Impact of Covid-19 On Foreign Direct Investment in India

Dr. S. Saravanan[1], Dr. S. N. Sukumar[2], Ms.Suvarna Raagavendaran[3], Ms. T. Shammy[4]

[1,3,4]Assistant Professor, Department of Economics,VELS Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, Chennai-117.
[2]Professor and Head, Department of Economics,VELS Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, Chennai-117

Article History: Received: 11 January 2021; Revised: 12 February 2021; Accepted: 27 March 2021; Published online: 10 May 2021

ABSTRACT

India is the second well known nation on the planet after China. It is a fundamental for a nation like India to draw in more FDI for each area of the economy. The Government of India in interview with the RBI chose to pursue increasingly liberal disposition towards FDI in center divisions acknowledges guard area.Initially evaluation of information identifying with India's major monetary pointers recommend that India's financial presentation has improved significantly during the most recent 16 years of advancement time and much has been left to be accomplished in the years to come.Regularly Indian enterprises are inadequate in these imperative perspectives. Plant and types of gear can more often than not be financed out by FDI, and a savvy decision can be made in the light of the parent organization's learning and experience accordingly coming about into and powerful working which is additionally of vital importance structure Indian organizations purpose of perspectives. The information relating to endorsement of outside direct speculation uncovers that the greater part of the absolute affirmed ventures are in the five states to be specific Maharashtra, Delhi, Tamilnadu, Karnataka and Gujarat. Maharashtra with 4972 tasks (19.80 percent) worth of Rs.36602.4 crores has represented the primary position in the complete endorsement of remote direct venture. Delhi with 2763 ventures (11 percent) and with an estimation of Rs.30303.8 crores comes in the subsequent position.

KEY WORDS: Foreign Direct Investment, Economic Growth, Covid-19

INTRODUCTION

India is the second well known nation on the planet after China. It is a fundamental for a nation like India to draw in more FDI for each area of the economy. The Government of India in interview with the RBI chose to pursue increasingly liberal disposition towards FDI in center divisions acknowledges guard area. Nation like India relies upon getting powers, improvement help award from global organizations, similar to IMF, IBRD and ADB just to satisfy the need of outside trade and to top off the hole because of scarcity of credits. Every one of these segments constrained the administration to receive increasingly more liberal mentality towards FDI inflows in India. Generally, globalization and advancement have turned out to be most looked for after monetary ways to deal with quicken the pace of financial creating in the improvement (nation) world. Henceforth, so as to make the economy internationally incorporated, four indispensable actualities are of basic nature to be specific, capital, innovation, propelled the board procedures and present day creative showcasing methods. These essential parts of globalization must be accessible when a nation like India would open up its economy to remote financial specialists.Initially evaluation of information identifying with India's major monetary pointers recommend that India's financial presentation has improved significantly during the most recent 16 years of advancement time and much has been left to be accomplished in the years to come. FDI for the most part carries alongside it the most imperative required segments from Indian perspective: that is innovation inputs and the most recent ability. While without a doubt, innovation can be bought and authorized, Often firms are not set up to discharge modern data and furthermore have little enthusiasm for development and appropriation.

Other than innovation, FDI brings another indispensable reality of the present worldwide business that is propelled the board skill, another assembling society and accentuation on expense and quality control. Parts frequently come as a solitary bundle, which makes for comfort. Regularly Indian enterprises are inadequate in these imperative perspectives. Plant and types of gear can more often than not be financed out by FDI, and a savvy decision can be made in the light of the parent organization's learning and experience accordingly coming about into and powerful working which is additionally of vital importance structure Indian organizations purpose of perspectives.

FDI can typically open up fare markets on account of the parent organization's agreements and showcasing outlets. It might even be conceivable to guarantee that fares from Indian endeavor would do the trick to meet the proceeding with expense of imports of plant and hardware, extras and crude materials and consequently, to accomplish the objective of being at any rate remote trade characteristic.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FDI AND ECONOMIC GROWTH

