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#### Abstract

Abstrct:PT. X is a manufacturing company in Indonesia that produces building materials such as Autoclave Aerated Concrete (AAC) lightweight concrete bricks, wall panels and floor panels. In the production process, this company is still experiencing several obstacles, one of which is in achieving the number of production targets at the Dept. Packing. Based on company data in the 2018 period the achievement of production targets at the Dept. Packing only reached $50.20 \%$ of the target requested by the company. One of the causes of not achieving the production target is the number of workers who are not balanced with the workload, which eventually causes a queue to build up at the Dept. Packing. The buildup that occurred at the Dept. This packing hinders the processes that were in the previous process section so that the production process does not run smoothly. To improve this situation, it is proposed to take work measurements using the Work Sampling method. This study aims to determine the standard time and the number of operators required. Work Sampling is a technique for making a large number of observations on the work activity of an operator for a randomly taken interval of time to one or more operators in a working or unemployed state. From the results of data processing, the standard time for operator A is 0.25 minutes, the standard time for operator B is 0.28 minutes, and the standard time for the operator is 0.25 minutes. Based on the standard time, the current operator capacity can only work 1,890 concrete bricks per day out of the 3,780 concrete bricks targeted by the company. Proposed comparison by adding the number of operators in each packing process from 3 operators to 6 operators. With this proposal, it can balance the workload of operators and increase the number of production targets demanded by the company, from $50.20 \%$ to $77.55 \%$.
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## 1. Introduction

PT. X is a company that produces building materials such as lightweight concrete bricks, floor panels, wall panels, dry mortar and lintels. Currently, the number of employees in this company is approximately 300 operators. PT. X is part of the trusted BETON WORKS Group. PT. X is here to be the number one solution for the need for quality lightweight concrete elements in Indonesia.

In the production process, PT. X There are still several problems that must be faced, one of which is the failure to achieve the production target of lightweight concrete bricks that occurred in the 2018 period. PT. This X has a production target of $1,039,500$ pcs of concrete bricks in one year. In fact, the achievement figures obtained in that period were only 521,962 pcs of concrete bricks. That means only $50.20 \%$

According to Mangkuprawira (2003), the factors that cause production targets are not achieved include human, material, machine, and environmental factors. The human factor is very dominant, namely $60 \%$, the other factors are $40 \%$ of the material, machines, and the environment. The number of workers is not balanced with the existing load so that the production target is not achieved and results in an accumulation of goods. Dept. Packing is the most critical part, so that the queue that occurs can spread to the previous process (casting, cutting, tilling, etc.) which ultimately achieves production is not optimal.

Factors that influence the accumulation in the Dept. One of the packings is how the operator works, in this case the time used by each operator to work. PT. BEP itself does not know the standard time (standard time) required by the operator packing. Measuring working time is an attempt to complete the length of work required for a trained operator to complete a job (Sutalaksana, 2012). One way to measure working time is by using Work Sampling. The method Work Sampling is an approach method that can be used to measure productivity easily. Work Sampling can also be used to determine the productive and unproductive activities of an operator (Wignjosoebroto, 2008; Do, 2019).

## 2. Literature review

### 2.1. Work Measurement

Measurement is a method of determining the balance between human activities contributed and unit of output the resulting. This work measurement is related to efforts to determine the standard time required to complete a job. This standard time is the time needed by an operator who has an average level of ability to complete the job. This case includes the leeway given by taking into account the situation and conditions of the work that must be completed. Thus the standard time generated in this work measurement activity can be used as a tool to make work scheduling plans which state how long an activity must be carried out and how much output is produced and how much labor is needed to complete the work (Wignjosoebroto, 2008).

### 2.2. Stopwatch Time Study

According to Ramadan (2008), the measurement of work with stop hours (Stopwatch Time Study) was first introduced by Federick W. Taylor around the 19th century. This method is good to be applied to jobs that are short and repetitive. From the results of this measurement, it will be obtained the standard time to complete a work cycle will later be used as the standard time to complete a job for all workers who will complete the same job. Measuring work with downtime is an objective work measurement method because here the time is determined according to the facts that occur and not only subjectively estimated.

