Research Article

Applications Of Generalized Hypergeometric Analysis Function Of Second Order Differential Subordination

Shaheed Jameel Al-Dulaimi[1], Mustafa I. Hameed[2]
[1]Al- Maarif University College, Department of computer science, Ramadi – Iraq.
[2]University of Anbar, College of Education for Pure Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Ramadi-Ir
Correspondence to author: s .j kharbeet@uoa.edu.iq
mustafa8095@uoanbar.edu.iq

Article History: Received: 11 January 2021; Revised: 12 February 2021; Accepted: 27 March 2021; Published online: 20 April 2021

Abstract: We present some findings for second order differential subordination in the open unit disk involving generalized hypergeometric function using the convolution operator.

Keywords: Analytic functions, Univalent function, Convex function , Admissible functions, Best dominant, Differential subordination, Hadamard product.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) : 30C45.

1. Introduction

Let $f = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1\}$ be an open unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $H(f)$ be the analytic functions class in f and let $f[a, \varepsilon]$ be the subclass of $H(f)$ of the form

$$g(w) = a + a_l w^l + a_{l+1} w^{l+1} + \cdots ,$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N} = \{1,2, ...\}$ with $H_0 \equiv H[0,1]$ and $H \equiv H[1,1]$. Let $g(w)$ be an analytic function an open unit disc. If the equation $v = g(w)$ has never more than p-solutions in $f = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1\}$, then $g(w)$ is said to be p-valent in f. The class of all analytic p-valent functions is denoted by P_p, where g is expressed of the forms

$$g(w) = w^p + \sum_{l=p+s}^{\infty} a_l w^l, \quad (p, l \in \mathbb{N} = \{1,2,3, ...\}, w \in f). \tag{1}$$

The Hadamard product for two functions in P_p, such that

$$k(w) = w^p + \sum_{l=p+s}^{\infty} c_l w^l, \quad (w \in f) \tag{2}$$

is given by

$$g(w) * k(w) = w^p + \sum_{l=p+s}^{\infty} a_l c_l w^l. \quad (w \in f) \tag{3}$$

If g and k are members of $H(f)$, we can assume that a function g is subordinate to a function k or k is said to be superordinate to g if there exists a Schwarz function $l(w)$ which is analytic in f and $|l(w)| < 1$, $(w \in f)$, such that $g(w) = k(l(w))$. The term this subordination is used to describe this relationship

$$g(w) \prec k(w) \; or \; g \prec k .$$

Moreover, if the function k is univalent in f, then we have the following equivalence [1,6,7,11]

$$g(w) \prec k(w) \Leftrightarrow g(0) = k(0) \; and \; g(f) \subset k(f) .$$

The class V is normalized convex functions in f, we define for from

$$V = \{g \in A : \Re e \,(1 + \frac{w g''(w)}{g'(w)}) > 0 \,, (w \in f)\}.$$

Miller and Mocanu proposed the differential subordinations approach in 1978 [12,16], and the theory began to evolve in 1981 [10]. Miller and Mocanu compiled all of the information in a book published in 2000 [11,15]. If p is analytic in f and meets the second-order differential subordination condition, then

$$T(p(w), wp'(w), wp''(w); w) \prec h(w), \tag{4}$$

p is known as a differential subordination solution. If $p \prec q$ for all p satisfying, the univalent function q is considered a dominant of the solutions of the differential subordination or simply a dominant (4). The best dominant of all is a dominant q that satisfies $\tilde{q} \prec$ q for all dominants (4).

See [3,4,5] for the use of generalized hypergeometric functions and Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions in geometric function theory. For the purposes of this paper, we define a linear operator in terms of Wright's generalized hypergeometric function.

$$\Omega_p^t[(\alpha_n, A_n)1, q; (\beta_n, B_n)1, s]: A_P^t \to A_P^t,$$

Dziok and Raina [2,8] looked into it recently. For a function g of the form(1), the following can be seen:

$$\Omega_p^t[(\alpha_n, A_n)1, q; (\beta_n, B_n)1, s](g * \mathrm{k})(\mathrm{w}) = w^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \chi_n(\alpha_1) a_n b_n w^n, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\chi_n(\alpha_1) = \pi \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1 + B_1(n-p)) \dots \Gamma(\beta_S + B_S(n-p))(n-p)!}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + A_1(n-p)) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_q + A_q(n-p))}, \pi = \left(\prod_{n=1}^{q} \Gamma(\alpha_n)\right)^{-1} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{s} \Gamma(\beta_n)\right),$$

we have it for the sake of convenience

$$\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g * \mathrm{k})(\mathrm{w}) = \Omega_p^t[(\alpha_1, A_1), \dots, (\alpha_q, A_q); (\beta_1, B_1), \dots, \beta_s, B_s)](g * \mathrm{k})(\mathrm{w})$$

