

## Improved Results on Delay dependent Stability Criteria of Neural Networks with Interval Time Varying Delay

R. Jeetendra<sup>1\*</sup>, G. Uma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, Kongu Engineering College, Perundurai, Erode

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, Anna University, UCE, Dindigul

\*Corresponding author. E-mail address: jee4maths@gmail.com

**Article History:** Received: 10 January 2021; Revised: 12 February 2021; Accepted: 27 March 2021; Published online: 28 April 2021

**Abstract:** This paper examines the stability issue of continuous Neural Networks with a time varying delay. A Lyapunov Krasovskii functional consisting of some simple augmented terms and delay dependent terms is constructed. While calculating the derivative of Lyapunov functional, various integral inequalities such as Auxiliary Function Based Integral Inequality, Wirtinger-based integral inequality and an extended Jensen double integral inequality are jointly adopted and hence in terms of linear matrix inequality a new delay dependent stability criterion is obtained. Two numerical examples are taken to show that the derived result is less conservative than some existing ones.

**Keywords:** Lyapunov Krasovskii Functional (LKF), Linear Matrix Inequality (LMI), Neural Networks and Time Varying Delay.

### 1. Introduction

Neural networks have numerous applications in the field of associative memory, signal processing, pattern recognition, optimization problem and other engineering and scientific arena [1, 2]. Time delays are inevitable in practical applications of neural networks. It leads to the instability and oscillation in the neural networks. Nowadays the stability analysis of neural networks with time-varying delays is one of the important research areas. Generally stability criteria on delayed neural networks are of two types namely delay dependent and delay independent. The delay-dependent stability criteria include the information of time delay. Hence the conservative of these criteria is less than the other one. So researchers mainly focus on deriving delay dependent stability criteria.

The foremost objective in stability analysis of neural networks is to obtain less conservatism and larger admissible upper bounds of delays. It can be achieved by constructing suitable LKFs and selecting the appropriate bounding techniques. Some of the important methods used in the construction of generalized Lyapunov functional are delay-partitioning LKF [3], augmented LKF, the matrix-refined-function based LKF [4], multiple integral LKF [5] and other novel LKFs like [6] and so on. The bounding techniques used to estimate the integral terms in the derivatives of LKFs includes Jensen's inequality [7], Wirtinger-based inequality [8], auxiliary function based inequality [9], free-matrix-based integral inequality [10], etc.

Feasibility can be improved by means of the terms of the LKF construction and the estimating approach for the derivative of the LKF. Hence, in this paper, a Lyapunov Krasovskii functional consisting of some simple augmented terms and delay dependent terms is constructed. While calculating the upper bound of the Lyapunov functional derivative, the relationship between time varying delay and its lower and upper bounds are considered. Various bounding techniques to get a tighter upper bound such as Auxiliary Function Based Integral Inequality, the Wirtinger-based integral inequality and an extended Jensen double integral inequality are utilized and more information of the activation function is taken into account. Based on Lyapunov stability theory, a novel delay-dependent stability criterion is derived which has less conservatism. The effectiveness of the derived criteria is exhibited through numerical examples.

### Notations:

In this paper  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  are the n-dimensional Euclidean space and the set of all  $m \times n$  real matrix respectively.  $P > 0$  denotes that P is a real symmetric positive definite matrix. \* indicates the symmetric terms in a symmetric matrix.  $\text{diag}\{. . .\}$  means block diagonal matrix and  $\text{sym}\{X\} = X + X^T$  where superscript 'T' denotes the transpose of the matrix.

### 2. Problem formulation

Consider the following neural networks with interval time varying delays:

$$\dot{x}(t) = -Ax(t) + B_1 f(x(t)) + B_2 f(x(t-h(t))) \quad (1)$$

where  $x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t)]^T \in R^n$  is the state neuron vector,  $n$  denotes the number of neurons in a neural network.  $A = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) > 0$  and  $B_1, B_2 \in R^{n \times n}$  are the interconnection weight matrices. The time delay  $h(t)$  is a continuous differentiable function satisfying  $h_1 \leq h(t) \leq h_2$ ,  $\dot{h}(t) \leq \mu$  where  $h_1, h_2$  and  $\mu$  are known constants. The neuron activation function  $f(x(t)) = [f(x_1(t)), f(x_2(t)), \dots, f(x_n(t))]^T \in R^n$  is assumed to be continuous, bounded and satisfies the following condition.

$$k_i^- \leq \frac{f_i(s_1) - f_i(s_2)}{s_1 - s_2} \leq k_i^+, \forall s_1 \neq s_2, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{2}$$

where  $k_i^-$  and  $k_i^+$  are constants.

