

On Ng^α -Homeomorphisms in Nano Topological Spaces

Malarvizhi M^a, Sarankumar T^b, Rajendran V^c and Sathishmohan P^d

^a Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts and Science, Assistant Professor, India

^b Sri Sai Ranganathan Engineering College, Assistant Professor, India

^{c,d} Kongunadu Arts and Science College, Assistant Professor, India

Article History: Received: 10 January 2021; Revised: 12 February 2021; Accepted: 27 March 2021; Published online: 28 April 2021

Abstract: In this paper we introduce and study new class of homeomorphisms called Ng^α -homeomorphisms (nano g^α -homeomorphisms) in nano topological spaces and certain properties are investigated. We also investigate the concept of nano g^α -homeomorphisms and discussed their relationships with other forms of nano sets. Further, we show that the set of all Ng^α -homeomorphisms form a group under the operation composition of mappings.

Keywords: Nano Closed map, Nano Open map, Ng^α - irresolute, Ng^α -Closed map, Ng^α - Open map, Ng^α -homeomorphisms.

1. Introduction

In 1933, Maki et.al [9] introduced the concept of g -homeomorphisms and gc -homeomorphisms in topological spaces. The notion of nano topology was introduced by LellisThivagar [7,8] which was defined in terms of approximations and boundary region of a subset of an universe using an equivalence relation on it. He also established and analyzed the nano forms of weakly open sets such as nano α -open sets, nano semi-open sets and nano pre-open sets. Bhuvaneswari and Mythili Gnanapriya [1] introduced and studied the concepts of Nano generalized-closed sets and Nano generalized α -closed sets.

The structure of this manuscript is as follows. In section 2, we recall some fundamental definitions and results which are useful to prove our main results. In section 3 we define and study the notion of Ng^α -homeomorphisms in nano topological spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [7] Let U be a non-empty finite set of objects called the universe R be an equivalence relation on U named as the indiscernibility relation. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair (U, R) is said to be the approximation space. Let $X \subseteq U$.

1. The Lower approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and it is denoted by $L_R(X)$. That is, $L_R(X) = \{\cup_{x \in U} \{R(x) : R(x) \subseteq X\}\}$, where $R(x)$ denotes the equivalence class determined by x .

2. The Upper approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and it is denoted by $U_R(X)$. That is, $U_R(X) = \{\cup_{x \in U} \{R(x) : R(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}\}$

3. The Boundary region of X with respect to R is the set of all objects which can be classified as neither as X nor as not X with respect to R and it is denoted by $B_R(X)$. That is, $B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X)$.

Definition 2.2 [7] If (U, R) is an approximation space and $X, Y \subseteq U$, then

1. $L_R(X) \subseteq X \subseteq U_R(X)$.
2. $L_R(\emptyset) = U_R(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $L_R(U) = U_R(U) = U$.
3. $U_R(X \cup Y) = U_R(X) \cup U_R(Y)$
4. $U_R(X \cap Y) \subseteq U_R(X) \cap U_R(Y)$
5. $U_R(X \cup Y) \supseteq U_R(X) \cup U_R(Y)$
6. $U_R(X \cap Y) = U_R(X) \cap U_R(Y)$
7. $L_R(X) \subseteq L_R(Y)$ and $U_R(X) \subseteq U_R(Y)$ whenever $X \subseteq Y$

8. $U_R(X^c) = [L_R(X)]^c$ and $L_R(X^c) = [U_R(X)]^c$
9. $U_R(U_R(X)) = L_R(U_R(X)) = U_R(X)$
10. $L_R(L_R(X)) = U_R(L_R(X)) = L_R(X)$

Definition 2.3 [7] Let U be the universe, R be an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ where $X \subseteq U$. $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms:

1. U and $\phi \in \tau_R(X)$
2. The union of elements of any subcollection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.
3. The intersection of the elements of any finite subcollection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$

That is, $\tau_R(X)$ forms a topology on U is called the nano topology on U with respect to X . We call $\{U, \tau_R(X)\}$ is called the nano topological space.

Definition 2.4 [7] If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a nano topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$ and if $A \subseteq U$. Then

- The nano interior of the set A is defined as the union of all nano open subsets contained in A and is denoted by $Nint(A)$. $Nint(A)$ is the largest nano open subset of A .
- The nano closure of the set A is defined as the intersection of all nano closed sets containing A and is denoted by $Ncl(A)$. $Ncl(A)$ is the smallest nano closed set containing A .

