ψ —operator Proximity in i-Topological Space

A. Yiezi Kadham Mahdi AL Talkany¹, Luay A.A.AL-swidi²

¹ Department of mathematics ,*college of education for girle* university of kufa, Najaf , iraq yieziK.alTalkany@uokufa.edu-iq,
 ² Department of mathematics ,college of education for pure science, Babylon university, Babylon , Iraq pure.leal.abd@uobabylon.edu.iq

Article History: Received: 11 January 2021; Accepted: 27 February 2021; Published online: 5 April 2021

Abstract: another form of Ψ -operator defined in this paper by using employing two pillars they are i-topological spaces and the proximity spaces

Keywords: ψ -operator, proximity spaces, i-topological spaces, focal set, occlusion set

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1909, the researcher Riesz[1] presented the idea of proximity spaces in his theory "theory of enchainment", but this idea did not receive attention at that time. Then this idea was presented and developed by the Russian scientist Efremovic [3] and presented by the name of infinitesmmal spaces in a series of research, and then he generalized the concept of proximity spaces by using the meaning of proximity neighborhood After that, the proximity spaces witnessed a clear development through the many and varied researches included the concept like [4,15,19,20].

As for the concept of ideal and ideal topology, it was introduced by the scientist *K. Kuratowski* in 1933[2], and this topic has witnessed many different researches that dealt with various aspects of this topic such as [23,13,12].

The i- topology, it is another form of topological spaces defined by the use of the family T with the ideal I, as it was defined by *Irina Zvina* in 2006[6].

The Ψ -operator was defined by T. Natkaniec [5] which is defined as the complement of the local function in ideal topological

spaces, where different types and studies wer presented of Ψ -operator and enrich this topic in the ideal topological spaces, and we will shed light on some of the researchers who worked in the fuzzy and soft topological spaces and proximity spaces And of them [9,10,17]

In this paper, we will study the effects that can have on the ψ - operator in the i- topological space using proximity spaces and using a set of principles that were previously studied

2. Preliminaries

Definition (2-1) [21]: let (X, T, I) be a space then a subset, A of X is called focal set if there exist $U \in T(x), x \in X$ such that $U \alpha A$. Definition (2-2) [21]: let (X, T, I) is i-topology then, The focal closure of a set A is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{cl}(A)$ and defined by $\mathcal{F}_{cl}(A) = A \cup \mathcal{F}_{d}(A)$. Definition (2-3) [21]: let (X, T, I) be a space and $A \subseteq X$ Then i - cl(A) is the intersection of all i-closed sets suppress of A. Definition (2-4) [22]: let (X, T, I) is i-Topological space and (X, δ) is a proximity space and $A \subseteq x$ Then A is called Focal dense iff $\mathcal{F}_{cl}(A) = X$ and is denoted by \mathcal{F}_{0} dense.

Definition (2-5) [22]:

Let (X,T, I) is i-Topological space and (X, δ) is a proximity space then X is called Focal resolvable if there exist non empty disjoint $\mathcal{FO}_{dense \ sets \ A, \ B \ such \ that \ X} = {}_{A} \cup {}_{B}$ Definition (2-6): [21] let (X,T,I) be a space and (X, δ) is a proximity space a point $x \in {}_{X}$ is called occlusion point of a subset B of X if for $U \in I_{\oint}(x), x \in U \ and \ U \ \delta B$

3. ψ -operator proximity

Definition (3-1) : Let (X, T, I) is i – topological space and (X, δ) is a proximity space, then ψ -operator proximity defined by $\psi_{\delta}(A) = \{x \in X : \exists U \in$ $I_{\phi}(x)$ and $u \ll A$.where the relation $u \ll A_{means that} u \, \overline{\delta} \, A$ proposition (3-2): $\int_{let} (X, T, I_j)_{(resp.} (X, T_j, I)_{j} = 1,2_{are \ i-topological space such that} I_1 \subseteq I_{2(resp.} T_1 \subseteq T_2)_{then}$ $\psi_{\delta_{I_1}}(A) \subseteq \psi_{\delta_{I_2}}(A)$ proposition (3-3): let (X, T, I) is *i*-topological space and (X, δ) a proximity space, all of the Suffix phrase are Verified : $\psi_{\delta}(A) \subseteq \bigcup \{ u \in I_{\delta}(x) : u - A \in$ I1. $\psi_{\delta}(A) \supseteq \cup \{ u \in I_{\phi}(x) : u \ll A \}$ 2. $\psi_{\delta}(A) \subseteq \bigcup \{ u \in I_{\phi}(x) : x \in$ $A, u \approx A$ 3. **Proposition** (3-4) :