### 2.3. Work Sampling

Work Sampling is a technique for making a large number of observations of the work activities of machines, processes and operators. This work measurement is classified as direct work measurement because measurement activities must be carried out directly at the workplace to be studied. The difference with the stop clock method is that in this work sampling method the researcher is not constantly in the workplace but observes at random predetermined times. This technique of work sampling was first used by an English scholar named LHC Tippet in conducting his research in the textile industry. The method was Work Sampling developed based on the law of probability, therefore observing objects do not need to be carried out thoroughly but by using sample random (Iftikar Z, 2012).

### 2.4. Determine the Number of Sampling

Amount of Sampling number of samples that make observations with Work Sampling is generally determined by the researcher but usually not less than 30 times. Where all work carried out by operators is called productive and non-productive activities (Supranto, 2005).

### 2.5. Determine Observation at Random Time

According to Johanes (2005), in this step the researcher made observations on several work activities for an interval of time that was taken randomly. For this, usually one working day is divided into units of time whose amount is determined by the researcher with the following equation formula:
Work time X 60 Minute
$\Delta t$
Based on the randomized time, observations are made by grouping work activities and idle activities. In this case, the definition of work and unemployment itself must be determined in advance. Then the work and unemployment activities are recorded and the percentage is determined.

### 2.6. Rating Factor and Allowance

According to Rachman (2013), in carrying out work measurements it is necessary to evaluate the operator's work speed during the measurement. The activity for evaluating this operator is called Rating Performance. Of the several existing rating systems, the Westinghouse Rating System is a system that is considered the most complete of the other rating systems. In addition to the skills and efforts that have been started by the existing rating system, Westinghouse adds more to the conditions and consistency of the operators in doing their work. The table rating factor can be seen in Table 2.1 below :

The normal time for a job is to show that an operator who has average ability will finish his job at a normal working speed. The determination of allowances is needed to anticipate the time when an operator is not in a working condition (Rachman, 2013). The table for determining allowances can be seen in Table 2.2 below:

Table 2.1 Westinghouse System Rating

| Faktor | Kelas | Lambang | Nilai | Faktor | Kelas | Lambang | Nilai |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skill | Superskill | A1 | +0,15 | Conditions | Ideal | A | +0,06 |
|  |  | A2 | +0,13 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Excellent | B1 | +0,11 |  | Excellenty | B | +0,04 |
|  |  | B2 | +0,08 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Good | C1 | +0,06 |  | Good | C | +0,02 |
|  |  | C2 | +0,03 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average | D | +0,00 |  | Average | D | +0,00 |
|  | Fair | E1 | -0,05 |  | Fair | E | -0,03 |
|  |  | E2 | $-0,10$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Poor | F1 | $-0,16$ |  | Poor | F | -0,07 |
|  |  | F2 | -0,22 |  |  |  |  |
| Effort | Superskill | A1 | +0,13 | Consistency | Perfect | A | +0,04 |
|  |  | A2 | +0,12 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Excellent | B1 | +0,10 |  | Excellent | B | +0,03 |
|  |  | B2 | +0,08 |  | Good |  | +0,01 |
|  | Good | C1 | +0,05 |  |  | C |  |
|  | Average | D | +0,00 |  | Average | D | +0,00 |
|  | Fair | E1 | -0,04 |  | Fair | E | -0,02 |
|  |  | E2 | -0,08 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Poor | F1 | $-0,12$ $-0,17$ |  | Poor | F | -0,04 |
|  |  | F2 | -0,17 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.2 Allowance


### 2.7. Uniformity Test

According to Wignjosoebroto (2008), data uniformity testing is a test that is useful for ensuring that the data collected comes from the same system. Through this test, we can detect differences and data which out of control we can draw the control map. To create a control map we determine the control limits using the following equation:

## a. Productivity

$\frac{\text { Number of Observations - Non-Productive Activities }}{\text { Number of Observations }} X 100 \%$ (2.2)