Definition 2.5 Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a nano topological space and $A \subseteq U$. Then A is said to be

1. Nano g -closed [1], if $Ncl(A) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \subseteq V$ and V is nano-open in U .
2. Nano $g\alpha$ -closed[2], if $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \subseteq V$ and V is nano α -open in U .
3. Nano αg -closed [2], if $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \subseteq V$ and V is nano-open in U .
4. Nano gp -closed [4], if $Npcl(A) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \subseteq V$ and V is nano-open in U .
5. Nano gpr -closed [10], if $Npcl(A) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \subseteq V$ and V is nano regular open in U .

3. Ng^α -Homeomorphisms in Nano Topological Spaces

In this section, we define and study the concept of Ng^α -homeomorphisms (briefly, Nano g^α -homeomorphisms) sets in nano topological spaces and obtain some of its properties.

Definition 3.1 A function $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is said to be Ng^α -homeomorphisms if

- f is one to one & onto
- f is Ng^α -continuous
- f is Ng^α -open

Theorem 3.2 Let $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ be an one to one onto mapping. Then f is Ng^α -homeomorphisms if and only if f is Ng^α -closed and Ng^α -continuous.

Proof: Let $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ be a Ng^α -homeomorphisms. Then f is Ng^α -continuous. Let A be an arbitrary nano closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then $U-A$ is nano open. Since f is Ng^α -open, $f(U-A)$ is Ng^α -open in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$. That is, $V-f(A)$ is Ng^α -open in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$ for every nano closed set A in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ implies that $f(A)$ is Ng^α -Closed in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$. Hence $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -closed. Conversely, let f be Ng^α -closed and Ng^α -continuous function. Let G be a nano open set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then $U-G$ is nano closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Since f is Ng^α -closed, $f(U-G)$ is Ng^α -closed in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$. That is, $f(U-G)=V-f(G)$ is Ng^α -closed in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$. Hence $f(G)$ is Ng^α -open in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$ for every nano open set G in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Thus f is One to one and Onto and hence $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -homeomorphisms.

Theorem 3.3 A one to one map f from $(U, \tau_R(X))$ onto $(V, \tau_R(Y))$ is a Ng^α -homeomorphisms if and only if $f(Ng^\alpha Cl(A)=Ncl(f(A))$ for every subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Proof: If $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -homeomorphisms, then f is Ng^α -continuous and Ng^α -closed. If $A \subseteq U$, it follows that $f(Ng^\alpha Cl(A)) \subseteq NCl(f(A))$. Since f is Ng^α -continuous. Since $Ng^\alpha Cl(A)$ is nano closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and f is Ng^α -closed function, $f(Ng^\alpha Cl(A))$ is Ng^α -closed in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$. Also $Ng^\alpha Cl(f(Ng^\alpha Cl(A))) = f(Ng^\alpha Cl(A))$. Since $A \subseteq Ng^\alpha Cl(A)$, $f(A) \subseteq f(Ng^\alpha Cl(A))$ and hence $NCl(f(A)) \subseteq NCl(f(Ng^\alpha Cl(A))) = f(Ng^\alpha Cl(A))$. Thus $NCl(f(A)) \subseteq f(Ng^\alpha Cl(A))$. Therefore, $f(Ng^\alpha Cl(A)) = NCl(f(A))$ if f is Ng^α -homeomorphisms. Conversely, if $f(Ng^\alpha Cl(A)) = NCl(f(A))$ for every subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$, then f is Ng^α -continuous. If A is nano closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$, then A is Ng^α -closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then $Ng^\alpha Cl(A) = A$ which implies $f(Ng^\alpha Cl(A)) = f(A)$. Hence, by the given hypothesis, it follows that $NCl(f(A)) = f(A)$. Thus $f(A)$ is nano closed in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$ and hence Ng^α -closed in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$ for every nano closed set A in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. That is, f is Ng^α -closed. Thus $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -homeomorphisms.