The additions from FDI inflows are undeniable on the grounds that it adds to monetary development through an expansion in profitability by giving new speculation, better, advancements and administrative abilities to the host nations, However, the impact of FDI on local venture is an issue of concern in light of the fact that there is a probability of dislodging of residential capital because of rivalry from outside financial specialists with their unrivaled innovations and aptitudes. Along these lines, a definitive effect of FDI as productively as could be expected under the circumstances. Correspondingly, exchange progression may encourage financial development through proficiency underway by using the rich elements of generation all the more adequately and retaining better innovations from cutting edge nations from one perspective, it might hurt the development procedure on the other through different types of macroeconomic flimsiness, for example, terms of exchange crumbling and equalization of installments emergency. Hence, it is a test for creating nations to discover the suitable heading of the job of FDI and exchange progression monetary development. As a major aspect of advancement nations, South Asian economies were additionally worried about issues relating to outside private capital inflows and exchange progression at first. Notwithstanding, they later moved to change their exchange and venture strategies to incorporate different speculation motivators, especially, for remote financial specialists. Alongside these, South Asian nations have kept up high and unfaltering monetary development, single-digit expansion rate: they have a developing household advertise, an enormous number of low-paid specialists with developing number of gifted faculty and a progressively good speculation atmosphere. As a result, South Asia, as a gathering, has been fruitful in pulling in a lot of FDI and raising its volume of exchange (trade in addition to import) as level of GDP during the most recent two decades. The inquiry which normally emerges here is whether the expansion in development is achieved by FDI inflows. In this way, it is essential to investigate the effect of FDI on the development procedure, quantitatively, in South Asian economies for a superior comprehension about the linkages among FDI and financial development.

FDI APPROVALS AND ACTUAL INFLOWS

Table 1 explains the approvals and inflow of foreign direct investment during the period from 2000-01 to 2017-18.

TABLE 1
FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT APPROVALS AND INFLOWS (2000-01to 2017-18)

YEAR	Amount (US$ in Million)	
	Approvals	Inflows
2000-2001	1896	283
2001-2002	3539	763
2002-2003	4163	1484
2003-2004	10430	2040
2004-2005	10473	2661
2005-2006	12974	3781
2006-2007	7836	3284
2007-2008	4367	2338
2008-2009	5654	2809
2009-2010	4174	5223
2010-2011	1755	3235
2011-2012	1854	3455
2012-2013	1567	3546
2013-2014	1672	2778
2014-2015	1739	2886
2015-2016	1880	3214
2016-2017	2020	4567
2017-2018	1886	3764

Source: Economic Department, Ministry of Finance and company affairs, various issues
Of Economic survey, New Delhi.

SECTORS ATTRACTING HIGHEST FDI EQUITY INFLOWS (2000-01to 2017-18)

Table 1 portrays the division shrewd dissemination of FDI during the period from 2001 to 2018. The most elevated FDI value inflows during the period 2001-2018 (26.11 percent of penny of speculation) have gone to the segment. Which produces electrical types of gear (which incorporate PC programming), Next to this area 23.85 percent is put resources into administration areas, which incorporate money related and non-budgetary divisions. Interest in correspondence segments comes in the third place with 9.32 percent and it has pulled in 7.22 percent of FDI. Power has gotten 5.46 percent, and petroleum processing plants synthetic and other manure organizations have gotten 4.36 percent. Medications delivering part has gotten 1.92 percent. Nourishment preparing has gotten 3.66 percent. Bond generation an enterprise has gotten 8.24 percent and 3.87 of speculation goes to metallurgical industry.

TABLE 2
SECTOR-WISE DISTRIBUTION OF FDI (2001-2018)

Ranks	**Sector**	**Cumulative inflow (from August 2001 to Dec 2018)** (Rs.in Crores)	**Cumulative inflow (from August 2001 to Dec 2018)** (US millions of Dollars)	**Per cent of with total inflow** (In terms of Rs.)
1	Electrical equipments (including computer software electronics)	21368	7849	16.11
2	Service sector (Fincancial& non-financial)	20338	7845	18.54
3	Telecommunications (Radio, Paging, Cellular phone, Basic Telephone services)	18456	3861	9.32
4	Transportation Industry	14992	3548	8.44
5	Fuels (Power + Oil refinery)	11849	2773	6.67
6	Chemical (Other then Fertilizer)	9252	2290	5.21
7	Drugs and Pharmaceuticals	5026	1165	2.83
8	Food processing Industry	4924	1227	2.77
9	Cement & Gypsum Products	4183	956	2.35
10	Metallurgical industries	3494	803	1.97

Source: Hand book of industrial policy & statistics (2010)