## b. Upper Control Limit ( UCL )

$U C L=\bar{p}+k \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}{n}}$

## c. Lower Control Limit (LCL)

$B K B=\bar{p}-k \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}{n}}$
2.8. Adequacy Test

Adequacy test is a process to determine whether the data from the observations that have been made are sufficient or not. Observation data is said to be sufficient if $\mathrm{N}>\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$, namely the number of observations made is greater than the number of observations required. To get the number of observations that must be made, you can find the following equation formula:
$N^{\prime}=\frac{k^{2}(l-p)}{S^{2} p}$

### 2.9. Determination of Level of Accuracy

According to Purnomo H (2003), after all observations are made there is one more calculation to determine whether the results of these observations can be categorized as sufficiently accurate or not. To find out this can be done with the following equation formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left[\frac{k \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}{N}}}{\bar{p}}\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.10. Determination of Standard Time

According to Sutalaksana (2006), if the measurements have been completed, the next step is to calculate the standard time from the data. To calculate standard time, you can find the following equation formula:
$\frac{T T \times W T \times R F}{\sum Y i} \times \frac{100 \%}{100-\text { Allowance }}$

### 2.11. Calculation of Operator Needs Based on Standard Time

According to Groover (2001), the standard time is very helpful in determining manpower planning. This standard time is the time needed by an operator who has an average level of ability to complete a job. If the standard time is known and the data is stated to be uniform and sufficient, then calculate the productive working hours and the total time for product processing to determine the number of standard operator requirements.

## a. Productive Hours of Work

Total Working Hours X Number of Observations
b. Total Time
$W T=W b X Y i$
c. Needs of Standard Operators
$J T K=\frac{W t}{J K P}$
2. Data Processing

### 2.6. Calculating Operator Productivity

Percentage of productivity can be found using the formula equation (2.2) below:

$$
\frac{\text { Number of Observations }- \text { Non Productive Activities }}{\text { Number of Observations }} \times 100 \%
$$

From the observations, the percentage of productivity of each operator is obtained as follows:
Table 3.1 Percentage of Operator Productivity A

| Observation <br> to | Operator Activity A |  | Amount | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Productive | Non Productive |  |  |
| 1 | 64 | 6 | 70 | 0,91 |
| 2 | 62 | 8 | 70 | 0,89 |
| 3 | 65 | 5 | 70 | 0,93 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.2 Percentage of Operator Productivity B

| Observation <br> to | Operator Activity B |  | Amount | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Productive | Non Productive |  |  |
| 1 | 64 | 6 | 70 | 0,91 |
| 2 | 62 | 5 | 70 | 0,93 |
| 3 | 65 | 6 | 70 | 0,91 |
| Average |  |  |  | 0,92 |

Table 3.3 Percentage of Operator Productivity C

| Observation <br> to | Operator Activity C |  | Amount | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Productive | Non Productive |  |  |
| 1 | 62 | 8 | 70 | 0,89 |
| 2 | 64 | 6 | 70 | 0,91 |
| 3 | 62 | 8 | 70 | 0,89 |
| Average |  |  |  | 0,90 |

### 2.7. Data Uniformity

Data uniformity test was conducted at a $95 \%$ confidence level and $5 \%$ accuracy level. To test the uniformity of this data, it can be tested using the equations (2.3), (2.4) as follows:
$U C L=\bar{p}+k \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}{n}}$
$L C L=\bar{p}-k \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}{n}}$
An example of data uniformity test calculation for operator A is as follows:
For operator A with $\bar{p}=0,91$
$\mathrm{UCL}=0,91+2 \sqrt{\frac{0,91(1-0,91)}{70}}=0,98$
$\mathrm{LCL}=0,91-2 \sqrt{\frac{0,91(1-0,91)}{70}}=0,84$
Using the data above, a uniformity test control map is made for operator A as shown in Figure 3.1 below.