Example 3.4 Let $U = \{a,b,c,d\}$ with $X = \{a,b\}$ and $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b,c\}, \{d\}\}$. Then the nano closed sets are $\tau_R^C(X) = \{U, \phi, \{a,c,d\}, \{a\}, \{a,b\}\}$. Also let $V = \{x,y,z,w\}$ with $Y = \{x,y\}$ and $V/R = \{\{x\}, \{z\}, \{y,w\}\}$. Then $\tau_R^C(X) = \{V, \phi, \{y,z,w\}, \{z\}, \{x,z\}\}$. Define $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ as $f(a) = x, f(b) = y, f(c) = z, f(d) = w$. Then f is bijective, Ng^α -continuous and Ng^α -open and so the function $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -homeomorphisms.

Theorem 3.5 If a function $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nano($N\alpha$ -homeomorphisms respectively) homeomorphisms, then it is Ng^α -homeomorphisms but not conversely.

Proof: Let the function $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nano($N\alpha$ -homeomorphisms) homeomorphisms, by the definition, f is bijective, Nano($N\alpha$ -continuous) continuous and Nano($N\alpha$ -closed) closed. Hence $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -continuous. Since $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nano($N\alpha$ -closed) closed, the image of every Nano($N\alpha$ -closed) closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is Nano($N\alpha$ -closed) closed in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$ and hence Ng^α -closed in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$. Every Nano($N\alpha$ -closed) closed set is Ng^α -closed. Thus the function $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -closed. Therefore, every Nano($N\alpha$ -homeomorphisms) homeomorphisms $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -homeomorphisms. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.6 From the above example, the function f is Ng^α -homeomorphisms. Now $f^{-1}(v) = U, f^{-1}(\phi) = \phi, f^{-1}(y, z, w) = \{b,c,d\}, f^{-1}(z) = \{c\}, f^{-1}(x, z) = \{a,c\}$. Hence the inverse image of nano open sets in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$ are not nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and hence f is not nano continuous function. Then the function $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is not nano homeomorphisms.

Example 3.7 Let $U = \{a,b,c,d\}$ with $X = \{b,d\}$ and $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c,d\}\}$. Then the Nano closed sets are $\tau_R^C(X) = \{U, \phi, \{a,c,d\}, \{a\}, \{a,b\}\}$ and Ng^α -closed sets are $\{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,d\}, \{a,c,d\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{a,b,d\}\}$. Also let $V = \{a,b,c,d\}$ with $Y = \{a,b\}$ and $V/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\}, \{b,d\}\}$. Then $\tau_R^C(Y) = \{V, \phi, \{b,c,d\}, \{c\}, \{a,c\}\}$ and $N\alpha$ -closed sets are $\{V, \phi, \{b,c,d\}, \{c\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,b,d\}\}$. Define a $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ as $f(a) = d, f(b) = c, f(c) = b, f(d) = a$. Here f is not Ng^α -homeomorphisms. Since the inverse image of closed set $\{a\}$ in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$ is $\{d\}$ which is not $N\alpha$ -closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. However, f is Ng^α -homeomorphisms.

Theorem 3.8 If a function $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -homeomorphisms, then it is $N\alpha g$ -homeomorphisms($Ngpr$ -homeomorphisms respectively) but not conversely.

Proof: Let the function $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -homeomorphisms, by the definition, f is bijective, $N\alpha g$ -continuous($Ngpr$ -continuous) and $N\alpha g$ -closed($Ngpr$ -closed). Hence $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -continuous. Since $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is $N\alpha g$ -closed($Ngpr$ -closed), the image of every $N\alpha g$ -closed($Ngpr$ -closed) set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $N\alpha g$ -closed($Ngpr$ -closed) in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$ and hence Ng^α -closed in $(V, \tau_R(Y))$. Every $N\alpha g$ -closed($Ngpr$ -closed) closed set is Ng^α -closed. Thus the function $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -closed. Therefore, every $N\alpha g$ -homeomorphisms($Ngpr$ -homeomorphisms) homeomorphisms $f:(U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -homeomorphisms. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.9 Let $U = \{a,b,c,d\}$ with $X = \{b,d\} \subseteq U$ and $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c,d\}\}$. Then the Nano closed sets are $\tau_R^C(X) = \{U, \phi, \{a,c,d\}, \{a\}, \{a,b\}\}$ and Ng^α -closed sets are $\{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,d\}, \{a,c,d\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{a,b,d\}\}$. Also let $V =$