Let (X, T, I) is i – topological space and (X, δ) is a proximity space then $\psi_{\delta}(A) \subseteq Fcl(A)$ and the converse is not true. **Proof**:

Let $x \in \psi_{\delta}(A)$ so there exist $u \in I_{\delta}(x)$, $u \ll A$, if possible that $x \notin Fcl(A)$ so there exist $_{u} \in I_{\delta}(x)$ such that $x \in u$, $_{A} \cap u = \emptyset$, hence $_{A^{c}} \in I_{\delta}(x)$, and this is contradiction, Then $x \in Fcl(A)$ Proposition (3-5): Let (X, T, I) is i – topological space, and (X, δ) is a proximity space. Then $\psi_{\delta}(A) = \emptyset$ for each A of I. **Proof**:

Suppose that $\psi_{\delta}(A) \neq \emptyset$, then there exist $x \in \psi_{\delta}(A)$ so there is $U \in I_{\phi}(x)$, $U \cap A^{\mathcal{C}} = \emptyset$, and this is contradiction

There are several properties of ψ -operator as in the following theorem Let (X, T, I) is *i*-topological space and (X, δ) is a proximity space then each of the following are holds: $_{If}\psi_{\delta}(A) \neq \emptyset_{then}\psi_{\delta}(A) \in I_{\phi}(x)$ 1 For each $A \in T$. $\psi_{\delta}(A) \neq \emptyset$ 2. For each A in X, $\psi_{\delta}(A)$ is *i* – open set. 3. $A \subseteq \psi_{\delta}(A)$ for each *i* – open set A of X. 4. $_{If}A \subseteq B_{then}\psi_{\delta}(A) \subseteq \psi_{\delta}(B)$ 5. $\psi_{\delta}(A \cap B) = \psi_{\delta}(A) \cap \psi_{\delta}(B)$ 6. $\psi_{\delta}(A) \cup \psi_{\delta}(B) \subseteq \psi_{\delta}(A \cup B)$ 7. $\psi_{\delta}(\psi_{\delta}(A)) = \psi_{\delta}(A)_{\text{for any subset A of X.}}$ 8. $_{x}-\oint (X-A)\subseteq \psi_{\delta}(A)$ 9. $_{If}A \subseteq X_{and}B \in I_{then}$ 10. $\psi_{\delta}(A-B) = \psi_{\delta}(A) = \psi_{\delta}(A \cup$ B) $\psi_{\delta}(A) \subseteq \phi(A)$ 11. If A is i-close set then $\psi_{\delta}(A) - A = \emptyset$ 12. $I_{3, lf} A \in T_{then} A \subseteq \psi_{\delta}(A)$ Proof : 6. let $x \in \psi_{\delta}(A) \cap \psi_{\delta}(B)$ this mean that there exist $U, W \in I_{\oint}(x)$, Such that $U \ll A$ and $W \ll B$ and by proposition (3-5)(2)[21] $U \cap W \in I_{\oint}(x)_{and so} U \cap W \ll A_{and U} \cap W \ll B_{and V}$, there for U $\cap W \ll A \cap B_{,hence} x \in \psi_{\delta}(A \cap B)_{.}$ $\sum_{\delta, let} x \in \psi_{\delta}(\psi_{\delta}(A))$ $x \in \bigcup \{ u \in I_{\phi}(x), x \in \psi_{\delta}(A), u \approx$ $\psi_{\delta}(A)$ and hence $x \in \psi_{\delta}(A)$. Conversely exist by (2).

10. since $A \cap B^{c} \subseteq A_{so} \psi_{\delta}(A - B) \subseteq \psi_{\delta}$, Now let $x \in \psi_{\delta}(A)$ if possible that $x \notin \psi_{\delta}(A - B)$, then for each $U \in I_{\phi}(x)$ we have $U \delta (A^{c} \cup B)$ hence $U \delta A^{c}$ and this is contradiction, also if $U \delta B$, but $B^{c} \in I_{\phi}(x)$ and this is contradiction from that we get $x \in \psi_{\delta}(A - B)$ Now since $A \subseteq A \cup B$ then $\psi_{\delta}(A) \subseteq \psi_{\delta}(A \cup B)$, let $x \in \psi_{\delta}(A \cup B)$ then there exist $U \in I_{\phi}(x)$ such that $U \overline{\delta}(A^{c} \cap B^{c})$ but $U \cap B^{c} \in I_{\phi}(x)$ and $U \cap B^{c} \subseteq A$, so if possible $x \notin \psi_{\delta}(A)$ then for each $U \in I_{\phi}(x)$, $u \delta X - A$, and this is contradiction, From that we get $\psi_{\delta}(A) = \psi_{\delta}(A \cup B)$.

