## **Optimization Of Queueing Model**

#### Dr. Navneet Kumar Verma<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Shavej Ali Siddiqui<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>(Associate Professor) in Mathematics Department, VIT Bhopal University, Madhya Pradesh <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor' in the Dept. of Mathematics, Khwaja Moinuddin Chisti Language University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh

#### Article History: Received: 11 January 2021; Accepted: 27 February 2021; Published online: 5 April 2021

**Abstract:** -in the paper, we are considering the single server queueing system have interdependent arrival of the service processes having bulk service. In this article, we consider that the customers are served k at any instance except when less then k are in the system & ready to provide service at which time customers are served. **Keyword:** -Interdependent queueing models, arrival process, service process, waiting line system, mean dependence.

## 1. OPTIMIZATION M/M<sup>[K]</sup>/1 QUEUEING MODEL WITH VARYING BATCH SIZE :-

In this type of systems, the interdependence could be induced by considering the dependent structure with parameters  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\in$  as marginal arrival rate, service rate and mean dependence rate respectively.

Let  $P_n(t)$  be the probability when there are *n* customers in system at time *t*. The difference – differential equations of above modelmay have written as,

$$P'_{n}(t) = -(\lambda + \mu - 2 \in)P_{n}(t) + (\lambda - \epsilon)P_{n-1}(t) + P_{n-k}(t); \quad n \ge 1$$
$$P'_{0}(t) = -(\lambda - \epsilon)P_{0}(t) + (\mu - \epsilon)\sum_{i=1}^{k}P_{i}(t)$$

Let us consider that, the system achieved the steady state, therefore the transition equations of considered model ar,

$$-(\lambda + \mu - 2 \in)P_n + (\lambda - \epsilon)P_{n-1} + (\mu - \epsilon)P_{n-k} = 0 \qquad ; \quad n \ge 1$$
$$-(\lambda - \epsilon)P_0 + (\mu - \epsilon)\sum_{i=1}^k P_i = 0$$

Applying heuristic arguments of "Gross and Harris" (1974). One can obtain the solution of mentioned equationsas,

 $P_n = Cr^n n \ge 0$ , 0 < r < 1.....(3) Where *r*, is the root of equations which lie in (0,1) of the characteristic equation .  $[(\mu - \epsilon)D^{k+1} - (\lambda + \mu - 2\epsilon)D + (\lambda - \epsilon)]P_n = 0$ .....(4) Here *D*represents theoperator.

#### 2. MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS: -

The probability that the system is empty is,

$$P_0 = (1 - r)$$

.....(5)

.....(1)

Where r is as given in equation (3).

For different values of  $\in \&k$ , for the given values of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , we are able to compute  $P_0$  values & are given in table (5.1). The values of  $P_0$  for the fixed k,  $\in$  and for varying  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ mentioned in the table (5.2).

From tables (5.1), (5.2) and equation number---(5), we observe that for fixed of  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\in$ , the value of  $P_0$  increases with respect to increase ink. As the dependence parameter $\in$  increases the value of  $P_0$  increases for fixed values of  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and k. The value of  $P_0$  decreases for fixed values of  $\mu$ , k and  $\in$  as  $\lambda$  increases. As  $\mu$  increases the value of  $P_0$  increases for fixed values of the  $\mu$ , k and dependence parameter $\in$ . If the mean dependence rate, is zero then the value of  $P_0$  is also same as in the  $M/M^{[K]}/1 - model$ .

The average no. of customers in the system can obtained as

$$L = \frac{r}{1 - r}$$

and mean number, of customers in the queue are

$$L_q = \frac{r^2}{1-r}$$

.....(7)

where r is as given in equation (3).

The value of L and  $L_q$  has been computed and given in tables-5.3 and table-5.5 for provided values of  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and for different values  $\in$  and k respectively. The values of L and  $L_q$  for fixed values of  $\in$  and k for varying  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  also given in tables-5.4 and table-5.6.

By equations 6 and 7, also for the corresponding tables we observe, that as  $\in$  increase, the values of *L* and  $L_q$  are decreasing and also as *k* increases the values of *L* and  $L_q$  are decreasing for fixed values of other parameters. As the arrival rate increases, the values of *L* and  $L_q$  are increasing for fixed values of  $\mu$ , *k* and  $\in$ . As  $\mu$  increases the values of *L* and  $L_q$  are decreasing for fixed values of  $\mu$ , *k* and  $\in$ . As  $\mu$  increases the values of *L* and  $L_q$  are decreasing for fixed values of  $\lambda$ , *k* and  $\in$ . When the dependence parameter  $\in = 0$  then the average queue length is same as that of  $M/M^{[K]}/1$  model. When k = 1 this is same as M/M/1 interdependence model.