**Lemma 1:** (Auxiliary Function Based Integral Inequality [11]) Let  $x$  be a differentiable signal in  $[a, b] \rightarrow R^n$  for a positive definite matrix  $R \in R^{n \times n}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$(b-a) \int_a^b \dot{x}^T(s) R \dot{x}(s) ds \geq \gamma_1^T R \gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2^T R \gamma_2 + 5\gamma_3^T R \gamma_3$$

where  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_3$  are defined as

$$\gamma_1 = x(b) - x(a); \gamma_2 = x(b) + x(a) - \frac{2}{b-a} \int_a^b x(s) ds; \gamma_3 = \gamma_1 + \frac{6}{b-a} \int_a^b x(s) ds - \frac{12}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b x(s) ds du$$

**Lemma 2:** (an extended Jensen’s double integral inequality [12]) For any constant symmetric positive definite matrix  $R \in R^{n \times n}$ , real scalars  $a, b, \theta$  satisfying  $a \leq s \leq b, s \leq \theta$ , and a vector valued function  $x(t) : [a, b] \rightarrow R^n$ , such that the following integration are well defined, then the following inequality holds

$$\frac{(b-a)(b+a-2\theta)}{2} \int_a^\theta \int_a^\theta \dot{x}^T(s) R \dot{x}(s) ds du \leq -[\int_a^\theta \int_a^\theta \dot{x}(s) ds du]^T R [\int_a^\theta \int_a^\theta \dot{x}(s) ds du]$$

**Lemma 3:** [14]

For a given matrix  $R > 0$  and a differentiable function  $[a, b] \rightarrow R^n$ , the following double integral inequality

holds: 
$$\int_a^b \int_a^b \dot{x}^T(s) R \dot{x}(s) ds \geq 2\psi_1^T R \psi_1 + 4\psi_2^T R \psi_2 + 6\psi_3^T R \psi_3$$

$$\psi_1 = x(b) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b x(s) ds$$

$$\psi_2 = x(b) + \frac{2}{b-a} \int_a^b x(s) ds - \frac{6}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b x(s) ds du$$

$$\psi_3 = x(b) - \frac{3}{b-a} \int_a^b x(s) ds + \frac{24}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b x(s) ds du - \frac{60}{(b-a)^3} \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_a^b x(s) ds du dv$$

**Lemma 4:** [15]

For any vectors  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ , a symmetric matrix  $R$ , any matrix  $S$  satisfying  $\begin{bmatrix} R & S \\ * & R \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , the following inequality holds

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \beta_1^T R \beta_1 + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \beta_2^T R \beta_2 \geq \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} R & S \\ * & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Theorem 1 :**

For given scalars  $h_1, h_2$  and  $\mu$  the system (1) is asymptotically stable if there exists positive diagonal matrices  $H_i, U_i \in R^{n \times n} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ ,  $\lambda_j = \text{diag} [\lambda_{1j}, \lambda_{2j}, \dots, \lambda_{nj}] \in R^{n \times n} (j = 1, 2, \dots, 6)$  positive definite matrix  $P \in R^{4n \times 4n}$  and the symmetric positive definite matrices  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \in R^{2n \times 2n}$ ,  $R_1, R_2, T_1, T_2 \in R^{n \times n}$  and matrix  $S \in R^{3n \times 3n}$  such that the following LMI hold simultaneously

