

New Normal Mindset in Human Resources Strategies of Entrepreneurs for Sustainability in Thailand

¹Dr.Tosaporn Mahamud, ²Asst Prof. Dr.Thantip Pojsupap, ³Dr.Pattraporn Charoenrat , ⁴Mr. Witchayapong Srikacha

¹Gaduate School of Business Administration, Kasembundit University,Bangkok

²Business Administration of Modern Management Kasembundit University,Bangkok

³Communication Arts of Contemporary Performing Arts Communication, Kasembundit, University, Bangkok

⁴Business Administration of Human Resource Management, Kasembundit University,Bangkok

Article History: Received: 11 January 2021; Revised: 12 February 2021; Accepted: 27 March 2021; Published online: 10 May 2021

Abstract : This article aims to study Business organization Need to prepare a response And keep up with the changes Environment and situation The purpose of the content is to be described. One Strategic Human Resources Management for Dealing with All Situations and Context of NNM second HRS, NNM - Approach to New Context of Entrepreneurs for Generating Consistent Roles third Strategies of Entrepreneur for NNM Business Sustainable four Identity Representing Sustainability when Challenging with COVID-19 Crisis in Thailand five Issues that should be emphasized by entrepreneurs And the issues that entrepreneurs should pay attention to Both in the HRS strategic human resource division, creating a sustainability concept for the benefit of business organizations and entrepreneurs.

Keyword: Business, Human resource, Entrepreneur

1. Introduction

We can ask ourselves whether we want to change or let ourselves to be died by COVID-19. (Zavattaro, 2020) Covid19 We have no idea when this situation will be ended but it will be surely ended one day. (Brown,2020) What we can do is leading ourselves to new direction for becoming new persons (Ahlstrom, et al, 2020)

To become modern leaders for employees, we have to change ourselves, our styles, and team leading methods. (Offermann, Thomas, Lanzo, & Smith,2020) We have to understand culture of each employee in each field. We have to understand our personnel better for connecting with them more deeply .We have to understand their problems and how to ask them to work hard in this situation. To achieve those goals, we have to give them our hearts. The importance of integration between sustainability and organizational management is considered as a phenomenon attracting us increasingly due to effects of pressure leading to a critical change of organization's determination expressing to this problem (Ciulla,& Joanne 1996)

Loon,Ebede & Stewart.(2020) these capabilities can be developed through understanding and their role in key HR practices how business model innovation works and can be systematically pursued in the firm, so firms can develop new ventures with better chances of success in the turbulent environment of the New Normal.


Under attitude of NNM, it explains on the first part differently based on studied viewpoint therefore this term is included with the point of view under HRS in strategic analysis in Workplace for operating business to survive under Problem-Based Learning' in COVID-19 (Buheji & Buheji, 2020) In the light of current economy, Thailand started to encounter with a severe crisis from January 2020 (Okada, et al. 2020) Performance Appraisal is the process of assessing one of the areas in HRM which is considerably affected crisis Covid19 New normal directly with HRS no one knows how it would be. However, since we already know that it will not be the same, we have to choose the direction to make us successful in New Normal (Nitika, & Poonam Arora, 2020).

From 2 case studies, the important issues could be concluded as follows:

1. The obtained insights show that the greatest challenge for the organizations was accessing realistic customer demand for the welfare that operators have to face and resolve with their employees (Donthu & Gustafsson, 2020) Whether we want it or not, we have to start choosing whether we have to keep going or step backward through reducing salary, reducing welfares, working from home. The struggles telework researchers face have been considerable. Definitional issues alterations to office work habits, norms, and practices in an effort to reduce the pressures found in the traditional workplace.If we say that it is the end, it will be the same without any difference (Bailey, & Kurland, 2020)

2. We are unable to learn things without adjusting our new normal Mindset NNM: (Nathan, 2020) We are unable to say that this is not our role or work, for example, AI waiting for role improving in workplace that is not familiar with us. (Wua, et al.2020) We have to adjust our own NNM and we must not to care whether

we used to do that thing before or not. We have to improve our capacity and change ourselves to deal with New Normal for sustainability (Verdini & Zhang 2020) as shown Table 1.



Source: (Nwankwo, et al. 2020, Weber,2020,Lewis,2020,Burnette, et al.2020.)

Defined the term, sustainability, that was consistent with the concept hereof by referring to organizational operations and development under difficulties for responding to demands of people in organizations, especially specifying important points on instable development and completeness of environment referring to limited ability on restoration. (Wijethilake & Upadhaya, 2020) established the organizational strategy for future by explaining new normal and new culture long-term success of organizations. (Ping, 2020) It means that company’s manager and directors consider that sustainability management (Bai & Sarkis, 2020) However, one weakness of analysis and guidelines for sustainability is explanation on the given concept (Demers, & Gond, 2020) regarding the method for connecting with organizational personnel, especially understanding in all dimensions. (Taylor, 2020)

2. Strategic Human Resources Management for Dealing with All Situations under these 2 Principles and Context of NNM:

Principle 1: Most researches analyzing on sustainability based on the viewpoint of Human Resources Management (HRMS) (Randev, & Jha, 2019) emphasized on the study on “Best Practice” that is considered as another section that will suit with current situation. For primary NNM procedure, the first study is the study on systems connecting with innovations for flexibility and other things. (Chen., Tan., & Chan, 2020) Since COVID-19 It has also sparked fears of an impending economic crisis and recession. Social distancing, self-isolation and travel restrictions have lead to a reduced workforce across all economic sectors (Nicola, et al, 2020)

Principle 2: For family business management system, (Basco, & Bartkevi, 2016) Thai some companies there is no policy on lay-off but other incomes will be generated” (Suborna, 2020) When New normal mindset becomes a part of our life, future sustainable (Mezher,2011) In addition, the latest concept like happiness in workplace is also applied.