Using the relationship (5), it is clear that

$$wA_1\left({}^t_p[\alpha_1](g * k)(w)\right)' = \alpha_1 - pA_1)^t_p[\alpha_1](g * k)(w) + \alpha_1{}^t_p[\alpha_1 + 1](g * k)(w). \tag{6}$$

For $t \in \mathbb{N}_0, p \geq 0$, we let $\mathfrak{R}_{p,t}(\lambda)$ be the class of functions $g \in A$ satisfying

$$\Re e\{(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g * \mathrm{k})(\mathrm{w}))'\} \leq \lambda, (0 \leq \lambda < 1, \mathrm{w} \in f). \tag{7}$$

The following lemmas will be used to obtain our key results.

Lemma 1.1 ([13,9]). Let k be a convex function in f and let $h(f) = k(w) + n\beta w k'(w)$, where $\beta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $p(w) = k(0) + p_n w^n + p_{n+1} w^{n+1} + \cdots$, is holomorphic in f and

$$p(w) + \beta w p'(w) \prec h(w),$$

then

$$p(w) \prec k(w).$$

Lemma 1.2 ([14]). Let $\Re e\{\tau\} > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $M = \frac{n^2+|c|^2 - |n^2-c^2|}{4nRe\{c\}}$. Let h be an analytic function in f with $k(0) = 1$, and $\Re e\{1 + \frac{wh''(w)}{h'(w)}\} > -\mathrm{M}$. If $p(w) = 1 + p_n w^n + p_{n+1} w^{n+1} + \cdots$, is analytic in f and $p(w) + \frac{1}{c} w p'(w) \prec h(w)$, we get $p(w) \prec q(w)$, where q is the differential equation's solution

$$q(w) + \frac{n}{r} w q'(w) = h(w), \qquad q(0) = 1,$$

then

$$q(w) = \frac{r}{n w^{c/n}} \int_0^w t^{(c/n)-1} h(t) dt, \quad (w \in f).$$

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let q be convex function in f with $q(0) = 1$ and let $h(w) = q(w) + \frac{1}{\mu+1} w q'(w)$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\Re e\{\mu\} > -1$. If $g \in \mathfrak{R}_{p,t}(\beta)$, $\xi = \gamma\mu(g * k)$, where

$$\xi(w) = \gamma\mu(g * k)(w) = \frac{\mu+1}{w^\mu} \int_0^w t^{\mu-1} (g * k)(t) dt, \tag{7}$$

then

$$(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g * k)(w))' \prec h(w) . \tag{8}$$

It imply

$$(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]\xi(w))' \prec q(w).$$

Proof. We can deduce the following from the equality (7):

$$w\mu\, \xi\,(w) = (\mu + 1) \int_0^w t^{\mu-1}\,(g * k)(t)dt . \tag{9}$$

When we differentiate the equality (9) in terms of w, we get

$$(\mu)\xi\,(w) + w\xi'(w) = (\mu\ + 1)(g * k)(w),$$

then, we obtain

$$(\mu)\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]\xi(w) + w(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]\xi(w))' = (\mu + 1)\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g * k)(w). \tag{10}$$

When we differentiate (8) in terms of w, we get

$$(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]\xi\,(w))' + \frac{1}{\mu+1} w((\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]\xi\,(w))'' = ((\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]g(w))' . \tag{11}$$

In the equality problem, use differential subordination (8). (11), we obtain

$$(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]\xi(w))' + \frac{1}{\mu + 1} w((\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]\xi(w))'' \prec h(w). \tag{12}$$

Now, let us define

$$p(w) = (\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]\xi(w))' . \tag{13}$$

Then, with a quick calculation,

$$p(w) = [w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \chi_n\,(\alpha_1)\ \frac{\mu + 1}{\mu + n}\ a_n b_n w^n]' = 1\ +\ p_1 z\ +\ p_2 z + \ldots, \quad (p \in H[1,1]) .$$