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{R}_1 & S \\ * & \bar{R}_1 \end{bmatrix} > 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\Gamma[h(t) = h_1, \dot{h}(t)] < 0 \tag{4}$$

$$\Gamma[h(t) = h_2, \dot{h}(t)] < 0 \tag{5}$$

where

$$\Gamma[h(t), \dot{h}(t)] = E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4 + E_5 \tag{6}$$

$$E_1 = \pi_1 P \pi_2^T + \pi_2 P \pi_1^T$$

$$E_2 = 2 \left[ e_5 \left[ (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5) - (\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_6) \right] e_0^T \right] + 2 \left[ e_1 \left[ K_p (\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_6) - K_m (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5) \right] e_0^T \right]$$

$$E_3 = [e_1 \ e_5] Q_1 [e_1 \ e_5]^T + [e_2 \ e_6] (Q_2 - Q_1) [e_2 \ e_6]^T + (1 - \dot{h}(t)) [e_3 \ e_7] (Q_3 - Q_2) [e_3 \ e_7]^T - [e_4 \ e_8] Q_1 [e_4 \ e_8]^T$$

$$E_4 = h_1^2 e_0 R_1 e_0^T + h_2^2 e_0 R_2 e_0^T - \psi \phi \psi^T - \Lambda$$

$$E_5 = e_0 [T_1 + h_\sigma^2 T_2] e_0^T - \Delta$$

$$\bar{R}_1 = \text{diag}\{R_1, 3R_1, 5R_1\}$$

$$\pi_1 = [e_1 \ h_1 e_9 \ \tilde{h}_1 e_{10} \ \tilde{h}_2 e_{11}]$$

$$\pi_2 = [e_0 \ e_1 - e_2 \ e_2 - (1 - \dot{h}(t)) e_3 \ (1 - \dot{h}(t)) e_3 - e_4]$$

$$\pi_3 = [e_3 - e_4 \ e_3 + e_4 - 2e_{11} \ e_3 - e_4 + 6e_{11} - 12e_{13}]$$

$$\pi_4 = [e_2 - e_3 \ e_2 + e_3 - 2e_{10} \ e_2 - e_3 + 6e_{10} - 12e_{14}]$$

$$\psi = [\pi_3 \quad \pi_4]$$

$$\Lambda = (e_1 - e_2)R_1(e_1 - e_2)^T + 3(e_1 + e_2 - 2e_9)R_1(e_1 + e_2 - 2e_9)^T + 5(e_1 - e_2 + 6e_9 - 12e_{12})R_1(e_1 - e_2 + 6e_9 - 12e_{12})^T$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= 2(e_1 - e_9)T_1(e_1 - e_9)^T + 4(e_1 + 2e_9 - 6e_{12})T_1(e_1 + 2e_9 - 6e_{12})^T + \\ &6(e_1 - 3e_9 + 24e_{12} - 60e_{15})T_1(e_1 - 3e_9 + 24e_{12} - 60e_{15})^T + (h_{12}e_1 - \tilde{h}_2e_{11} - \\ &\tilde{h}_1e_{10})T_2(h_{12}e_1 - \tilde{h}_2e_{11} - \tilde{h}_1e_{10})^T + \sum_{i=1}^4 \text{sym}\{(e_i K_m - e_{i+4})^T H_i(e_{i+4} - K_p e_i)\} + \\ &\sum_{i=1}^3 \text{sym}\{[(K_m(e_i - e_{i+1}) - (e_{i+4} - e_{i+5}))^T U_i[(e_{i+4} - e_{i+5}) - K_p(e_i - e_{i+1})]]\} + \\ &\text{sym}\{[K_m(e_1 - e_3) - (e_5 - e_7)]^T U_4[(e_5 - e_7) - K_p(e_1 - e_3)]\} \end{aligned}$$

$$e_0 = -e_1 A^T + e_5 B_1^T + e_7 B_2^T$$

$$e_i = [0_{n \times (i-1)n} \quad I_n \quad 0_{n \times (15-i)n}], i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 15$$

$$K_m = \text{diag}\{k_1^-, k_2^-, \dots, k_n^-\}; K_p = \text{diag}\{k_1^+, k_2^+, \dots, k_n^+\}$$

where  $h_{12} = h_2 - h_1; \tilde{h}_2 = h_2 - h(t); \tilde{h}_1 = h(t) - h_1; h_\sigma = \frac{(h_2^2 - h_1^2)}{2}$