Finally, systems that are consistent with new normal mindset and supports environmental entrepreneurship results in new atmosphere create important parts, i.e., they proposed some guidelines for studying HRS under organizational sustainability. (Ren & Jacksonb, 2020) Since they did not specify HRS format for sustainability, new culture value in organization (Galpin, Whittington, & Bell,2015) this article adds necessity to build analysis framework for explaining how sustainable strategic human resources management suits with business sustainability. (Cabrales, & Cabrera, 2020)

3. HRS, NNM - Approach to New Context of Entrepreneurs for Generating Consistent Roles

In this part, we aim to prove how strategy and NNM finding leads to structural changes and organizational process, (Ahlstroma, et.al 2020) for example, collection of new guidelines on human resources management. (Sabet, & Razeghi, 2019) From conducting literature review on sustainable organizational strategy design, (Bocken., & Geradtsd., 2020) dimension by specifying 4 types of strategy that was called compliance with proactive/immature rules and regulations.. We proposed these 4 different types of idealistic strategic positioning in the light of sustainability although (Silvestre, Silva, Cormack,&Thome, 2020) they are able to switch from one type to another type in the event that they want to decide to change.

3.1 First Type: companies try to respond to future changes in order to maintain their positions. (Davenport,Guha,Grewal,&Bressgott, 2020) When considering on these characteristics from organizations with these reactions, (Zimmermann, Lioliou, & Oliveira,2020) it is reasonable to expect that there will be no other concerns on environment and sustainability. (Halme, M., Rintamäki,Knudsen, Lankoski, & Kuisma,2020) These companies do not consider on any standard or regulation in the light of environmental or social sustainability (Mohan & Tang 2018)

3.2 Second Type: From literature review on sustainability strategies, (Miller, & Mössner,2020) there may be some conflicts on sustainability and strategies on sustainable compliance with rules and regulations (Galuppo, Gorli, Scaratti, & Kaneklin, 2014) In other words, organizations established under rules and regulations on sustainability Åsa & Thedvall 2012) still seek for ultimate return for their shareholders as prevalent factors (Lopez & Cabrera (2019

3.3 Third Type: Establishment of strategy for sustainability is considered as an action of those organizations. Adrien & Joseph, 2007) We assume that this strategy will be positioned between compliance with rules and regulations and proactive strategies (Voola, &O'Cass,2010)These companies try to improve efficiency of environmental and social impacts (Li, FuSingh, Liu, Li, & Zhou, 2020) as well as profits by balancing investment invested by them in those areas in order to respond to important initiative projects on sustainability developed (Castro & Vanclay, 2020) by their competitors.

3.4 Type 4: This is the last procedure for sustainability as explained above, i.e., organizations applying proactive attitudes (Gordon, 2013)towards these problems. In this case, sustainability is understood as decisive factor on competitiveness and innovations on sustainability. (Zameer,Wang,Yasmeen,& Mubarak,2020)

It is the element of innovative guidelines for products of organizations in work condition improvement process (Chege& Wang, 2020) etc. the light of green impacts, social effects, long-term benefits, and competitiveness. The goal is to respond to beneficiaries under the viewpoint of improvement of efficiency and value given to each person. It is considered that principles on risks and differences on logo creation of employers influence on innovative sector and new market approaching. (Thomas, Blok, Dorrestijn & Macnaghten,2020)

As a result, these 4 types consider on sustainability differently based on methods of this concept regarding organizational culture and behaviors. They affect to workplace management differently based on each organization. It is not another aspect that is interested by outsiders but it also includes internal effects when considering on long-term and short-term effects. Systematical sustainability means that environmental, social, and economic guidelines affect to many duties in organizations and human resources. The survey conducted by the author also specified the strategic guidelines for human resources management that were driven by HRS. Strategy on sustainable human resources management must be able to be integrated.

4. There are 2 elements of sustainable HRM process as follows:

Environmental sustainability built in Thai organizations caused overall cultural changes, thinking method changes, and systematical changes. (Suriyankietkaew, Avery, 2016) These are factors on demands and behaviors of new employees emphasizing (Rabl, et al, 2020) on involvement of confidence building in the form (Howcroft,Hamilton,&Hewer,2007) the strategy on sustainable human resources management(Kramar,2014) by referring to orientation towards human resources based on human resources production and effects. However, it is separated from general strategies designed by organizations for solving problems. (Lee, 2000) This lack of connection designs contextual analysis format of strategic management of human resources emphasizing on environmental sustainability of organizations. (Defee, et. al., (2009) Although the author signaled that content variable specified in HRM process and strategic management of human resources (Cakar,Bititci, & MacBryde, 2003)

4.1 First Element – HRS Strategy: Companies have behaviors responding to new normal mindset concept (Ping,2020) therefore compliance and control over rules and regulations in the light of sustainability of organizations (Vigneau, Humphreys, & Moon,2015) with this strategic orientation must be based on and seek for formality and high level requirements on operated activities in HRM (Nguyen, & Bryant, 2004) without specifying individuals and principles of sustainability. (Demers & Gond 2020) It is a kind of strategy that is based on cost reduction through external rules and regulations and external changes controlling sustainability. (Baumgartner 2014) Strategy establishment is to some extent of confidence due to changes (Wiersema, & Bird 1993) of laws or rules and regulations controlling parameters of sustainability development. (Vaio & Varriale, 2018) This human resources strategy is consistent with general In the light of institution, pressure is considered as the most important external parameter (Cunha, Gomes, Mellahi, Miner, & Rego, 2020)