The variability of this model can be obtained as

$$V = \frac{r}{(1-r)^2}$$

where r is as given in equation (3).

The coefficient of variation of the model is

 $C.V = \frac{\sqrt{V}}{L} \times 100$ 

.....(9)

Where L & V are provided as in equations (6) and (7).

The values of 'variability of system' and 'coefficient of variation' for various values of  $k, \in$  forfixed values of  $\lambda, \mu$  are computed which are given in tables-5.7& 5.9. The values of 'variability of the system' and 'coefficient of variation' for fixed values of  $k, \in$  and for various values of  $\lambda, \mu$  are provided in tables (5.8) and (5.10).

From equation-9 a& from the corresponding table we can observe that as  $\mu$  increases the "variability of the system size" decreases and "coefficient of variation" increases. As  $\lambda$  increases and for fixed values of  $\mu$ ,  $\in$  and k, the 'variability of the system size' increases & the 'coefficient of variation decreases. We may observe that as  $\in$  increases the 'variability of the system size, decreases and 'coefficient of variation' increases for fixed values of  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and k. As kincreases, the 'variability of the system' decreases and the 'coefficient of variation increases'.

For this model  $\in = 0$  and k = 1 reduces to M/M/1 classical model. The mean-queue length & 'variability of the system size' of this model are less than that of the classical. When k = 1, this model becomes M/M/1 lindependent model for  $\in = 0$ , this model is same as  $M/M^{[K]}/1$  model.

<u>TABLE 1.1</u>	
VALUES OF P <sub>0</sub>	
$\lambda = 3$ $\mu = 5$	

$\lambda = 3$ , $\mu = 3$						
$K_{\in}$	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	
1.	0.4000	0.4167	0.4348	0.4545	0.4762	
2.	0.5780	0.5871	0.5971	0.6081	0.6203	
3.	0.6106	0.6182	0.6264	0.6357	0.6459	
4.	0.6201	0.6270	0.6347	0.6433	0.6529	
5.	0.6214	0.6300	0.6374	0.6458	0.6551	

<u>(TABLE 1.2)</u>
"VALUES OF $P_0$ "
for $K = 28$ $C = 5$

$\int \mathbf{O} \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{S}$						
$\mu_{\lambda}$	1	2	3	4	5	
1.	0.9024	0.7681	0.6548	0.5551	0.4649	
2.	0.9161	0.7983	0.6975	0.6081	0.5269	
3.	0.9265	0.8214	0.7305	0.6493	0.5752	
4.	0.9345	0.8397	0.7568	0.6823	0.6141	
5.	0.9410	0.8545	0.7783	0.7094	0.6461	

## TABLE 1.3 VALUES OF L

$\lambda=3$ , $\mu=5$						
<i>K</i> / <sub>∈</sub>	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	
1.	1.5000	1.3998	1.2999	1.2002	1.1000	
2.	0.7301	0.7033	0.6748	0.6445	0.6121	
3.	0.6377	0.6176	0.5964	0.5731	0.5482	
4.	0.6126	0.5949	0.5755	0.5545	0.5316	
5.	0.6093	0.5873	0.5689	0.5485	0.5295	

## <u>TABLE 1.4</u> VALUES OF *L*

$K=2$ , $\epsilon=0.4$						
$\mu_{\lambda}$	1	2	3	4	5	
1.	0.1082	0.3019	0.5272	0.8015	0.1510	
2.	0.0916	0.2527	0.4337	0.6445	0.8979	
3.	0.0793	0.2174	0.3689	0.5401	0.7385	
4.	0.0701	0.1909	0.3214	0.4656	0.6284	
5.	0.0627	0.1703	0.2849	0.4096	0.5477	

## $\frac{\text{TABLE 1.5}}{\text{VALUES OF } L_q}$

$\lambda = 3$ , $\mu = 5$						
<i>K</i> / <sub>∈</sub>	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	
1.	0.9000	0.8165	0.7347	0.6547	0.5762	
2.	0.3081	0.2904	0.2228	0.2526	0.2324	
3.	0.2483	0.2219	0.2228	0.2088	0.1941	
4.	0.2327	0.2219	0.2102	0.1978	0.1845	
5.	0.2307	0.2173	0.2063	0.1943	0.1816	