**Proof:**

Consider the following Lyapunov Krasovskii Functional

$$V(t) = V_1(t) + V_2(t) + V_3(t) + V_4(t) + V_5(t)$$

where  $V_1(t) = \delta^T(t) P \delta(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} V_2(t) &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \lambda_{1i} \int_0^{x_i(t)} (f_i(s) - k_i^- s) ds + \lambda_{2i} \int_0^{x_i(t)} (k_i^+ s - f_i(s)) ds \right\} \\ &+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \lambda_{3i} \int_0^{x_i(t-h_1)} (f_i(s) - k_i^- s) ds + \lambda_{4i} \int_0^{x_i(t-h_1)} (k_i^+ s - f_i(s)) ds \right\} \\ &+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \lambda_{5i} \int_0^{x_i(t-h_2)} (f_i(s) - k_i^- s) ds + \lambda_{6i} \int_0^{x_i(t-h_2)} (k_i^+ s - f_i(s)) ds \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$V_3(t) = \int_{t-h_1}^t \eta^T(s) Q_1 \eta(s) ds + \int_{t-h(t)}^{t-h_1} \eta^T(s) Q_2 \eta(s) ds + \int_{t-h_2}^{t-h(t)} \eta^T(s) Q_3 \eta(s) ds$$

$$V_4(t) = h_1 \int_{t-h_1}^t \int_u^t \dot{x}^T(s) R_1 \dot{x}(s) ds du + h_{12} \int_{t-h_2}^{t-h_1} \int_u^t \dot{x}^T(s) R_2 \dot{x}(s) ds du$$

$$V_5(t) = \int_{t-h_1}^t \int_v^t \int_u^t \dot{x}^T(s) T_1 \dot{x}(s) ds dudv + h_\sigma \int_{t-h_2}^{t-h_1} \int_v^t \int_u^t \dot{x}^T(s) T_2 \dot{x}(s) ds dudv$$

with  $\delta(t) = col[x(t), \int_{t-h_1}^t x(s)ds, \int_{t-h(t)}^{t-h_1} x(s)ds, \int_{t-h_2}^{t-h(t)} x(s)ds]$ ;  $\eta(t) = col[x(t), f(x(t))]$

Calculating the time derivative of V(t) along the given system yields

$$\dot{V}_1(t) = 2\delta^T(t)\dot{\delta}(t) = \xi^T(t)E_1\xi(t) \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_2(t) &= 2\left[f^T(x(t))\left[(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5) - (\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_6)\right]\dot{x}(t)\right] + \\ & 2\left[x^T(t)\left[K_p(\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_6) - K_m(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5)\right]\dot{x}(t)\right] \\ &= \xi^T(t)E_2\xi(t) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_3(t) &= \eta^T(t)Q_1\eta(t) + \eta^T(t-h_1)(Q_2 - Q_1)\eta(t-h_1) + (1-\dot{h}(t))\eta^T(t-h(t))(Q_3 - Q_2)\eta(t-h(t)) - \\ & \eta^T(t-h_2)Q_3\eta(t-h_2) \\ &= \xi^T(t)E_3\xi(t) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\dot{V}_4(t) = h_1^2 \dot{x}^T(t)R_1\dot{x}(t) - h_1 \int_{t-h_1}^t \dot{x}^T(s)R_1\dot{x}(s)ds + h_{12}^2 \dot{x}^T(t)R_2\dot{x}(t) - h_{12} \int_{t-h_2}^{t-h_1} \dot{x}^T(s)R_2\dot{x}(s)ds \tag{10}$$