$\{a,b,c,d\}$ with $Y = \{a,b\} \subseteq U$ and $V/R = \{\{a\},\{c\},\{b,d\}\}$. Then $\tau_R^C(Y)=\{V,\phi,\{b,c,d\},\{c\},\{a,c\}\}$ and $N\alpha$ -closed sets are $\{V,\phi,\{b,c,d\},\{c\},\{a,c\},\{a,b,d\}\}$. Define a function $f:(U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V,\tau_R(Y))$ as $f(a) = d, f(b) = c, f(c) = a, f(d) = b$. Then f is bijective, Ng^α -Continuous and Ng^α -open and so the function $f:(U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V,\tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -homeomorphisms. Now $f^{-1}(v) = U, f^{-1}(\phi)=\phi, f^{-1}(b, c, d) = \{a,b,d\}, f^{-1}(c) = \{b\}, f^{-1}(a, c) = \{b,c\}$. Hence the inverse image of nano open sets in $(V,\tau_R(Y))$ are not nano open in $(U,\tau_R(X))$ and hence f is not Nano continuous. Thus the function $f:(U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V,\tau_R(Y))$ is not Nano homeomorphisms.

Example 3.10 Let $U = \{a,b,c,d\}$ with $X = \{b,d\}$ and $U/R = \{\{a\},\{b\},\{c,d\}\}$. Then the Nano closed sets are $\tau_R^C(X) = \{U,\phi,\{a,c,d\},\{a\},\{a,b\}\}$ and Ng^α -closed sets are $\{U,\phi,\{a\},\{a,b\},\{a,c\},\{a,d\},\{a,c,d\},\{a,b,c\},\{a,b,d\}\}$. Also let $V = \{a,b,c,d\}$ with $Y = \{a,b\} \subseteq V$ and $V/R = \{\{a\},\{c\},\{b,d\}\}$. Then $\tau_R^C(Y)=\{V,\phi,\{b,c,d\},\{c\},\{a,c\}\}$ and $N\alpha$ -closed sets are $\{V,\phi,\{b,c,d\},\{c\},\{a,c\},\{a,b,d\}\}$. Define a function $f:(U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V,\tau_R(Y))$ as c . Here f is not Ng^α -homeomorphisms. Since the inverse image of closed set $\{b,c,d\}$ in $(V,\tau_R(Y))$ is $\{b,c,d\}$ which is not $Ngpr$ -closed in $(U,\tau_R(X))$. However, f is Ng^α -homeomorphisms.

Theorem 3.11 A function $f:(U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V,\tau_R(Y))$ is said to be Ng^α -Continuous Function. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- f is Ng^α -open function
- f is Ng^α -homeomorphisms
- f is Ng^α -closed function.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) By the definition, The function $f:(U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V,\tau_R(Y))$ is bijective, Ng^α -cotinuous and Ng^α -open. Hence the function $f:(U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V,\tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -homeomorphisms.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) By the definition, The function $f:(U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V,\tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -homeomorphisms and hence Ng^α -open. Let A be the Nano closed set in $(U,\tau_R(X))$. Then A^c is Nano open in $(U,\tau_R(X))$.

By assumption, $f(A^c)$ is Ng^α -open in $(V,\tau_R(Y))$. i.e., $f(A^c)=(f(A))^c$ is Ng^α -open in $(V,\tau_R(Y))$ and hence $f(A)$ is Ng^α -closed in $(V,\tau_R(Y))$ for every Nano closed set A in $(U,\tau_R(X))$. Hence the function $f:(U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V,\tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -closed function.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let F be a Nano open set in $(U,\tau_R(X))$. Then F^c is Nano closed set in $(U,\tau_R(X))$. By the definition, $f(F^c)$ is Ng^α -closed in $(V,\tau_R(Y))$. Now, $f(F^c) = (f(F))^c$ is Ng^α -closed, i.e., $f(F)$ is Ng^α -open in $(V,\tau_R(Y))$ for every Nano open set F in $(U,\tau_R(X))$. Hence $f:(U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V,\tau_R(Y))$ is Ng^α -open function.

The composition of two Ng^α -homeomorphisms need not always be a Ng^α -homeomorphisms as seen from the following example.