Let (X, T, I) is i – topological space and (X, δ) is a proximity space if $A - B \cup B - A \in I$ then $\psi_{\delta}(A) = \psi_{\delta}(B)$. Proof: Since $A - B \in I_{orB} - A \in I_{, let}A - B = H_{1 and}B - A = H_{2}$ Then $B = (A \cap B) \cup (B - A) = (A - H_{1}) \cup H_{2}$

and by proposition (3-10) (10) we have $\psi_{\delta}(A) = \psi_{\delta}(A - H_1)_{so}$ $\psi_{\delta}(B) \psi_{\delta}((A - H_1) \cup H_2) = \psi_{\delta}(A)$.

Proposition (3-8): Let (X, T, I) is *i* - topological space and (X, δ) is a proximity space if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ for each subsets A, B of X, then $\oint(A) \cap \psi_{\delta}(B) = \emptyset$ and $\oint(B) \cap \psi_{\delta}(A) = \emptyset$ Proof: Suppose that $\oint(A) \cap \psi_{\delta}(B) \neq \emptyset$, then there exist $x \in \oint(A) \cap \psi_{\delta}(B)$ Hence $x \in \oint(A)$ and this mean that for each $u \in I_{\oint}(x)$, $u \, \delta A$ and then $u \, \delta B^c$ and $x \in \psi_{\delta}(B)$ this mean that there exist $u \in I_{\oint}(x) u \ll B$ and this is contraction hence $\oint(A) \cap \psi_{\delta}(B) = \emptyset$ Proposition (3-9): Let (X, T, I) is *i* - topological space and (X, δ) is a proximity space if $A, B \in T$ such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $\oint(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $\oint(B) \cap A = \emptyset$ Proposition (3-10): Let (X, T, I) is *i* - topological space and (X, δ) is a proximity space then A is Focal dense iff $\psi_{\delta}(A^c) = \emptyset$ Proof: Let A is Focal dense hence Fcl(A) = X Suppose that $\psi_{\delta}(A^c) \neq \emptyset$, then there exist $x \in \psi_{\delta}(A^c)$ and then

there exist $u \in I_{\oint}(x)$, $u \ll A^{c}_{so} u \bar{\delta} A_{and then if but x} \in Fcl(A)_{hence if x} \in Fd(A)_{so for each focal}$

set u of x and $x \in u$, $u \cap A/_x \neq \emptyset$, but $u \,\overline{\delta} A$ for some u and this is contradiction. we get a contradiction also if $x \in A_{and} x \notin Fd(A)_{hence there exist} u \in I_{\oint}(x)_{,x} \in u, u \cap A/_x = \emptyset_{,hence} \psi_{\delta}(A^c) = \emptyset_{,x}$ Conversely, suppose that $Fcl(A) \neq X_{then there exist} x \in X, x \notin Fcl(A)_{,this mean that there exist}$ $u \in I_{\oint}(x)_{such that} u \equiv A^c_{,hence} u \equiv \psi_{\delta}(u) \equiv \psi_{\delta}(A^c)_{so} u = \emptyset_{and this is contradiction. then}$ $x \in Fcl(A)_{for each} x \in X_{,x}$

Some of examples discussed some cases in this paper are below

Example (3-11) :

Let

$$X = \{a, b, c\}, T = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}\}, I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$$
and δ defined on X as follow $A\delta B$ iff $\cap B \neq \emptyset$. Then if $A = \{a\}$ then we have that
 $\cup \{u \in I_{\oint}(x) : u - A \in I\} \notin \psi_{\delta}\{a\}_{Also if} B = \{a, b\}_{then}$
 $\psi_{\delta}(B) \notin \cup \{u \in I_{\oint}(x) : u \ll B\}$

$$CExample (3-12):$$
Let
$$X = \{a, b, c\}, T = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}, I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$$
and δ defined as follow $A\delta B$ iff $\cap B \neq \emptyset$, then for $A = \{c\}$ then $\psi_{\delta}(A) = \emptyset$ and $Fcl\{c\} = \{c\}$
.clearly that $Fcl\{c\} \not\subseteq \psi_{\delta}(A)$
Example (3-13):
Let
$$X = \{a, b, c\}, T = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, c\}\}, I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$$
and (X, δ) defined by $A\delta B$ iff $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. If $A = \{c\}, B = \{b, c\},$ then $\psi_{\delta}(A) = \psi_{\delta}\{b, c\},$ But
$$A - B \cup B - A \notin I$$