Figure 3.1 Control Map of Operator Average Productivity A
The productivity control limit for each operator can be seen in Table 3.1 as follows:
Table 3.4 UCL \& LCL for each Operator

| Operator | $\mathbf{N}$ | \% p | BKA | BKB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 70 | 0,91 | 0,98 | 0,84 |
| B | 70 | 0,92 | 0,98 | 0,85 |
| C | 70 | 0,90 | 0,97 | 0,82 |

### 2.8. Data Adequacy

Uniformity test was carried out at a $95 \%$ confidence level and 5\% accuracy level. Where if $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}<\mathrm{N}$ then the data is sufficient and the observation is stopped. To test the adequacy of this data, it can be tested using the equation formula (2.5) as follows:

$$
N^{\prime}=\frac{k^{2}(l-p)}{S^{2} p}
$$

Example of data sufficiency test calculation on operator A as follows:
For operator A with $\bar{p}=0,91$
$\mathrm{N}^{\prime}=\frac{(2)^{2}(1-0,91)}{(0,05)^{2} 0,91}=159,16$
Because $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}<\mathrm{N}(159.16<210)$ then the data is sufficient.
The data sufficiency test for each operator can be seen in Table 3.2 as follows:
Table 3.5 Adequacy Test for each operator

| Operator | N | $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ | $\%$ | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 210 | 159,16 | 0,91 | Enough |
| B | 210 | 140,93 | 0,92 | Enough |
| C | 210 | 187,23 | 0,90 | Enough |

### 2.9. Calculating the Level of Accuracy of Data

Calculation of this data is carried out at a $95 \%$ level of confidence and an accuracy level of $5 \%$. To find the level of accuracy, you can find the equation (2.6) as follows:

$$
S=\left[\frac{k \sqrt{\frac{\overline{\bar{p}}(1-\bar{p})}{N}}}{\bar{p}}\right]
$$

Example of calculating the level of data accuracy as follows:
$\overline{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{pA}+\mathrm{pB}+\mathrm{pC}}{3}=\frac{0,91+0,92+0,90}{3}=0,91$
$\mathrm{S}=\left[\frac{k \sqrt{\frac{\overline{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}}{N}}}{\bar{p}}\right]=\left[\frac{2 \sqrt{\frac{0,91(1-0,91)}{210}}}{0,91}\right]=\frac{0,03990}{0,91}=0,044$
From the calculation results above, the price of $S \pm 4.4 \%$ is less than $5 \%$ (degree of accuracy desired) then the number of 210 random observations that have been made has met the desired accuracy requirements.

### 2.10. Calculating Standard Time

The resulting standard time calculation will be used in determining the number of operator requirements. To calculate this standard time using the equation (2.7) as follows:
$\frac{T T \times W T \times R F}{\sum Y i} \times \frac{100 \%}{100-\text { Allowance }}$
An example of calculating the standard time for operator A is as follows:
$\frac{(3 \times 7 \times 60) \times(0,91) \times(1+0,02)}{5670} \times \frac{100}{100-19}=0,2547$ minutes
Total time for operators $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C in carrying out the process packing is:
Ws Total $=0,25+0,28+0,25=0,78$ minutes.

### 2.11. Calculating the Number of Optimal Operators Needs

Calculating the number of operator needs to know the total time needed to work on lightweight concrete brick products. To calculate the total time, it can be done with the equations (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10) as follows:
a. Productive Working Hours

Total Working Time X Number of Observation
b. Total Time (Wt)
$W T=W b X Y i$
c. Standard Operator Requirements (KOS)

$$
K O S=\frac{W t}{J K P}
$$

Demand for production targets for the 2019 period for lightweight concrete brick products at PT.BEP can be seen in Table 3.3 as follows

Table 3.6 Production Targets for the 2019 Period

| Periode | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Production } \\ & \text { Target } \\ & \text { (Pcs) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Working Days | Periode | Production Target | Working Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 98280 | 26 | July | 98280 | 26 |
| February | 90720 | 24 | August | 102060 | 27 |
| March | 98280 | 26 | September | 94500 | 25 |
| April | 98280 | 26 | October | 102060 | 27 |
| May | 86940 | 23 | November | 98280 | 26 |
| June | 88040 | 18 | December | 90720 | 24 |
| The Average Production Target |  |  |  | 93870 |  |
| Average number of days worked |  |  |  | 24,83 |  |

Based on Table 3.3, it can be calculated the number of standard operators that must be employed in the Dep. Packing at PT.BEP.