Example3.12 Let $(U,\tau_R(X)),(V,\tau_R(Y))$ and $(W,\tau_R(Z))$ be three Nano topological spaces and Let $U=V=W=\{a,b,c,d\}$, then the Nano closed sets are $\tau_R(X)=\{U,\phi,\{b,c,d\},\{d\},\{a,d\}\}$, $\tau_R(Y) = \{V,\phi,\{a,c,d\},\{c\},\{b,c\}\}$ and $\tau_R(Z)=\{W,\phi,\{b,c,d\},\{c\},\{a,c\}\}$. Define two functions $f:(U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V,\tau_R(Y))$ and $g:(V,\tau_R(Y)) \rightarrow (W,\tau_R(Z))$ as $f(a)=b, f(b)=a, f(c)=d, f(d)=c$ and $g(a)=a, g(b)=c, g(c)=d, g(d)=b$. Here the functions f and g are Ng^α -continuous and bijective. Also the image of every nano open set in $(U,\tau_R(X))$ is Ng^α -open in $(V,\tau_R(Y))$. i.e., $f^{-1}(b,c,d)=\{a,c,d\}, f^{-1}(d)=\{c\}, f^{-1}(a,d)=\{a,d\}$. Thus the function $f:(U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V,\tau_R(Y))$ is Ng -open and thus Ng^α -homeomorphisms. The function $g:(V,\tau_R(Y)) \rightarrow (W,\tau_R(Z))$ is also Ng^α -continuous, bijective and Ng^α -open. Hence g is also Ng^α -homeomorphisms. But their composition $g \circ f: (U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (W,\tau_R(Z))$ is not a Ng^α -homeomorphism because for the nano open set $F=\{a,c\}$ in $(W,\tau_R(Z))$, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(F)=f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\{a,c\}))=f^{-1}(\{a,c\})=\{a,b\}$ is not Ng^α -open in $(U,\tau_R(X))$. Hence the composition $g \circ f: (U,\tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (W,\tau_R(Z))$ is not a Ng^α -continuous and thus not a Ng^α -homeomorphisms. Thus the composition of two Ng^α -homeomorphisms need not be a Ng^α -homeomorphisms.

4. Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, introduced the concept of nano topology and nano homeomorphisms in nano topological spaces. Some of its properties have been discussed. Further, Continuity of nano regular, nano normal and applications of nano topological spaces may be studied.

References

1. Buvaneswari. K, Mythili Gnanapriya. K, *Nano Generalized Closed Sets*, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 4(5): Pg No:1-3 ,2014.
2. Buvaneswari. K, Thanganachiyar Nachiyar R, *On Nano Generalized α -Closed Sets and Nano α -Generalized Closed Sets in Nano Topological Spaces*, IJETT, Vol.13, November 6-July 2014.
3. Buvaneswari. K, Ezhilarasi. A, *Nano Semi-Generalized Homeomorphisms in Nano Topological Spaces*, International Journal of Mathematical Archive-7(7), ISSN: 2229-5046, 2016.
4. Buvaneswari. K, Mythili Gnanapriya. K, *Nano Generalized Pre Homeomorphisms in Nano Topological Spaces*, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 6, Issue 7, July-2016.
5. Balachandran. K, Sundaram. P and Maki. H, *On generalized continuous maps in topological spaces*, Mem. Fac. sci. Kochi. Univ. Ser. A. Maths., 12, (1991), 5-13.
6. Chandrasekar. S, Rajeshkannan. T, Suresh. M, *Contra-nanosg-continuity in nano topological spaces*, International Journal on Research Innovations in Engineering Science and Technology, Vol(2), issue 4, April-2017.
7. LellisThivagar. M and Carmel Richard, *On nano forms of weakly open sets*, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, 1(1), (2013), 31-37.
8. LellisThivagar. M and Carmel Richard, *On nano forms of continuity*, Mathematical Theory and Modeling, Vol.3, No.7(2013), 32-37.
9. Mahi. H, Sundaram. P and Balachandran. K, *On Generalized Homeomorphisms in Topological Spaces*, Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed. Part III, 40:13-21.
10. Parvathy. C.R, Praveena. S, *On Nano Generalized Pre-Regular Closed Sets in Nano Topological Spaces*, IOSR Journal of Mathematics, Vol.13, Issue 2, Ver.III(Mar-Apr, 2017), PP 56-60.
11. Rajendran. V, Sathishmohan. P, Malarvizhi. M, *On Ng^α -Closed Sets in Nano Topological Spaces*, Vol.5, Issue 10, ISSN No: 1076-5131, Pg.No:1205-1214, October-2018.
12. Rajendran. V, Sathishmohan. P, Malarvizhi. M, *On Ng^α -Continuous functions in Nano Topological Spaces*, Malaya Journal of Matematik (Special issue).