4. conclusion :

1. In this paper the definition of the ψ – operator in the topological space was presented and it became clear to us the clear effect of proximity spaces on some characteristics and anthologies related to the operator. 2. This definition can be applied to a group of subjects presented by a group of researchers such as[7,8,11,14,18].

References

- [1] Riesz, F. "Stetigkeit und abstrakte Mengenlehre", Rom. 4. Math. Kongr. 2,1909, 18–24
- [2] K. Kuratowski, "Topologie I", Warszawa, 1933.
- [3] V. A. Efremvich, "The geometry of proximity", Mat. Sb., 31 (1952), 189–200.

- [4] Larry Keh-Bai f.hu, "astudy of proximity spaces", Thesis, Kansas State Teachers College, 1973
- [5] Natkaniec T., "On I-continuity and I-semi continuity points", Math. Slovaca, 36 (3) (1986), 297-312
- [6] Irina Zvina, "On i-topological spaces: generalization of the concept of a topological space via ideals", Applied General Topology, Volume 7, No. 1, 2006
- [7] Luay abd alhani alswidi, "on semi Para compactness in bitopological space" European journal of scientific journal, Vol.47, No.4, 2010
- [8] L.A.A.Al-swidi, " on Para compactness in bitopological spaces", European journal of scientific research, Vol.46, No. 2, 2010.
- [9] *M.H.Hadi*, *M.A.A.Al-yaseen*, *L.A.ALswidi*, "forms weakly continuity using weak w-open sets" journal of interdisciplinary mathematics, 2010
- [10] L.A.A.Alswidi,A.M.Al-hussaini, " weak forms of w-open sets in bitopological spaces and connectedness", European journal of scientific research, Vol.52, No.2, 2011.
- [11] L.A.A.Alswidi, Mustafa H.H., "Characterization of continuity and compactness with respect to weak forms of w-open sets", European journal of scientific research, Vol.57, No.4, 2011.
- [12] B. C. Tripathy and G. C. Ray, "Mixed Fuzzy ideal topological spaces, Appl. Math. Comput.220,2013, 602-607
- [13] Al-swidi L.A., Al-Rubaye M.s, "New classes of separation axiom via special case of local function", international Journal of mathematical analysis Vol. (1) No. (23), 2014, 1119 1131
- [14] Luay A.AL-swidi ", Maryam A. Al-Ethary "compactness with gem -set " international journal of mathematical analysis, 2014
- [15] zzettin Demir, Oya Bedre Özbakır and İsmet Yıldız, Some Properties of Fuzzy Soft Proximity Spaces, Scientific World Journal, Volume 2015
- [16] L.A.Al-swidi, F.S.S.Auday, "analysis on the soft bench points" IMSE, 2018.
- [17] L.A.Al-swidi, F.S.S.Auday," on the soft turning points "Baghdad science journal, 2018.
- [18] A.S.A.Alrazzaq, L.A.A.Al-swidi, "soft generalized vague set and application in medical diagnosis" journal of public health research and development, 2019.
- [19] Abdul sada D.A, Al swidi L. AA, "some properties of c-topological space", first international conference of computer and applied science, 52-56, 2019
- [20] Abdl sada D.A , Alswidi L.A.A. "separation axioms of center topological space", journal of advance research in Dynamical and control system, 8 (5), 2020,1389-1393.
- [21] Yiezi k. altalkany, Luay A.A. Al-Swidi, " Focal Function in i-Topological Spaces via Proximity Spaces ", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, Conf. Ser. 1591 012083,2020
- [22] Yiezi k. altalkany, Luay A.A. Al-Swidi, " new concepts of dense set in i-topological space ", (to appear)
- [23] Almohmmed R., Al swidi, L. A-A "New concepts of fuzzy local function", 17 (2). Baghdad science Journal, , 2020, 515 -522.