1. Operator A

Productive activity for Operator A is to pull the concrete bricks out of the machine autoclave, move the concrete bricks to the temporary area using hoist 1 , and move the concrete bricks from the temporary area to the area packing using the hoist 2 .

Total production target requests

$$
\begin{aligned}
& : 93870 \text { pcs / month } \\
& : 0.25
\end{aligned}
$$

Standard time
$\mathrm{Wt}=\mathrm{Ws} \times \mathrm{Yi} \quad: 0,25$ minutes $\times 93870$
: $23.467,5$ minutes
$J K P=$ Total waktu kerja $\times 60$ minutes
: $24,83 \times 7 \times 60$
: 10430 minutes
$\mathrm{KOS}=\mathrm{Wt} / \mathrm{JKP} \quad: 23.467,5 / 10430$
: 2,25 people
2. Operator B

The productive activity of Operator B is to check the condition of the concrete bricks, ensure that the concrete bricks are separated from each row and column, and arrange the concrete bricks onto pallets according to the grade.

Number of production target requests : 93870 pcs / month

| Standard time$\mathrm{Wt}=\mathrm{Ws} \times \mathrm{Yi}$ | : 0.28 minutes |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | : 0,28 minutes x 93870 |
|  | : 26.283,6 minutes |
| JKP = Total waktu kerja $\times 60$ minutes | : $24,83 \times 7 \times 60$ |
|  | : 10430 minutes |
| $\mathrm{KOS}=\mathrm{Wt} / \mathrm{JKP}$ | : 26.283,6/10430 |
|  | : 2,52 people |
| 3. Operator C |  |
| The productive activity of Operator C is neatly tying the concrete bricks that have been stacked on pallets, ing them from all sides and preparing empty pallets. |  |
| Number of production target requests Standard time$\text { Wt }=\text { Ws x Yi : } 0.25 \text { minutes } \times 93870$ | : 93870 pcs / month |
|  | : 0.25 minutes |
|  | : |
|  | : $23,467.5$ minutes |
| $\mathrm{JKP}=$ Total working time $\times 60$ minutes | : $24,83 \times 7 \times 60$ |
|  | : 10430 minutes |
| $\mathrm{KOS}=\mathrm{Wt} / \mathrm{JKP}$ | : 23.467,5 / 10430 |
|  | : 2,25 people |

## 3. Analysis

### 2.12. Analysis of Production Target Achievement

Score milestones production targets Dept. Packing at PT.BEP for the January-December 2018 period can be seen in Figure 4.1 as follows:


Figure 4.1 Graph Achievement of Production Targets for the 2018 Period

Based on Figure 4.1 it can be seen that the achievement of the total production targets at the Dept. Packing is below the target number, the percentage of production is only $50.20 \%$. The number of production targets for the Dept. The packing daily 3,780 concrete bricks. There are currently 3 operators and in fact, they can only complete 1,890 concrete bricks in one working day. This is proven by the fact that the work achievement of the three existing operators resulted in not achieving the required production target.

The results of the observations that have been made show that the standard time required by the work operator to do their work is 0.25 minutes for operator $\mathrm{A}, 0.28$ minutes for operator B , and 0.25 minutes for operator C. used in determining the optimal number of operators required to be employed in the Dept. Packing.
2.13. Optimal Operator Needs Analysis

The number of operators in the Dept. Packing currently consists of 3 operators. With the existing operators, the achievements in the January-June 2019 period can be seen in Table 4.1. Based on the results of calculations carried out on operators at the Dept. packing This can be calculated the number of operators needed to complete this packing job is 6 operators. With the addition of the number of operators, it can be seen the comparison of achievements in the July-December 2019 period in Table 4.2.