4.2 Second Element: When strategies of sustainable organizations emphasize (Allan Gibb & Adhikary,2000) Competency related to organizations is agility and rapidity in the light of sustainable which competition represents that internal works can be done and accepted (Trinh Molla & Peszynski, 2012)

customers and general environment. (Grayson, Johnson, & Chen, 2008) Internal flexibility and ability to collect new knowledge for organizations become the definitive elements of organizations Development of this strategy on human resources may lead to superior results. (Lismen, Chan, Shaffer & Snape, 2004)

Finally, personnel resources management strategy that is adjusted to meet with sustainable proactive/innovative (Ayerbe, Scarpellini, Valero & Rivera, 2016) strategy will become the strategy that promotes and causes behaviors (Waisbord, 2020) indicating organizational strategy on innovations as clearly mentioned This strategy (McDonald, 2007) was used for developed products or services that were different from competitors. (Bengtsson, & Kock, 1999)

5. Strategies of Entrepreneur for NNM Business Sustainable

From conducting high quality literature review from ISI, Scopus, (Joshi, 2016) one cause hindering sustainable organizational strategy to be effective is that HRMS (Tsai, & Chang, 2013) is not included in strategic planning process and utilization of this strategy (Hutter, 2007) Main reason found in the literature on HRS strategy was defined in order to improve efficiency of organizations and human resource activities were designed by specifying that demanded behaviors and HRM orientation was based on organizational strategy orientation. Expansion of this guideline for covering sustainability, sustainable HRM strategy was designed and oriented in order to define system value leading to organizational sustainability by seeking for appropriateness (Lepak, Liao, Chung, & Harden, 2006)

Strategy on sustainable human resources management as explained above must be transformed into sustainable HRM system (Becker & Huselid, 2006) by considering on internal and external effects of factors under organizational control (Nasim, 2018) This guideline did not cause us propose or study on HRM practices of each individual but it is consideration on HRM system (Donate, et al. 2016)


wholly by seeking for horizontal fit or internal consistency in designed practices and co-operation. (Boerner, Krause & Gebert, 2004) When considering on this argument, relationship system in recruitment must include economic, social, and environmental goals in internal dimensions (Dempsey, Bramley, Powers & Brown, 2011)

Consistency with the concept on sustainability and relationship in recruitment is the truth (Wilden, Gudergan & Lings 2010) stated that relationship of exchanging was made in the form of long-term table. It could be noticed that sustainability in relationship in recruitment (Jaid, et al. 2011) did not mean working throughout lifetime but it meant duration of employment. (Cagnie, et al. 2007) Therefore, to build strategic sustainability, for example, rewards and incentives, it must cover goals and goals related to sustainability with change in behavioral process and abilities through training. In other words, it is HRM strategy and management process developed (Garavan, & McGuire, 2010)

6. Identity Representing Sustainability when Challenging with COVID-19 (Romagosa, 2020) Crisis in Thailand

Another kind of balance relationship in recruitment is called co-investment (Owen & Mason, 2017) with long-term orientation, i.e., companies offered high incentives and expected that employees (Monsen, Patzelt, & Saxton, 2010) would return them high profits. In the light of sustainability, (McGahan, & Porter, 2003) offered incentives may be considered to be supported by economic offers as well as social and environmental offers. (Parker, 2005) In the light of economy, for example, salary offering that is consistent with eternal and external equality and competitiveness connecting with performance, society, and environment (Möbius & Althammer, 2020) (for example, efficiency on energy consumption). In the light of society, opportunities are offered in the light of development through (Abildgaard, et al 2020) occupational training and practices integrated with practices on work/life balance regarding expected behaviors. (Bouzari & Karatepe, 2020) Persons directly related to relief and participation in sustainability will be evaluated. (Liu, Feng, & Wang, 2020) In the event that markets and competitions require these things, employees will positively respond to this relationship model in recruitment because it is balanced. (Tumasjan, et al. 2020) Highly expected behaviors will be compensated by high investment of companies in employees Marie- (Vandenhoute, Hardies & Breesch, 2020)

Actions of competitors (Lafuente, Leiva, Moreno-Gómez, & Szerb, 2020) are the actual interesting point of interest (Aanæs, Dahl, & Pedersen, 2012) and beginning of changes connecting with sustainability included in organizations. (Hinrichs, 2014) Relationship model in recruitment that may be called as mutual sustainability due to content and long-term orientation as well as promoted behaviors for following up competitions may support strategy on sustainable human resource management (Kramar, 2014) as explained by Analyzer above. Generally, practices on HRM (Aboramadan, et al. 2020) that is most suitable for this type of relationship in recruitment will have specific characteristics based on their own orientation. (Yen, et al 2011) In this case, it is assembly of changes that reacts with initiation of competitors and self-adjustment of employees (Song, Xie Wang & Zhou 2020) to suit with new situations that will be supported in long-term by sustainable HRM (Richards, 2020) Table 2



Source: (Helling 1998,Schmiedek & Neubauer 2020,Liu,Sun & Zhang 2020,)

Companies have to comply with these practices in order to maintain competitive position therefore practices on improving competitiveness and participation supported by managers and supervisors of companies seem to be sufficient in environmental level and all levels. Sustainability causes “support on culture for sustainability” enabling companies to accept and adjust sustainability principles in long-term by seeking for excellence of utilization Finally, evaluation process on performance and compensation must aim to realization and rewarding for success and efficiency of practices application and development for sustainability (Jesús Ángel del et al. 2007) Management

7. Innovation on Human Resources Strategies and Organizational Results

From the fact that motivation offered by organizations is higher than responses expected from employees, (Thomas, et al. 2015) organizations are beyond results but they realize that competitiveness is based on such thing. In the light of sustainability and strategic model this thing can be understood as an option of organizations for becoming distinctive and relationship in recruitment emphasizing on innovation on proactive working, prevention, and precognition. (Evan, 2016) It may be said that investment of companies in employees is higher than current participation level. (Diah, et al. 2020) Therefore, workers consider this thing as driver for stressing determination (Sánchez, et al 2020) and participation with sustainability. This new employment is consistent with strategy on proactive sustainable human resources management in order to achieve (Fowler, D. 2019) the goals on sustainable including environment and society (Rana & Short, 2015)