Applying Lemma (1) and Lemma (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} -h_1 \int_{t-h_1}^t \dot{x}^T(s)R_1\dot{x}(s)ds &\leq -\xi^T(t)\{(e_1 - e_2)R_1(e_1 - e_2)^T + 3(e_1 - e_2 - 2e_9)R_1(e_1 - e_2 - 2e_9)^T + \\ & 5(e_1 - e_2 + 6e_9 - 12e_{12})R_1(e_1 - e_2 + 6e_9 - 12e_{12})^T\}\xi(t) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -h_{12} \int_{t-h_2}^{t-h_1} \dot{x}^T(s)R_2\dot{x}(s)ds &\leq -h_{12} \int_{t-h_2}^{t-h(t)} \dot{x}^T(s)R_2\dot{x}(s)ds - h_{12} \int_{t-h(t)}^{t-h_1} \dot{x}^T(s)R_2\dot{x}(s)ds \\ &\leq -\frac{h_{12}}{h_2 - h(t)} \xi^T(t)\{(e_3 - e_4)R_1(e_3 - e_4)^T + 3(e_3 + e_4 - 2e_{11})R_1(e_3 + e_4 - 2e_{11})^T + \\ & 5(e_3 - e_4 + 6e_{11} - 12e_{13})R_1(e_3 - e_4 + 6e_{11} - 12e_{13})^T\}\xi(t) \\ & - \frac{h_{12}}{h(t) - h_1} \xi^T(t)\{(e_2 - e_3)R_1(e_2 - e_3)^T + 3(e_2 + e_3 - 2e_{10})R_1(e_2 + e_3 - 2e_{10})^T + \\ & 5(e_2 - e_3 + 6e_{10} - 12e_{14})R_1(e_2 - e_3 + 6e_{10} - 12e_{14})^T\}\xi(t) \\ &\leq -\xi^T(t)\{\psi\phi\psi^T\}\xi(t) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\dot{V}_5(t) = \dot{x}^T(t)[T_1 + h_\sigma^2 T_2]\dot{x}(t) - \int_{t-h_1}^t \int_u^t \dot{x}^T(s)T_1\dot{x}(s)dsdu - h_\sigma \int_{t-h_2}^{t-h_1} \int_u^t \dot{x}^T(s)T_2\dot{x}(s)dsdu$$

By Lemma (3),

$$\int_{t-h_1}^t \int_u^t \dot{x}^T(s) T_1 \dot{x}(s) ds du \leq -\xi^T(t) \{ 2(e_1 - e_9) T_1 (e_1 - e_9)^T + 4(e_1 + 2e_9 - 6e_{12}) T_1 (e_1 + e_9 - 6e_{12})^T + 6(e_1 - 3e_9 + 24e_{12} - 60e_{15}) T_1 (e_1 - 3e_9 + 24e_{12} - 60e_{15})^T \} \xi(t) \tag{13}$$

Using Lemma (2),

$$-h_\sigma \int_{t-h_2}^{t-h_1} \int_u^t \dot{x}^T(s) T_2 \dot{x}(s) ds du \leq -\xi^T(t) \{ (h_{12}e_1 - \tilde{h}_2e_{11} - \tilde{h}_1e_{10}) T_2 (h_{12}e_1 - \tilde{h}_2e_{11} - \tilde{h}_1e_{10})^T \} \xi(t) \tag{14}$$

By the assumption of activation function (2) we have

$$a_i(s) : 2 [K_m x(s) - f(x(s))]^T H_i [f(x(s)) - K_p x(s)] \geq 0$$

$$b_i(s_1, s_2) : 2 [K_m (x(s_1) - x(s_2)) - (f(x(s_1)) - f(x(s_2)))]^T U_i [(f(x(s_1)) - f(x(s_2))) - K_p (x(s_1) - x(s_2))] \geq 0$$

where  $H_i = \text{diag} [a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \dots, a_{ni}] \geq 0, U_i = \text{diag} [b_{1i}, b_{2i}, \dots, b_{ni}] \geq 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$

Then the following inequalities hold

$$a_1(t) + a_2(t - h_1) + a_3(t - h(t)) + a_4(t - h_2) \geq 0 \tag{15}$$

$$b_1(t, t - h_1) + b_2(t - h_1, t - h(t)) + b_3(t - h(t), t - h_2) + b_4(t, t - h(t)) \geq 0 \tag{16}$$