In the equality problem, use differential subordination (12). (13), we have,

$$p(w) + \frac{1}{\mu+1} wp'(w) \prec h(w) = q(w) + \frac{1}{\mu+1} wq'(w).$$

Making use of Lemma 1.2, we obtain

$$p(w) \prec q(w).$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $\Re e\{\mu\} > -1$ and let $M = \frac{1+|\mu+1|^2-|\mu^2+2\mu|}{4Re\{\mu+1\}}$. Let h be an analytic function in f with $h(0) = 1$ and suppose that $\Re e\{1 + \frac{wh''(w)}{h'(w)}\} > -$E. If $(g * k) \in \Re_{p,t}(\beta)$ and $\xi = \gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu}(g * k)$, where ξ is defined by (10), then

$$(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g * k)(w))' \prec h(w) \tag{14}$$

It imply

$$(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]\xi(w))' \prec q(w),$$

where q is the differential equation's solution

$$h(w) = q(w) + \frac{1}{\mu + 1} wq'(w)\,, \quad q(0)\ = 1,$$

given by

$$q(w) = \frac{\mu + 1}{w^{\mu+1}} \int_0^z t^{\mu}\,(g * k)(t)dt.$$

Proof. If we use $n = 1$ and $\gamma = \mu + 1$ in Lemma 1.2, then the proof is straightforward using the proof of Theorem 2.2.

$$h(w) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)w}{1 + w}\ , \quad 0 \le \beta < 1,$$

we get the following result from Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.3. If $0 \le \beta < 1$, $0 \le \zeta < 1$, $p \ge 0$, $\Re e\{\mu\} > -1$ and $\xi = \gamma\mu\,(\mathcal{g} * k)$ is defined by the equation $\Re e\{\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]h(w))'\} > \beta$, then, we have $\gamma_\mu(\Re_{p,t}(\beta)) \subset \Re_{p,t}(\zeta)$, where $\zeta = \min_{|w|=1} \Re e\{q(w)\} = \zeta(\mu, \beta)$.

Also,

$$\zeta = \zeta(\mu, \beta) = (2\beta - 1) + 2(\mu + 1)(1-\beta)\tau(\mu), \tag{15}$$

where

$$\tau(\mu) = \int_0^1 \frac{t^\mu}{1+t}\, dt. \tag{16}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \Re_{p,t}(\beta)$. By from (7), we get

$$\Re e\{(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](\mathcal{g} * k)(w))'\} > \beta$$

this is the same as

$$(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](\mathcal{g} * k)(w))' \prec h(z).$$

We obtain by applying Theorem 2.1.

$$(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]\xi(z))' \prec q(z).$$

If we consider

$$h(w) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)w}{1 + w}, \quad 0 \le \beta < 1.$$

Then h is convex , and we have by Theorem 2.2

$$(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]\xi(w))' \prec q(w) = \frac{\mu+1}{w^{\mu+1}}\int_0^w t^\mu \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)t}{1+t}\,dt = (2\beta - 1) + 2\frac{(1-\beta)(\mu+1)}{w^{\mu+1}}\int_0^w \frac{t^\mu}{1+t}\,dt.$$

If $\Re e\{\mu\} > -1$, and $q(f)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis because of its convexity, we obtain

$$\Re e\{(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1]\xi(w))'\} \ge \min_{|w|=1} \Re e\{q(w)\} = \Re e\{q(1)\} = \zeta(\mu, \beta) = (2\beta - 1) + 2(\mu + 1)(1-\beta)r(\mu), \tag{17}$$

where $r(\mu)$ is the value of (16). We have inequity (17) as a result of injustice

$$\gamma_\mu(\Re_{p,t}(\beta)) \subset \Re_{p,t}(\zeta),$$

where ζ is given by (15).

Theorem 2.4. If q be a convex function and $q(0) = 1$. Let h a function such that $h(w) = q(w) + wq'(w)$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $p \ge 0$, $\mathcal{g} \in A$, such that

$$(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](\mathcal{g} * k)(w))' \prec h(w) = q(w) + wq'(w), \tag{18}$$

then

$$\frac{\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](\mathcal{g} * k)(w)}{w} \prec q(w).$$

Proof. Let

$$p(w) = \frac{\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](\mathcal{g} * k)(w)}{w}. \tag{19}$$

We have (19) as a differentiator.

$$\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](\mathcal{g} * k)(w))' = p(w) + wp'(w). \quad (w \in f)$$

When you use (18), you get

$$p(w) + wp'(w) \prec h(w) = q(w) + wq'(w),$$

we can use Lemma 1.1 to solve this problem

$$p(w) \prec q(w).$$

Then, we obtain

$$\frac{\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g*k)(w)}{w} \prec q(w).$$