8. Issues that should be emphasized by entrepreneurs

When employees change their work behaviors by working via their own computers that are not under security of companies, (Nazir, Shafi, Asadullah, Qun, & Khadim, 2020) new strategy must be adjusted to deal with this situation. In some neighboring countries in ASEAN, employees or executives have to work from home (Shaw, Kim, & Hua, 2020)

The growth of a kind of business called Work Gyms that has already operated for a period of time but COVID-19 situation and “Work from home” affected to strategy establishment of entrepreneurs. Consequently, this kind of business is grown and recognized increasingly. Work from home or other places may cause people to lack of disciplines because they are not monitored and surrounded y other colleagues like working in workplaces. (Waizenegger, McKenna, Cai & Taino Bendz, 2020)

9. Discussion and conclusions

This article strived to present models and concepts that would help to include the concept on sustainability with strategic analysis of human resources management. Literature review enabled us to specify strategic proposals related to instability of organizations. The Sustainability in determination and orientation of demanded behaviors, support, or foundation of HRM strategy was clarified through practices defining relationship system in internal recruitment. One of them was the nature of companies lacking of organizational strategies and sustainable HRM.

Finally, this article showed analysis format based on the concept under principles of horizontal fit (internal consistency of practices on human resources management in the form of sustainable employment in each format) and vertical fit (different orientation on relationship in recruitment and organizational strategies and sustainable HRM). A point of view that was not considered in this article and should be considered in further researches was adding institutional variables in analysis. Researches on sustainability indicated that institutional pressure made organizations to start strategic process emphasizing on sustainability with understanding that they were seeking for consistency with expectation of environmental condition.

In conclusion, institutional pressure that may be adjustment of sustainability strategy included laws, regulations, social and ethic commitment. In evolution process and new behaviors as requested by companies, the study on roles of leadership as persons taking responsibility on definition of missions and values of

organizations was also important. The concept on sustainable leadership is quite new representing wider understanding on leadership covering leaders who performed operations for building sustainability.

Finally, it will be beneficial for developing proposals of variables/results connecting with 3 dimensions of sustainability including environmental, social, and economic dimensions. This article proposes methods included with measures of honesty towards environment, social equality, and economic prosperity. However, measurement is still argued causing some inquiries on the use of self-report or utilization of permanently stored data or public report that can be used as criteria as suggested

References

1. Aanaes, H., Dahl, A.L. & Steenstrup Pedersen, K.(2012) Interesting Interest Points. *Int J Comput Vis* (97) 18–35 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-011-0473-8>
2. Abildgaard, J. S., et.al (2020). Forms of participation: The development and application of a conceptual model of participation in work environment interventions. *Economic and Industrial Democracy*, 41(3), 746–769. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0143831X17743576>
3. Aboramadan, M., et.al (2020), "Human resources management practices and organizational commitment in higher education: The mediating role of work engagement", *International Journal of Educational Management*, Vol. 34 No. 1, pp. 154-174. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-04-2019-0160>
4. Adrien Presley, Laura Meade & Joseph Sarkis (2007) A strategic sustainability justification methodology for organizational decisions: a reverse logistics illustration, *International Journal of Production Research*, 45:18-19, 4595-4620, DOI: 10.1080/00207540701440220
5. Ahlstrom, D. et al., (2020) Managing technological, sociopolitical, and institutional change in the new normal. *Journal of management Studies* 57(3) 411-437 <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12569>
6. Ahlstroma., D et.al (2020) Managing Technological, Sociopolitical, and Institutional Change in the New Normal. *Journal of Management* 57(3) 411-437
7. Åsa Casula Vifell & Renita Thedvall (2012) Organizing for social sustainability: governance through bureaucratization in meta-organizations, *Sustainability: Science, Practice and Policy*, 8:1, 50-58, DOI: 10.1080/15487733.2012.11908084
8. Bai., C.G& Sarkis., J.S (2020) A supply chain transparency and sustainability technology appraisal model for blockchain technology, *International Journal of Production Research*, 58:7, 2142-2162, DOI: 10.1080/00207543.2019.1708989
9. Bailey, D.E & Kurland., N.B (2020) A review of telework research: findings, new directions, and lessons for the study of modern work. *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 23(4) 283-400
10. Basco, R., & Bartkevi, C. (2016). Is there any room for family business into European Union 2020 Strategy? Family business and regional public policy. *Local Economy*, 31(6), 709–732. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269094216664485>
11. Baumgartner, R.J. (2014), "Managing corporate sustainability and CSR: a conceptual framework combining values, strategies and instruments contributing to sustainable development", *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 21 (5) 258-271
12. Becker, B. E., & Huselid, M. A. (2006). Strategic Human Resources Management: Where Do We Go From Here? *Journal of Management*, 32(6), 898–925. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206306293668>
13. Bengtsson, M. and Kock, S. (1999), "Cooperation and competition in relationships between competitors in business networks", *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 178-194. <https://doi.org/10.1108/08858629910272184>
14. Bocken., N.M. & Geradtsd., H.J(2020) Barriers and drivers to sustainable business model innovation: Organization design and dynamic capabilities. *Long Range Planning* 53(4)101905
15. Boerner., S. Krause., D. & Gebert., D. (2004) Leadership and co-operation in orchestras, *Human Resource Development International*, 7:4, 465-479, DOI: 10.1080/1367886042000246030
16. Bouzari , M & Karatepe., O.M (2020) Does optimism mediate the influence of work-life balance on hotel salespeople's life satisfaction and creative performance?, *Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality & Tourism*, 19:1, 82-101, DOI: 10.1080/15332845.2020.1672250
17. Brown, O.R.(2020) Asymptomatic COVID-19; We Don't Know What We Don't Know. *Preprints* 2020070681 (doi: 10.20944/preprints202007.0681.v1)
18. Buheji., M & Buheji., A. (2020) Characteristics of 'Problem-Based Learning' in Post-COVID-19 Workplace. *Human Resource Management Research*. 10(2)33-39 DOI: 10.5923/j.hrmr.20201002.02
19. Burnette, J. L., Pollack, J. M., Forsyth, R. B., Hoyt, C. L., Babij, A. D., Thomas, F. N., & Coy, A. E. (2020). A Growth Mindset Intervention: Enhancing Students' Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy and Career Development. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 44(5), 878–908. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1042258719864293>