Combining the equations (7)-(16) we get

$$\dot{V}(t) \leq \xi^T(t) \Gamma(h(t), \dot{h}(t)) \xi(t)$$

where  $\Gamma(h(t), \dot{h}(t))$  is defined in (6) and

$$\xi(t) = [x^T(t), x^T(t - h_1), x^T(t - h(t)), x^T(t - h_2), f^T(x(t)), f^T(x(t - h_1)), f^T(x(t - h(t))), f^T(x(t - h_2)), \frac{1}{h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t x^T(s) ds, \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1} \int_{t-h(t)}^{t-h_1} x^T(s) ds, \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_2} \int_{t-h_2}^{t-h(t)} x^T(s) ds, \frac{1}{h_1^2} \int_{t-h_1}^t \int_u^t x^T(s) ds du, \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_2^2} \int_{t-h_2}^{t-h(t)} \int_u^{t-h(t)} x^T(s) ds du, \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1^2} \int_{t-h(t)}^{t-h_1} \int_u^{t-h_1} x^T(s) ds du, \frac{1}{h_1^3} \int_{t-h_1}^t \int_v \int_u^t x^T(s) ds dudv]^T$$

Therefore, if LMIs (3)-(5) hold, then the following holds for a sufficiently small scalar  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\dot{V}(t) \leq -\varepsilon \|x(t)\|^2$$

which shows the asymptotic stability of the given system (1). This completes the proof.

### 3. Numerical Examples

Two numerical examples are considered for the analysis of our criteria and some existing works.

#### Example 1

Consider the system  $\dot{x}(t) = -Ax(t) + B_1 f(x(t)) + B_2 f(x(t - h(t)))$  where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & -1.5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K_m = \text{diag}\{0,0\} \quad K_p = \text{diag}\{0.4,0.8\} .$$

In order to verify the advantages of the proposed method the maximum delay bounds for of the given system with various  $h_1$  and  $\mu$  are listed in Table1.

**Example 2**

Consider the system  $\dot{x}(t) = -Ax(t) + B_1f(x(t)) + B_2f(x(t-h(t)))$  where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.88 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad K_m = \text{diag}\{0,0\} \quad K_p = \text{diag}\{0.4,0.8\}$$

Table 2 depicts that the results obtained by our method are less conservative than those of [20], [21] and [22].

**Table 1. Upper bounds ( $h_2$ ) for various  $h_1$  and  $\mu$**

$h_1$	Method	$\mu = 0.8$	$\mu = 0.9$	Unknown $\mu$
0.5	[15]	0.8262	0.8215	0.8183
	[16]	1.1217	0.9984	0.9037
	[17]	1.4508	1.4042	1.0862
	Theorem 1	1.9609	1.6979	1.6755
0.75	[15]	0.9669	0.9625	0.9592
	[16]	1.2213	1.1021	1.0102
	[18]	1.3990	1.2241	1.0972
	[17]	1.4891	1.4789	1.1838
	Theorem 1	2.1060	1.9107	1.9019
1	[15]	1.1152	1.1108	1.1075
	[16]	1.3432	1.2238	1.1318
	[18]	1.4692	1.2948	1.1774
	[17]	1.6892	1.6880	1.4000
	Theorem 1	2.2709	2.1126	2.1111

**Table 2. Upper bounds ( $h_2$ ) for various  $h_1$  and  $\mu$**

$h_1$	Method	$\mu = 0.8$	$\mu = 0.9$	Unknown $\mu$
0	[19]	1.2281	0.8639	0.8298
	[20]	1.6831	1.1493	1.0880
	[21]	2.3534	1.6050	1.5103
	Theorem 1	5.2089	2.2314	1.8360
1	[20]	2.5967	2.0443	1.9621
	[21]	3.2575	2.4769	2.3606
	Theorem 1	6.1369	2.8869	2.7602
100	[20]	101.5946	101.0443	100.9621
	[21]	102.2552	101.4769	101.3606
	Theorem 1	103.6081	101.8528	101.7460

**Conclusion**

This paper studies the stability issue of continuous Neural Networks with a time varying delay. A novel Lyapunov Krasovskii functional consisting of some simple augmented terms and delay dependent terms is

constructed. By employing of various bounding techniques to get larger admissible bounds a new less conservative stability criterion is developed in terms of linear matrix inequality. Finally two numerical examples are discussed to substantiate the efficacy of the proposed theorem.

### **Acknowledgments**

This research work was supported by Kongu Engineering College, Perundurai, Erode funded by University Grants Commission under the scheme Minor Research Project (UGC/MRP) sanctioned No. F. MRP-7073/16 (SERO/UGC).