Theorem 2.5. If q be a convex function and $q(0) = 1$. Let h the function $h(w) = q(w) + wq'(w)$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $p \geq 0$, $g \in A$, such that

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1+1](g*k)(w)}{\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g*k)(w)}\right)' \prec h(w), \tag{20}$$

then

$$\frac{\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1+1](g*k)(w)}{\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g*k)(w)} \prec q(w).$$

Proof. In the case of the function $g \in A$, which is given by the equation (1), we get

$$\Omega_p^t[(\alpha_n, A_n)1,q;(\beta_n, B_n)1,s](g*k)(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\chi_n(\alpha_1)a_n b_n w^n = \Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g*k)(w).$$

Hence

$$p(w) = \frac{\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1+1](g*k)(w)}{\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g*k)(w)} = \frac{w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\chi_n(\alpha_1+1)\frac{\mu+1}{\mu+n}a_n b_n w^n}{w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\chi_n(\alpha_1)\frac{\mu+1}{\mu+n}a_n b_n w^n}$$

$$= \frac{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\chi_n(\alpha_{1+1})\frac{\mu+1}{\mu+n}a_n b_n w^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\chi_n(\alpha_1)\frac{\mu+1}{\mu+n}a_n b_n w^{n-1}},$$

then

$$(p(w))' = \frac{(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1+1](g*k)(w))'}{\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g*k)(w)} - p(w)\frac{(\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g*k)(w))'}{\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g*k)(w)},$$

we obtain

$$p(w) + wp'(w) = \frac{(w^t_p[\alpha_1+1](g*k)(w))'}{{}^t_p[\alpha_1](g*k)(w)}.$$

As a result of the relationship (20),

$$p(w) + wp'(w) \prec h(w) = q(w) + wq'(w),$$

We can use Lemma 1.1 to solve this problem

$$p(w) \prec q(w).$$

Therefor

$$\frac{\Omega_p^t[\alpha_1](g*k)(w)}{w} \prec q(w).$$

References

1. T. Bulboaca, Differential subordinations and superordinations, Recent Results, Casa Cartii de Stiinta, Cluj-Napoca, (2005).
2. P. L. Duren, Univalent functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1983)
3. J. Dziok, On the convex combination of the Dziok-Srivastava operator, Appl. Math. Comput., 188, (2007), 1214–1220 .
4. J. Dziok and H.M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct., 14, (2003), 7–18.
5. A. Gangadharan, T. N. Shanmugam and H. M. Srivastava, Generalized hypergeometric functions associated with k-uniformly convex functions, Comput. Math. Appl., 44, (2002), 1515–1526.
6. M.I. Hameed, C. Ozel, A. Al-Fayadh, A.R.S. Juma, Study of certain subclasses of analytic functions involving convolution operator, AIP Conference Proceedings, Vol. 2096. No. 1. AIP Publishing LLC, 2019.

7. M. Hameed, I. Ibrahim, Some Applications on Subclasses of Analytic Functions Involving Linear Operator, 2019 International Conference on Computing and Information Science and Technology and Their Applications (ICCISTA). IEEE, 2019.
8. V. Kiryakova, M. Saigo and H.M. Srivastava, Some criteria for univalence of analytic functions involving generalized fractional calculus operators, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 1, (1998), 79–104.
9. J. L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, Certain properties of the Dziok-Srivastava operator, Appl. Math. Comput., 159, (2004), 485–493.
10. S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, Michigan Math. J., 28, (1981), 157–171.
11. S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations, Theory and applications, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, (2000).
12. S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Second-order differential inequalities in the complex plane, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 65, (1978), 298–305.
13. P. T. Mocanu, T. Bulboaca and G. S˘al˘agean, Geometric theory of univalent functions, Casa Cartii de Stiinta, Cluj-Napoca, (1999).
14. G. Oros and G. I. Oros, A class of holomorphic functions II, Miron Nicolescu (19031975) and Nicolae Cior˘anescu (19031957), Libertas Math., 23, (2003), 65–68.
15. R. K. Raina and T. S. Nahar, On univalent and starlike Wright's hypergeometric functions, Rend. Sem.Math. Univ. Padova, 95, (1996), 11–22.
16. J. Sokół, On some applications of the Dziok-Srivastava operator, Appl. Math. Comput., 201, (2008), 774–780.