20. Cabrales., A.L & Cabrera., R.V(2020) Sustainable HRM strategies and employment relationships as drivers of the triple bottom line. *Human Resource Management Review*(30) 1-11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100689>
21. Cagnie, et al.(2007) Individual and work related risk factors for neck pain among office workers: a cross sectional study. *Eur Spine J* (16)679–686 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-006-0269-7>
22. Cakar, F., Bititci, U.S. & MacBryde, J. (2003), "A business process approach to human resource management", *Business Process Management Journal*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 190-207. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14637150310468>
23. Camelo, C.R et.al (2004) Human resources management in Spain: is it possible to speak of a typical model?, *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 15:6, 935-958, DOI: 10.1080/09585190410001677250
24. Castro-Arce, K. and Vanclay, F. (2020), "Transformative social innovation for sustainable rural development: An analytical framework to assist communitybased initiatives", *Journal of Rural Studies*. Elsevier, (74) 45-54.
25. Chege, s.m & Wang, D (2020) The influence of technology innovation on SME performance through environmental sustainability practices in Kenya. *Technology in Society* (60) 101210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2019.101210>
26. Chen., R.C, Tan., T.T & Chan., L.P (2020) Adapting to a new normal? 5 key operational principles for a radiology service facing the COVID-19 pandemic. *European Radiology* (30)4964–4967 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-020-06862-1>
27. Chih-Lun (Alan) Yen, Suzanne K. Murrmann & Kent F. Murrmann (2011) The Influence of Context Orientation on Job Seeker Perceptions of Recruitment, Person-Organization Fit, and Job Application Intention in the Hospitality Industry, *Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality & Tourism*, 10:3, 315-330, DOI: 10.1080/15332845.2011.555882
28. Ciulla, Joanne B. (1996)“Leadership and the Problem of Bogus Empowerment.” *Leadership and Ethics Working Papers*. The Kellogg Leadership Studies Project. Ed. Joanne B. Ciulla. College Park Maryland: University of Maryland Press, 43–67.
29. Clifford Defee, C., Esper, T. and Mollenkopf, D. (2009), "Leveraging closed-loop orientation and leadership for environmental sustainability", *Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 14 No. 2, pp. 87-98. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13598540910941957>
30. Cunha, M. P. E., Gomes, E., Mellahi, K., Miner, A. S., & Rego, A. (2020). Strategic agility through improvisational capabilities: implications for a paradox-sensitive HRM. *Human Resource Management Review*, 30(11), [100695]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100695>
31. Davenport, T., A. Guha, D. Grewal, and T. Bressgott. 2020. How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 48 (1):24–42. doi:10.1007/s11747-019-00696-0.
32. Demers, C., & Gond, J.-P. (2020). The Moral Microfoundations of Institutional Complexity: Sustainability implementation as compromise-making at an oil sands company. *Organization Studies*, 41(4), 563–586. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840619867721>
33. Demers, C., & Gond, J.-P. (2020). The Moral Microfoundations of Institutional Complexity: Sustainability implementation as compromise-making at an oil sands company. *Organization Studies*, 41(4), 563–586. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840619867721>
34. Dempsey, N., Bramley, G., Powers, S., Brown, C. (2011) The social dimension of sustainable development: defining urban social sustainability. *Sustain. Dev.* 19(5), 289–300 (2011)
35. Di Vaio, A.; Varriale, L.(2018) Management Innovation for Environmental Sustainability in Seaports: Managerial Accounting Instruments and Training for Competitive Green Ports beyond the Regulations. *Sustainability* 2018, 10, 783.
36. Diah, A.M at th (2020) Employee Retention of Pharmaceutical Firms in Indonesia: Taking Investment in Employee Development and Social and Economic Exchange as Predictors . 11(1): 564 572.
37. Ding Liu, Weihong Sun & Xuan Zhang (2020) Is the Chinese Economy Well Positioned to Fight the COVID-19 Pandemic? the Financial Cycle Perspective, *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 56:10, 2259-2276, DOI: 10.1080/1540496X.2020.1787152
38. Dixon-Fowler, Heather, Anne O’Leary-Kelly, Jonathan Johnson, and Megan Waite. (2019) Sustainability and Ideology-Infused Psychological Contracts: An Organizational- and Employee-Level Perspective. *Human Resource Management Review* (30) 100690.
39. Donate., M.J al et. (2016) HRM practices for human and social capital development: effects on innovation capabilities, *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27:9, 928-953, DOI: 10.1080/09585192.2015.1047393
40. Donthu, N., & Gustafsson, A. (2020). Effects of COVID-19 on business and research. *Journal of business research*, 117, 284–289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.06.008>

