

By theorem 4.4, it is enough to prove that $[f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha$ is a subgroup of G_1 , with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i$.

Let $x_1, x_2 \in [f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha$. Then $f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda,\mu)})(x_1) \geq \alpha$ and $f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda,\mu)})(x_2) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow B^{(\lambda,\mu)}(f(x_1)) \geq \alpha$ and $B^{(\lambda,\mu)}(f(x_2)) \geq \alpha$

$\Rightarrow \min\{B^{(\lambda,\mu)}(f(x_1)), B^{(\lambda,\mu)}(f(x_2))\} \geq \alpha$

$\Rightarrow B^{(\lambda,\mu)}(f(x_1)f(x_2)^{-1}) \geq \min\{B^{(\lambda,\mu)}(f(x_1)), B^{(\lambda,\mu)}(f(x_2))\} \geq \alpha$, since $B^{(\lambda,\mu)} \in (\lambda, \mu) - MFSG(G_2)$.

$\Rightarrow (f(x_1)f(x_2)^{-1}) \in [B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha \Rightarrow f(x_1x_2^{-1}) \in [B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha$, since f is a homomorphism.

$\Rightarrow x_1x_2^{-1} \in f^{-1}([B^{(\lambda,\mu)}]_\alpha) = [f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha$, by the preposition 6.1(ii).

$\Rightarrow x_1x_2^{-1} \in [f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha \Rightarrow [f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda,\mu)})]_\alpha$ is a subgroup of G_1 .

$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(B^{(\lambda,\mu)})$ is a $(\lambda, \mu) - MFSG$ of G_1 .

Theorem 6.5 :

Let $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be a surjective homomorphism and if $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is a $(\lambda, \mu) - MFSG$ of a group G_1 , then $f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})$ is also a $(\lambda, \mu) - MFNSG$ of a group G_2 .

Proof :

Let $g_2 \in G_2$ and $y \in f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})$. Since f is surjective, there exists $g_1 \in G_1$ and $x \in A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$, such that $f(x) = y$ and $f(g_1) = g_2$.

Also, since $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is a $(\lambda, \mu) - MFNSG$ of $G_1, A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(g_1^{-1}xg_1) = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x), \forall x \in A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ and $g_1 \in G_1$.

Now consider, $f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})(g_2^{-1}xg_2) = f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})(f(g_1^{-1}xg_1)) = f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})(y')$, since f is a homomorphism, where $y' = f(g_1^{-1}xg_1) = g_2^{-1}yg_2 = \max\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x') : f(x') = y' \text{ for } x' \in G_1\} = \max\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x') : f(g_1^{-1}xg_1) \text{ for } x' \in G_1\} = \max\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(g_1^{-1}xg_1) : f(g_1^{-1}xg_1) = y'\} = g_2^{-1}yg_2$ for $x \in A^{(\lambda,\mu)}, g_1 \in G_1\} = \max\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x) : f(g_1^{-1}xg_1) = y'\} = g_2^{-1}yg_2$ for $x \in A^{(\lambda,\mu)}, g_1 \in G_1\} = \max\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x) : f(g_1)^{-1}f(x)f(g_1) = g_2^{-1}yg_2 \text{ for } x \in A^{(\lambda,\mu)}, g_1 \in G_1\} = \max\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x) : g_2^{-1}f(x)g_2 = g_2^{-1}yg_2 \text{ for } x \in G_1\} = \max\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x) : f(x) = y \text{ for } x \in G_1\} = f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})(y)$. Hence $f(A^{(\lambda,\mu)})$ is a $(\lambda, \mu) - MFNSG$ of G_2 .

Theorem 6.6 :

If $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is a $(\lambda, \mu) - MFNSG$ of a group G , then there exists a natural homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow G/A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ defined by $f(x) = xA^{(\lambda,\mu)}, \forall x \in G$.

Proof :

Let $f: G \rightarrow G/A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ defined by $(x) = xA^{(\lambda,\mu)}, \forall x \in G$.

Claim 1: f is a homomorphism

That is, to prove that : $f(xy) = f(x)f(y), \forall x, y \in G$, or $(xy)A^{(\lambda,\mu)} = (xA^{(\lambda,\mu)})(yA^{(\lambda,\mu)}), \forall x, y \in G$

Since $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is a $(\lambda, \mu) - MFNSG$ of G , we have $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(g^{-1}xg) = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x), \forall x \in A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ and $g \in G$.

Equivalently, $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(xy) = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(yx), \forall x, y \in G$.

Also, $\forall g \in G$, we have $(xA^{(\lambda,\mu)})(g) = (A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x^{-1}g))$

$(yA^{(\lambda,\mu)})(g) = (A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(y^{-1}g))$

$[(xy)A^{(\lambda,\mu)}](g) = (A^{(\lambda,\mu)}((xy)^{-1}g)), \forall g \in G$.

By definition 3.13, we have $[(xA^{(\lambda,\mu)})(yA^{(\lambda,\mu)})](g) = (\min\{xA^{(\lambda,\mu)}(r), yA^{(\lambda,\mu)}(s)\}: g = rs)$

$=[\min\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x^{-1}r), A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(y^{-1}s)\}: g = rs]$

Claim 2 : $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}[(xy)^{-1}g] = \max[\min\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x^{-1}r), A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(y^{-1}s)\}: g = rs], \forall g \in G$.