STATE-WISE INFLOWS OF FDI

Table 2 demonstrates the state – shrewd inflows of FDI in India during the period structure August 2004. The information relating to endorsement of outside direct speculation uncovers that the greater part of the absolute affirmed ventures are in the five states to be specific Maharashtra, Delhi, Tamilnadu, Karnataka and Gujarat. Maharashtra with 4972 tasks (19.80 percent) worth of Rs.36602.4 crores has represented the primary position in the complete endorsement of remote direct venture. Delhi with 2763 ventures (11 percent) and with an estimation of Rs.30303.8 crores comes in the subsequent position. Tamilnadu with 2656 tasks (10.58 percent) worth of Rs. 22582 crores remains in the third position. Karnataka with 2586 activities (10.30 percent) worth of Rs.18818.4 crores is in the fourth place. Gujarat with 1224 tasks (4.87 percent) worth of Rs.11176.5 crores remains in the fifth position. Madhya Pradesh has gotten 234 activities (0.97 percent) and West Bengal has gotten 679 undertakings (2.70 percent) worth of Rs.7789 crores, Haryana has gotten 874 ventures (3.48 percent) worth of Rs.3875 crores, and Rajasthan has gotten 343 tasks (1.37 percent) worth of Rs.2911 crores. Orissa, Punjab, Kerala and Bihar, Goa represented 1 to 3 percent of the venture each, while the portion of Chattisgarh, Himachal Pradesh and Pondicherry is underneath 1 percent each.

TABLE 3
State Wise Inflows of FDIin India during August 2001 to August 2018
(Amount Rupees in Crores)

States	**No.of Projects**	**Per cent share of Projects**	**Amount of FDI**
Maharashtra	4972	19.80	36602.4
Delhi	2763	11.00	30303.8
Tamilnadu	2656	10.58	22582.6
Karnataka	2586	10.30	18818.4
Andra Pradesh	1276	5.08	11609.1
Gujarat	1224	4.87	11176.5
Madhya Pradesh	234	0.97	9271.4
Orissa	141	0.56	8229.3
West Bengal	679	2.70	7789.8
Haryana	874	3.48	3875.2
Rajasthan	343	1.37	2911.2
Punjab	201	0.80	2124.2
Kerala	332	1.32	1780.6
Pondicherry	130	0.53	1286.2
Himachal Pradesh	99	0.39	1174.1
Goa	276	1.09	997.7
Bihar	49	0.19	739.7
Chattisgarh	48	0.19	639.7
Others	6225	24.78	75755.8
Total	25117	100.00	247664.3

Source: SIA Newsletter, September 2017.

ORIGIN – WISE FDI

Table 3 shows the country wise break-up of foreign direct investment during the pre-liberalization period. USA made the highest investment during the pre-liberalization period (i.e., 25.33 per cent of total FDI). The second place was taken by FRG with 17.5 per cent and the next place was taken over by the Japan with 8.43 per cent. NRI's was in the fourth place (8.09), UK shared (7.05 per cent), Italy shared (4.69 per cent), France shared (3.46 per cent) and Switzerland shared (3.17 per cent). The rest of the countries shared 21.78 per cent of the total FDI.

TABLE 4
PRE-LIBERALIZATION PERIOD (1981-90) COUNTRY WISE BREAK-UP OF FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT

(Rupees in crores)

Country	Investment Approvals	Share in Total investment
USA	322.71	25.33
FRG	218.51	17.15
Japan	107.39	8.43
UK	90.29	7.05
Italy	59.80	4.69
France	44.09	3.46
Switzerland	40.33	3.17
NRI's	113.37	8.09
Others	227.53	21.78
Total	1274.02	100.00

Source: Economic survey various issues.

Table 5 depicts the top 10 countries from which India receive FDI since Liberalization. By investing a total amount of Rs. 47433 crores (37.2 per cent) Mauritius captured the first position in FDI inflows of India, USA comes in the second place and the FDI which India received from USA is Rs.20118 crores (15.8 per cent) Japan, Netherlands and UK share around 6 per cent of FDI. Germany share 4.27 per cent and Singapore shares 3.14 per cent. Share of France is 2.55 per cent and the share of South Korea is 2.28 per cent. Switzerland comes in the last rank with 1.98 per cent.

TABLE 5
ORIGIN-WISE FDI DURING THE PERIOD 1991-2010

(Rupees in crores)

Ranks	Country	Cumulative inflows	Percentage with inflows
1	Mauritius	47433	37.2
2	USA	20118	15.8
3	Japan	8645	6.79
4	Netherlands	8468	6.65
5	UK	7971	6.26
6	Germany	5443	4.27
7	Singapore	3998	3.14
8	France	5253	2.55
9	South Korea	2900	2.28
10	Switzerland	2524	1.98

Source: Economic Survey Reports.