41. Eva Vicente Sánchez, Teresa Coma-Roselló, Cristina Mumbardó-Adam & David Simó-Pinatella (2020) Self-determination and people with intellectual disability: a construct analysis from a professional perspective, *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*, DOI: 10.1080/1034912X.2020.1735625
42. Evan, N. (2016), "Sustainable competitive advantage in tourism organizations: a strategic model applying service dominant logic and tourism's defining characteristics", *Tourism Management Perspectives*, Vol. 18, pp. 14-25.
43. Florian Schmiedek & Andreas B. Neubauer (2020) Experiments in the Wild: Introducing the Within-Person Encouragement Design, *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 55:2, 256-276, DOI: 10.1080/00273171.2019.1627660
44. Galpin, T., Whittington, J.L. and Bell, G. (2015), "Is your sustainability strategy sustainable? Creating a culture of sustainability", *Corporate Governance*, 15 (1) 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-01-2013-0004>
45. Galuppo, L., Gorli, M., Scaratti, G. and Kaneklin, C. (2014), "Building social sustainability: multi-stakeholder processes and conflict management", *Social Responsibility Journal*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp. 685-701. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-10-2012-0134>
46. Garavan, T. N., & McGuire, D. (2010). Human Resource Development and Society: Human Resource Development's Role in Embedding Corporate Social Responsibility, Sustainability, and Ethics in Organizations. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 12(5), 487–507. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1523422310394757>
47. Garcés-Ayerbe, C., Scarpellini, S., Valero-Gil, J. and Rivera-Torres, P. (2016), "Proactive environmental strategy development: from laggard to eco-innovative firms", *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, Vol. 29 No. 7, pp. 1118-1134. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-05-2016-0081>
48. Gibb, A. & Adhikary, D.P (2000) Strategies for local and regional NGO development: combining sustainable outcomes with sustainable organizations, *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 12(2)137-161, DOI: 10.1080/089856200283045
49. Gordon, J.J (2013) Farmer Attitudes toward Proactive Targeting of Agricultural Conservation Programs, *Society & Natural Resources*, 26:6, 625-641, DOI: 10.1080/08941920.2012.671450
50. Grayson, K., Johnson, D., & Chen, D.-F. R. (2008). Is Firm Trust Essential in a Trusted Environment? how Trust in the Business Context Influences Customers. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 45(2), 241–256. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.45.2.241>
51. Halme, M., Rintamäki, J., Knudsen, J. S., Lankoski, L., & Kuisma, M. (2020). When Is There a Sustainability Case for CSR? Pathways to Environmental and Social Performance Improvements. *Business & Society*, 59(6), 1181–1227. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650318755648>
52. Helling, A. (1998) Collaborative Visioning: Proceed With Caution!: Results From Evaluating Atlanta's Vision 2020 Project, *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 64:3, 335-349, DOI: 10.1080/01944369808975990
53. Hinrichs, C.C.(2014) Transitions to sustainability: a change in thinking about food systems change?. *Agric Hum Values* (31) 143–155 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-014-9479-5>
54. Howcroft, B., Hamilton, R. and Hewer, P. (2007), "Customer involvement and interaction in retail banking: an examination of risk and confidence in the purchase of financial products", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 21 No. 7, pp. 481-491. <https://doi.org/10.1108/08876040710824843>
55. Hutter, G. (2007) Strategic Planning for Long-Term Flood Risk Management: Some Suggestions for Learning How to Make Strategy at Regional and Local Level, *International Planning Studies*, 12:3, 273-289, DOI: 10.1080/13563470701640168
56. Jaidi, Y Edwin A. J. Hooft, V & Lidia R. Arends (2011) Recruiting Highly Educated Graduates: A Study on the Relationship Between Recruitment Information Sources, the Theory of Planned Behavior, and Actual Job Pursuit, *Human Performance*, 24:2, 135-157, DOI: 10.1080/08959285.2011.554468
57. Jesús Ángel del Brío, Esteban Fernández & Beatriz Junquera (2007) Management and employee involvement in achieving an environmental action-based competitive advantage: an empirical study, *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 18:4, 491-522, DOI: 10.1080/09585190601178687
58. Joshi, A(2016) COMPARISON BETWEEN SCOPUS & ISI WEB OF SCIENCE. *Journal Global Values VII*(1) 1-11
59. Kramar, R. (2014) Beyond strategic human resource management: is sustainable human resource management the next approach?, *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25:8, 1069-1089, DOI: 10.1080/09585192.2013.816863
60. Kramar, R. (2014) Beyond strategic human resource management: is sustainable human resource management the next approach?, *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25:8, 1069-1089, DOI: 10.1080/09585192.2013.816863