Consider $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}[(xy)^{-1}g] = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}[y^{-1}x^{-1}g] = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}[y^{-1}x^{-1}rs]$, since $g = rs$.

$= A^{(\lambda,\mu)}[y^{-1}(x^{-1}rsy^{-1})y] = A^{(\lambda,\mu)}[x^{-1}rsy^{-1}]$, since $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is normal.

$\geq \min\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x^{-1}r), A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(sy^{-1})\}$, since $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is $(\lambda, \mu) - MFSG$.

$= \min\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x^{-1}r), A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(y^{-1}s)\}, \forall g = rs \in G$, since $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is normal.

Therefore, $A^{(\lambda,\mu)}[(xy)^{-1}g] = \max[\min\{A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(x^{-1}r), A^{(\lambda,\mu)}(y^{-1}s)\}: g = rs], \forall g \in G$.

Which proves the Claim 2.

Thus, $[(xy)A^{(\lambda,\mu)}](g) = [(xA^{(\lambda,\mu)})(yA^{(\lambda,\mu)})](g), \forall g \in G \Rightarrow (xy)A^{(\lambda,\mu)} = (xA^{(\lambda,\mu)})(yA^{(\lambda,\mu)})$

$\Rightarrow f(xy) = f(x)f(y) \Rightarrow f$ is a homomorphism. This proves the Claim 1 and hence the Theorem .

REFERENCES

1. Atanassov. K.T., "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets," Fuzzy sets and fuzzy systems, 20(1), 87-96(1986)
2. Atanassov. K.T., "New operation defined Over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets," Fuzzy sets and fuzzy systems, 61(2), 137-142(1994)
3. Balasubramanian. KR and Rajangam. R., "t- intuitionistic multi fuzzy subgroup of a group", Journal of Applied Science and Computations, Volume 5, Issue 11, ISSN NO: 1076- 5131, November/2018
4. Basnet.D.K. & Sarma.N.K., " A note on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Equivalence Relation", International Mathematical Forum, Vol.5, No.67, 3301-3307(2010).
5. Beaula.T. & Christinal Gunaseeli.S(2014), "On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces", International Journal Of Applications of Fuzzy Sets and Artificial Intelligence, 4, 83-93(2014)
6. Bhakat. S. K. and Das. P., " $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy subgroup," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 359–368, 1996.
7. Biswas.R, Vague Groups", International Journal of Computational Cognition, Vol. 4, No. 2, 20-23(2006)

8. Das.P.S,"Fuzzy groups and level subgroups,"Journal Pf Mathematical Analysis and Applications,84,262-269 (1981)
9. J.A. Goguen,"L-fuzzy set", Journal of Mathematical analysis and Applications,18 (1967), 145-174.
10. Hur.K, Jang.S.Y and Kang.H.W,"Intuitionistic Fuzzy Subgroups and Fuzzy subrings", Honam Math J,25(1):19-41(2003)
11. Kul.H,and Su Youn.J," The Lattice of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Congruences ",International Mathematical Forem,Vol.1,No.5,211-236 (2006).
12. Mukharjee.N.P, and Bhattacharya.P,"Fuzzy Normal Subgroups and Fuzzy Cosets", Information Sciences,34,225-239
13. Muthuraj.R, and Balamurugan.S", A Study on Intuitionistic Multi-Fuzzy Subgroups", International Journal of Applications of Fuzzy sets and Artificial Intelligence, Vol.4,153-172 (2014)
14. Muthuraj.R, and Balamurugan.S",Multi-Fuzzy Group and its level Subgroup, Gen. Math. Notes, Vol.17, No.1, pp.74-81 (2013)
15. Rosenfeld.A," Fuzzy Group", Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 3, 12-17 (1971).
16. Sabu.S,Ramakrishnan.T.V,"MultiFuzzy Sets",International Mathematical Forum,50, 2471-2476 (2010)
17. Sabu.S and Ramakrishnan.T.V,"Multi-Fuzzy Topology",International Journal of Applied Mathematics,Vol.24,No.1,117-129,(2011)
18. Sabu.S,Ramakrishnan.TV," MultiFuzzy SubGroups", Int.J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, Vol.6,No.8, 365-372(2011)
19. Sharma.P.K ," t-intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups", International journal of Fuzzy Mathematics and System,(submitted)
20. Sharma.P.K ,"t-intuitionistic fuzzy Quotient group", Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics,7(1): 1-9(2012)
21. Sinoj.T.K, and Sunil.J.J.," Intuitionistic Fuzzy Multi-Sets", International Journal of Engineering Sciences and Innovaive Technology,Vol.2,No.6,1-24 (2013)
22. Yao. B, "(λ, μ)-fuzzy normal subgroups and (λ, μ)-fuzzy quotient subgroups," The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 695–705, 2005.
23. Yuying Li, Xuzhu Wang, and Liqiong Yang," A Study of (λ, μ)-Fuzzy Subgroups", Journal of Applied Mathematics Volume 2013
24. Yuan. X, Zhang. C, and Ren. Y, "Generalized fuzzy groups and many-valued implications," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 138, no. 1, pp. 205–211, 2003.
25. L.A. Zadeh., Fuzzy set, Information and Control 8,(1965) 338-353