# $\begin{array}{c} \frac{\text{TABLE 1.6}}{\text{VALUES OF } L_q} \\ K = 2 \qquad \in = 0 4 \end{array}$

R - 2 , $C = 0.1$						
$\mu_{\lambda}$	1	2	3	4	5	
1.		0.700	0.1820	0.3566	0.6159	
2.	0.0077	0.510	0.1321	0.2526	0.4248	
3.	0.0058	0.0388	0.0994	0.1894	0.3137	
4.	0.0046	0.0306	0.0782	0.1479	0.2425	
5.	0.0037	0.0248	0.632	0.1190	0.1938	

### REFERENCE

- 1. Baccelli, F. Mssey, W. A. (1989): "A Sample Path Analysis Of The M/M/1 Queue", J Appl. Prob. 26, 418-422.
- 2. Boxma, O.J., Kelly, F.P. And Konheim, A. G. (1984): "The Product Form For Sojourn Time Distributions Incyclic Exponential Queues", J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., 31, 128-133.
- 3. Brien O. (1987) : "Extreme Values For Stationary And Markov Sequences" Journal. Soci. Indian Appl. Math., 2, 133.
- 4. Chae, K. C., Lee, H. W. And Ahn C. W. (2001): "An Arrival Time Approach To M /G/1 Type Queues With Generalized Vacations", Queueing Systems 38, 91-100.
- 5. Chaudhary , G . (1998) : "On A Batch Arrival Poisson Queue With A Random Setup And Vacation Period", Comm .Ops. Res., 25 , 1013-1026.
- 6. Chhikra (1972) : Statistical Inference Related To The Inversegaussian Distribution , Ph.D. Dissertation, Oklahoma State University .
- 7. Conti, P.L. And Giovanni , L.D. (2002) : "Queueing Models And Statistical Analysis For Atm Based Networks", Sankhaya : The Indian Journal Of Statistics , 64, 50-75.

- Cooper, R.B.(1970) : "Queues Served In Cyclic Order : Waiting Times", Bell System Technical Journal, 49 399- 413.
- 9. Erlang, A.K. (1909) : "Probability And Phone Calls", Nyt. Tidsskr Mat. Ser. B., 20, 33-39.
- 10. Fry , T.C. (1982) : "The Theory Of Probability As Applied To Problems Of Congestion In Probability And Its Engineering Uses" , D.Van Nostrand Co . Inc., Princeton New Jersy.
- 11. Griffitins , J.D ., Leomenko, G.M., Williams , J .E. (2006) : The Transient Solution To M/E/1 Queue , Oper. Res. Letters , Vol. 34 , Issue 4 Pp. 349-354.
- 12. Hiller, F.S. And Boiling , R.W. (1967) : Finite Queues In Series With Exponential Or Erlang Service Time-A Numerical Approach", Operation Research ., 15 , 286-303.
- Singla, Neelam. "Busy Period Analysis Of A Markovian Feedback Queueing Model With Servers Having Unequal Service Rate." International Journal Of Applied Mathematics & Statistical Sciences (Ijamss) 6.1 (2017) 55-64
- 14. Ghimire, Sushil, Et Al. "Multi-Server Batch Service Queuing Model With Variable Service Rates." International Journal Of Applied Mathematics & Statistical Science (Ijamss) 6.4 (2017): 43-54.
- Mohamad, H. A., And Sattar Naser Ketab. "Oscillation And Nonoscillation Properties Of Solutions Of Third Order Linear Neutral Differential Equations." International Journal Of Applied Mathematics & Statistical Sciences (Ijamss) 5.3 (2016): 31-38.
- Shaalini, J. Vinci, And A. Emimal Kanaga Pushpam. "Application Of Rkhem Method For Solving Delay Differential Equations With Constant Lags." International Journal Of Applied Mathematics & Statistical Sciences (Ijamss) 5.3 (2016) 39-46
- Muxamediyeva, D. K. "Properties Of Self Similar Solutions Of Reaction-Diffusion Systems Of Quasilinear Equations." International Journal Of Mechanical And Production Engineering Research And Development (Ijmperd) 8.2 (2018) 555-566
- Jawahar, G. Gomathi. "Qualitative Analysis On Second Order Neutral Delay Difference Equations." International Journal Of Mechanical And Production Engineering Research And Development (Ijmperd) 9.2 (2019) 659-664