61. Lafuente, E., Leiva, J. C., Moreno-Gómez, J., & Szerb, L. (2020). A nonparametric analysis of competitiveness efficiency: The relevance of firm size and the configuration of competitive pillars. *BRQ Business Research Quarterly*, 23(3), 203–216. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2340944420941440>
62. Lee., B. (2000) Separating the Wheat from the Chaff: Fms, Flexibility and Socio-organizational Constraints, *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 12:2, 213-228, DOI: 10.1080/713698461
63. Lepak, D.P., Liao, H., Chung, Y. and Harden, E.E. (2006), "A Conceptual Review of Human Resource Management Systems in Strategic Human Resource Management Research", Martocchio, J.J. (Ed.) *Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management (Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management, Vol. 25)*, Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Bingley, pp. 217-271. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-7301\(06\)25006-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-7301(06)25006-0)
64. Lewis, S. C. (2020). Lack of trust in the news media, institutional weakness, and relational journalism as a potential way forward. *Journalism*, 21(3), 345–348. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1464884918807597>
65. Li, M.; Fu, Q. Singh, V.P. Liu, D. Li, T. Zhou, Y.(2020) Managing agricultural water and land resources with tradeoffbetween economic, environmental, and social considerations: A multi-objective non-linear optimizationmodel under uncertainty. *Agric. Syst.* 2020, 178, 102685.
66. Lismen L.M. Chan, Margaret A. Shaffer & Ed Snape (2004) In search of sustained competitive advantage: the impact of organizational culture, competitive strategy and human resource management practices on firm performance, *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 15(1)17-35, DOI: 10.1080/0958519032000157320
67. Liu, Z. Feng, J. &Wang, J. (2020) Resource-Constrained Innovation Method for Sustainability: Application of Morphological Analysis and TRIZ Inventive Principles. *Sustainability* 2020, 12, 917.
68. Loon., M. Ebede., L.O Stewart., J.(2020) Thriving in the New Normal: The HR Microfoundations of Capabilities for Business Model Innovation. An Integrated Literature Review. *Journal of Management Studies* 57(3) 698-276
69. Lopez-Cabrales, A., & R. Valle-Cabrera (2019). Sustainable HRM strategies and employment relationships as drivers of the triple bottom line. *Human Resources Management Review*, 20 May 2019.
70. ManMohan S. Sodhi & Christopher S. Tang (2018) Corporate social sustainability in supply chains: a thematic analysis of the literature, *International Journal of Production Research*, 56:1-2, 882-901, DOI: 10.1080/00207543.2017.1388934
71. McDonald, R. E. (2007). An Investigation of Innovation in Nonprofit Organizations: The Role of Organizational Mission. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 36(2), 256–281. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764006295996>
72. McGahan, A. M., & Porter, M. E. (2003). The Emergence and Sustainability of Abnormal Profits. *Strategic Organization*, 1(1), 79–108. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127003001001219>
73. Mezher, T. (2011) Building future sustainable cities: the need for a new mindset. *Construction Innovation*, 11 (2) 136-141.
74. Miller, B., & Mössner, S. (2020). Urban sustainability and counter-sustainability: Spatial contradictions and conflicts in policy and governance in the Freiburg and Calgary metropolitan regions. *Urban Studies*, 57(11), 2241–2262. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098020919280>
75. Möbius., P. & Althammer., W. (2020) Sustainable competitiveness: a spatial econometric analysis of European regions, *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 63:3, 453-480, DOI: 10.1080/09640568.2019.1593005
76. Monsen, E., Patzelt, H., & Saxton, T. (2010). Beyond Simple Utility: Incentive Design and Trade-Offs for Corporate Employee-Entrepreneurs. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 34(1), 105–130. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2009.00314.x>
77. Nasim, K. (2018), "Role of internal and external organizational factors in TQM implementation: A systematic literature review and theoretical framework", *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, Vol. 35 No. 5, pp. 1014-1033. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-10-2016-0180>
78. Nathan Moyo (2020) COVID-19 and the future of practicum in teacher education in Zimbabwe: rethinking the ‘new normal’ in quality assurance for teacher certification, *Journal of Education for Teaching*, DOI: 10.1080/02607476.2020.1802702
79. Nazir, S., Shafi, A., Asadullah, M.A., Qun, W. and Khadim, S. (2020), "Linking paternalistic leadership to follower's innovative work behavior: the influence of leader-member exchange and employee voice", *European Journal of Innovation Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-01-2020-0005>
80. Nguyen, T. V., & Bryant, S. E. (2004). A Study of the Formality of Human Resource Management Practices in Small and Medium-Size Enterprises in Vietnam. *International Small Business Journal*, 22(6), 595–618. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242604047412>

81. Nicola, M., et al., (2020). The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review. *International journal of surgery* (London, England), 78, 185–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijso.2020.04.018>
82. Nitika, N. K., & Poonam Arora. (2020). Performance Appraisal in the Era of New Normal. *Journal of Technology Management for Growing Economies*, 11(1), 11-15. <https://doi.org/10.15415/jtmge.2020.111002>
83. Nwankwo, O.D, Temidayo, O.F., Okoye, V.J., & Ohakwe, G.C. (2020). Psychology of politics
84. Offermann, L.R, Thomas., K.R. Lanzo., L.A Smith., L.N (2020) Achieving leadership and success: A 28-year follow-up of college women leaders. *The Leadership Quarterly*31(4) 101345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.101345>
85. Okada P, Buathong R, Phuygun S, et al. (2020) Early transmission patterns of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in travellers from Wuhan to Thailand, January 2020. *Euro Surveill.* 25(8):2000097. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.8.2000097
86. Owen (Baldock), R., & Mason, C. (2017). The role of government co-investment funds in the supply of entrepreneurial finance: An assessment of the early operation of the UK Angel Co-investment Fund. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*, 35(3), 434–456. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263774X16667072>
87. Parker, L.D. (2005), "Social and environmental accountability research: A view from the commentary box", *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol. 18 No. 6, pp. 842-860. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570510627739>
88. Ping., L.P (2020) "Organizational Resilience for a New Normal: Balancing the Paradox of Global Interdependence." *Management and Organization Review* 16(3) 503–9. doi:10.1017/mor.2020.30.
89. Ping., L.P(2020) "Organizational Resilience for a New Normal: Balancing the Paradox of Global Interdependence." *Management and Organization Review* 16(3) 503–9. doi:10.1017/mor.2020.30. *Politics and Law Research*, 8(1), 1-13.
90. Rabl, T., et al. (2020) Diversity Management Efforts as an Ethical Responsibility: How Employees' Perceptions of an Organizational Integration and Learning Approach to Diversity Affect Employee Behavior. *J Bus Ethics* (161) 531–550 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3849-7>
91. Rana., B.P & Short., S.W (2015) Value mapping for sustainable business thinking, *Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering*, 32:1, 67-81, DOI: 10.1080/21681015.2014.1000399
92. Randev, K. K., & Jha, J. K. (2019). Sustainable Human Resource Management: A Literature-based Introduction. *NHRD Network Journal*, 12(3), 241–252. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2631454119873495>
93. Ren., S.H & Jacksonb., S.E (2020) HRM institutional entrepreneurship for sustainable business organizations. *Human Resource Management Review*30 (3) in press <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100691>
94. Richards, J. (2020), "Putting employees at the centre of sustainable HRM: a review, map and research agenda", *Employee Relations*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-01-2019-0037>
95. Romagosa., F (2020) The COVID-19 crisis: Opportunities for sustainable and proximity tourism, *Tourism Geographies*, 22:3, 690-694, DOI: 10.1080/14616688.2020.1763447
96. Sabet, A., Razeghi, S. (2019). Presentation of Structural Equation Modeling the Role of Human Resource Strategies on the Development of Job Performance. *Journal of System Management*, 5(2), 189-210.
97. Shaw, R.; Kim, Y.K.; Hua, J. (2020) Governance, technology and citizen behavior in pandemic: Lessons from COVID-19 in East Asia. *Progress in Disaster Science* (6)100090
98. Silvestre, B.S., Silva, M.E., Cormack, A. and Thome, A.M.T. (2020), "Supply chain sustainability trajectories: learning through sustainability initiatives", *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-01-2020-0043>
99. Song M, Xie Q, Wang S, Zhou L (2020a) Intensity of environmental regulation and environmentally biased technology in the employment market. *Omega*:102201
100. Suborna., B. (2020) , Understanding Coronanomics: The Economic Implications of the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pandemic Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3566477> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3566477>
101. Suriyankietkaew, S.; Avery, G. (2016) Sustainable Leadership Practices Driving Financial Performance: Empirical Evidence from Thai SMEs. *Sustainability* (8)327. ; doi:10.3390/su8040327
102. Taylor, J. (2020). Personnel reduction and growth, innovation, and employee optimism about the long-term benefits of organizational change. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852320934536>
103. Thomas B. Long, Vincent Blok, Steven Dorrestijn & Phil Macnaghten (2020) The design and testing of a tool for developing responsible innovation in start-up enterprises, *Journal of Responsible Innovation*, 7:1, 45-75, DOI: 10.1080/23299460.2019.1608785