CONCLUSION

The finding of the investigation that FDI has not been built up as a huge deciding element for the monetary development of India. The FDI strategy ought to be detailed so that causes fascination of increasingly remote potential direct financial specialists and NRIs to put resources into the nation in those segments which make business and pay in a bigger scale. The nation needs more FDI to the need divisions, with the goal that nations get quick yields from the speculation. Interest in foundations and fare drove fabricating areas can contribute more and FDI is basic for this situation.

REFERENCES

1. Alam, M.S (1999) foreign Direct Investment and Economic Growth of India and Bangladesh: A comparative Study. The Indian Journal of Economics, Allahabad, Vol.LXXX, No 316, pp. 1.14.
2. Athukorala, P. and Menon, J (1995) Developing Countries with Foreign Investment: Malaysia. Australian Economic Review 1: pp 9-22.
3. Balasubramanyam. V.N. and Mahambare. V (2003) FDI in India. Transnational Corporation, Vol.12, No.2, UNCTAD.
4. Barro.R (1991) Economic Growth in a Cross Section of Countries. Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol.106, pp.407-444.

5. Borensztein.E., De Gregorio.J and Jee. J.W (1998) How Does foreign Direct Investment Affect Growth?. Journal of International Economics, Vol.45, pp.115-135
6. Bosworth. B. and Collins. S (1999) Capital Flows to Developing Economies: Implications for Saving and Investment. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity:1.
7. Carkovic. M and Levine. R (2002) Does Foreign Direct Investment Accelerate Economic Growth.University of Minnesota working Paper, May, 2002.
8. Chakraborty. C and Basu. P(2002) Foreign direct investment and growth in India: a cointegration approach. Applied Economics, Vol.34 pp.1061-1073.
9. Chakraborty. C. and Nunnenkamp. P (2006) Economic Reforms, Foreign Direct Investment and its Economic Effects in India Kiel Working Paper No. 1272, The Kiel Institute for the World Economy, Germany.
10. Chakraborty. P & A.K. Mottalab (1998) foreign direct Investment: The Bangladesh Scenario. Development Review, Planning& Development Academy, Dhaka. Vol.9/10, 1997 & 1998.
11. Chary. S. Narasimha and V. Gangadhar (2006) Foreign direct Investment: A Study of India and china. The Indian Journal of Commerce, Vol.59, No.4 pp.52 – 61.
12. Chowdhury. A, Mavrotas. G (2003) Foreign Direct Investment and growth: What Causes What?.. Department of Economics, Marquette University, USA, World Institute Development Economic Research/United Nations University (UNU/WIDER)
13. De Mella. L.R (1997) Foreign Direct Investment in Developing Countries and Growth: A Selective Survey. The Journal of Development Studies, Vol. 34, No.1. Pp.1-34.
14. Dicky, D.A and W.A Fuller (1981) like hood Ratio Statistics for Autoregressive, Time Series with a Unit Root. Econometrica, 49, July, pp. 1057-1072.
15. Dutt. K.A (1997) The Pattern of Direct Foreign Investment and Economic Growth. World Development, Vol.25, No.11, pp. 1925-1936.
16. Enders, Walter (1995) Applied Econometric Time Series. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
17. Granger.C. W.J (1981) some Properties of Times-Series Data and Their Use in Econometric Model Specification. Journal of Econometrics 16.
18. Flexner. N (2000) Foreign direct Investment and Economic Growth in Bolivia, 1990-1998. Economic Policy Division, Central Bank of Bolivia.
19. Government of Bangladesh (GOB) Bangladesh Economic Review 2005.
20. Gregorio.De J (2003) The Role of Foreign Direct Investment and Natural Resources in Economic Development. Central Bank of Chile Working Paper No. 196.
21. Iqbal, Alam. B (2006) FDI: A Tool for Economic Development. Foreign Trade Review, Vol.XLI, No. pp. 62-79.
22. Jason Lewis. J (1998) Factors Influencing Foreign Direct Investment in Lesser Developed Countries. The Park Place Economist, Vol. VIII.
23. Khan M.I (2009) Foreign Direct Investment in India and Bangladesh: A Comperative Study. Journal of Business Administration. IBA, Dhaka.
24. Khawar.M (2007) Foreign Direct investment and Economic Growth: A Cross – Country Analysis. Global Economy Journal, Vol.5 Issue-1 pp.1-10.