Table 4.1 Data Exiting for 2019

| 2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Periode | Production <br> Target <br> (Pcs) | Working <br> Days | Actual <br> Production <br> Achievements | $\%$ |
| January | 98280 | 26 | 49140 | 50,00 |
| February | 90720 | 24 | 45360 | 50,00 |
| March | 98280 | 26 | 47250 | 48,08 |
| April | 98280 | 26 | 47250 | 48,08 |
| May | 86940 | 23 | 43470 | 50,00 |
| June | 88040 | 18 | 34020 | 38,64 |
| Average | 93423,33 | 23,50 | 44415 | 47,47 |

Table 4.2 Proposed Comparison for the 2019 Period

| 2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Periode | Production <br> Target <br> (Pcs) | Working <br> Days | Production <br> Achievement <br> Comparison | $\%$ |
| July | 98280 | 20 | 75600 | 76,92 |
| August | 102060 | 20 | 75600 | 74,07 |
| September | 94500 | 20 | 75600 | 80,00 |
| October | 102060 | 20 | 75600 | 74,07 |
| November | 98280 | 20 | 75600 | 76,92 |
| December | 90720 | 20 | 75600 | 83,33 |
| Average | 97650 | 20,00 | 75600 | 77,55 |

This evaluation is carried out to compare the results of data processing that have been carried out with the facts obtained in the field. The results of data processing with a standard time of packing lightweight concrete bricks, the number of operators needed to complete the work is obtained. The details of the need for the number of operators are 2.25 people for operator activities in section A, 2.52 people for operator activities in section $B$, and 2.25 people for operator activities in section C. Based on Tables 4.1 and 4.2 can be seen the comparison of numbers achievement of production targets for the January-June 2019 period with the July-December 2019 period. By adding operators in the Dept. packing This from 3 operators to 6 operators can increase the percentage of achieving the production target number from $50.20 \%$ to $77.55 \%$.

## 4. Conclusion and Suggestion

### 2.6. Conclusion

Based on the results of the research that has been done, several things can be concluded as follows:

1. The results of the calculation of standard time (standard time) that have been carried out to evaluate the results of operator productivity in the dept. packing at this time, the results show that the standard time for operator A is 0.25 minutes in one time moving concrete bricks from the temporary area to the area packing, the standard time for operator B is 0.28 minutes in one time moving one concrete brick to the pallet, and the time the standard for operator C is 0.25 minutes at a time considering a pallet containing lightweight concrete bricks. Based on the standard time, the current operator capacity can only work 1,890 concrete bricks per day out of the 3,780 concrete bricks targeted by the company. This means that with the actual working time currently only fulfilling $50.20 \%$ of the target, it can be said that the performance of the existing operators is less than optimal in carrying out their work.
2. The ratio between the number of operators that exist today is 3 people producing 1,890 concrete bricks per day. The comparison using the Work Sampling method is to add the number of operators in each section to 6 operators by producing 3,780 concrete bricks per day. With the addition of these operators, it can increase the number of percentage figures for achieving the production target from $50.20 \%$ to $77.55 \%$ which can compensate for the number of production targets demanded by the company and of course can reduce the occurrence of queuing activities that hinder other production processes.

### 2.14. Suggestion

This following are suggestions that can be given to companies at PT. Persada Element Concrete (BEP) based on the results of research conducted, including:

1. For companies, seeing conditions like this is very necessary to add operators in the Dept. Packing. The addition of these operators will greatly assist in increasing the achievement of production targets as well as in balancing the workload of the operators
2. Additional operators that can be done by the company can use several alternative options, such as the following:
a. Add operators in all parts of the process from A to C, and divide the working hours into shifting.
b. Adding operators only in process B, without adding operators in process A and C and working hours remain non-shift.
c. Adding operators in all parts of the process from A to C, dividing working hours into shifting and adding machines and re-layout packing areas.
3. For further researchers, the observations made by the author still have shortcomings, namely in calculating the percentage of the workload of each operator. The next researcher might be able to add the method Workload Analysis to find out the workload of each operator to make it easier to get the operator's needs needed.
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