104. Thomas J. Zagenczyk, Kevin S. Cruz, Janelle H. Cheung, Kristin L. Scott, Christian Kiewitz & Bret Galloway (2015) The moderating effect of power distance on employee responses to psychological contract breach, *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 24:6, 853-865, DOI: 10.1080/1359432X.2014.961432
105. Trinh., T.H Molla., A.M & Peszynski., K.R(2012) Enterprise Systems and Organizational Agility: A Review of the Literature and Conceptual Framework. *Communication of the Association for Information System*. 31(8) DOI: 10.17705/1CAIS.03108
106. Tsai, M., & Chang, C. (2013). The direct and indirect factors on affecting organizational sustainability. *Journal of Management and Sustainability*, 3(4), 67-77.
107. Tumasjan, Andranik, Florian Kunze, Heike Bruch, and Isabell M. Welp. 2020. Linking employer branding orientation and firm performance: Testing a dual mediation route of recruitment efficiency and positive affective climate. *Human Resource Management* 59: 83–99.
108. Vandenhoute., M.L. Hardies., K & Breesch., D (2020) Professional and Commercial Incentives in Audit Firms: Evidence on Partner Compensation, *European Accounting Review*, 29:3, 521-554, DOI: 10.1080/09638180.2019.1642223
109. Verdini., G. & Zhang, L. (2020) Urban China: The Tortuous Path Towards Sustainability, *Planning Theory & Practice*, 21(2) 330-336, DOI: 10.1080/14649357.2020.1726078
110. Vigneau, L., Humphreys, M. & Moon, J. (2015) How Do Firms Comply with International Sustainability Standards? Processes and Consequences of Adopting the Global Reporting Initiative. *J Bus Ethics* 131, 469–486 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2278-5>
111. Voola, R. and O'Cass, A. (2010), "Implementing competitive strategies: the role of responsive and proactive market orientations", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 44 No. 1/2, pp. 245-266. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090561011008691>
112. Waisbord S. (2020) Family Tree of Theories, Methodologies, and Strategies in Development Communication. In: Servaes J. (eds) *Handbook of Communication for Development and Social Change*. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-2014-3_56
113. Waizenegger., L. McKenna, B Cai, B. & Bendz., T. (2020) An affordance perspective of team collaboration and enforced working from home during COVID-19, *European Journal of Information Systems*, DOI: 10.1080/0960085X.2020.1800417
114. Weber, R. (2020). Embedding futurity in urban governance: Redevelopment schemes and the time value of money. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X20936686>
115. Wiersema, M.F. & Bird. A. (1993). Organization demography in Japanese firms: Group heterogeneity, individual dissimilarity, and top management team turnover. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36(5) 996-1025.
116. Wijethilake C, & Upadhaya B.(2020) Market drivers of sustainability and sustainability learning capabilities: The moderating role of sustainability control systems. *Bus Strat Env*. 2020;29:2297–2309. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse>.
117. Wilden., R., Gudergan., S. & Lings., L (2010) Employer branding: strategic implications for staff recruitment, *Journal of Marketing Management*, 26:1-2, 56-73, DOI: 10.1080/02672570903577091
118. Wua., J et al.,(2020) Mobile health technology combats COVID-19 in China. *Journal of Infection* article in press <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.07.024>
119. Zameer, H., Wang, Y., Yasmeen, H. and Mubarak, S. (2020), "Green innovation as a mediator in the impact of business analytics and environmental orientation on green competitive advantage", *Management Decision*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-01-2020-0065>
120. Zavattaro., S.M (2020) "We've Cared for the Dead since We Started Caring": COVID-19 and Our Relationship to Public and Private Deathcare. *Public Administration Review*. 80(4) 701-705
121. Zimmermann, A., Lioliou, E., & Oliveira, J. S. (2020). How do offshoring-related changes in job characteristics affect onshore managers' affective organizational commitment? The moderating role of perceived organizational valence. *Journal of Information Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0268396220942237>