### **References**

- [1] Michel AN, Liu D. Qualitative analysis and synthesis of recurrent neural networks. New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker, Inc.; 2002.
- [2] Zhang L, Yi Z. Selectable and unselectable sets of neurons in recurrent neural networks with saturated piecewise linear transfer function. *IEEE Trans Neural Netw.* 22(7) (2011)1021–31.
- [3] X.M. Zhang, Q.L. Han, New Lyapunov–Krasovskii functionals for global asymptotic stability of delayed neural networks, *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.* 20 (3) (2009) 533–539.
- [4] T.H. Lee, J.H. Park, A novel lyapunov functional for stability of time-varying delay systems via matrix-refined-function, *Automatica* 80 (2017) 239–242.
- [5] C.K. Zhang, Y. He, L. Jiang, et al., Stability analysis for delayed neural networks considering both conservativeness and complexity, *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.* 27 (1) (2016) 1486–1501.
- [6] C.K. Zhang, H. Yong, L. Jiang, et al., Notes on stability of time-delay systems: bounding inequalities and augmented Lyapunov–Krasovskii functionals, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* 62 (10) (2017) 5331–5336.
- [7] K. Gu, J. Chen, V.L. Kharitonov, *Stability of Time-Delay Systems*, Springer Science and Business Media (2003).
- [8] A. Seuret, F. Gouaisbaut, Wirtinger-based integral inequality application to time-delay systems, *Automatica* 49 (9) (2013) 2860–2866.
- [9] P.G. Park, W.I. Lee, S.Y. Lee, Auxiliary function-based integral inequalities for quadratic functions and their applications to time-delay systems, *J. Frankl. Inst.* 352 (4) (2015) 1378–1396.
- [10] H.B. Zeng, Y. He, M. Wu, et al., Free-matrix-based integral inequality for stability analysis of systems with time-varying delay, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* 60 (10) (2015) 2768–2772.
- [11] Wen-Juan Lin, Yong He, Chuan-ke Zhang and Min Wu, Stability Analysis of Neural Networks with Time-Varying Delay: Enhanced Stability Criteria and Conservatism Comparisons, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* (54) (2018) 118-135.
- [12] Liansheng Zhang, Liu He, Yongduan Song, New results on stability analysis of delayed systems derived from extended wirtinger’s integral inequality, *Neurocomputing* 283 (2018) 98-106.
- [13] Wei Zheng, Hongbin Wang, Fuchun Sun, Shuhuan Wen, Zhiming Zhang and Hongrui Wang, New Stability Criteria for Asymptotic Stability of Time-Delay Systems via Integral Inequalities and Jensen Inequalities, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, (30) (2019) 1-15.
- [14] P. Park, J.W. Ko, C. Jeong, Reciprocally convex approach to stability of systems with time-varying delays, *Automatica* 47 (1) (2011) 235-238.
- [15] J. Qiu, H. Yang, J. Zhang, and Z. Gao, New robust stability criteria for uncertain neural networks with interval time-varying delays, *Chaos Soltions and Fractals*, (39) ( 2) (2009) 579–585.



- [16] O. M. Kwon, J. H. Park, and S. M. Lee, On robust stability for uncertain neural networks with interval time-varying delays, *IET Control Theory and Applications*, (2) (7) (2008) 625–634.
- [17] Pin-Lin Liu, Further results on robust delay-range-dependent stability criteria for uncertain neural networks with interval time-varying delay, *Int. J. Control, Automation and Systems*, (13) (2015) 1140–1149.
- [18] J. Chen, J. Sun, G.P. Liu, and D.Rees, New delay-dependent stability criteria for neural networks with time-varying interval delay, *Physics Letters A*, (374) (43) (2010) 4397-4405.
- [19] C.C. Hua, C.N. Long, and X.P. Guan, New results on stability analysis for neural networks with time-varying delays, *Physics Letters A*, (352) (4-5) (2006) 335-340.
- [20] Y. He, G.P. Liu, and D. Rees, New Delay-Dependent Stability Criteria for Neural Networks with Time-Varying Delay, *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.*, (18) (1) (2007) 310-314.
- [21] Y. He, G. P. Liu, D. Rees, and M. Wu, Stability analysis for neural networks with time-varying interval delay, *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.*, (18) (6) (2007) 1850–1854.