Analysis of M/G/1 Feedback Queue under Steady State When Catastrophes Occur
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Abstract: In this paper we analyse the M/G/1 feedback queue under steady states conditions when catastrophe occur. The stationary probability of ‘n’ and zero customers sins the system are derived. The asymptotic behaviour of the model and the averages queues length are also obtained. The numerical example are provided to test the feasibility of the model.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A queue is a waiting line which demands service from a server. The queue does not include a customer being serviced. Queueing mathematician A.K. Erlang. The Erlang work[1] on queueing stimulated many authors to develop a variety of queueing models. Many queueing situations have features that the customer may be serviced once again. If a customer is not satisfied by his service or he expects more service then he joins the queue to get additional service is called feedback. The customer may (or) may not opt for a feedback. In the year 1963 Takacs[8] first introduced the concept of feedback mechanism in queues. In 1996 Gautam Choudhary and Madhu Chandapaul[3] have proposed a two phase queueing system with Bernoulli feedback.

In certain queueing models before starting a service, the server may have to do some preparatory work or some alignment must be done in the case of certain necessities. This sort of preparatory work for customers occur in hospitals, production process, bank etc. Santhakumaran and Thangaraj[7] have proposed a single server queue with impatient and feedback customers. Santhakumaran and Shanmugasundaram[6] have preparatory work on arriving customers with a single server feedback queue. Santhakumaran, Ramasamy and Shanmugasundaram[13] have also studied a single queue with instantaneous Bernoulli feedback and setup time. Thangaraj and Vanitha[12] have focussed on a continued fraction approach to a M/M/1 queue with feedback. Chandrasekaran and Saravananarajan[14] made a study on transient and reliability analysis of M/M/1 feedback queue subject to catastrophes, server failures and repairs. In queueing system catastrophes means sudden calamity that occur in queue or service facility. When catastrophes occur in the system, all the available customers are destroyed immediately and the server gets inactivated. Catastrophes modelling and analysis has been playing a vital role in various areas of science and technology. Chao[2] has modelled a queueing network mode with catastrophes and product form solution. Shanmugasundaram and Chitra[9] have made a study on time dependent solution of a single server feedback queue customer has a service with and without preparatory work when catastrophes occur. Krishnakumar, Krishnamoorthy, Pavai Madheswari and Sadiq Basha[15] studied a transient analysis of a single server queue with catastrophes, failures and repairs. Krishnakumar, Arivudainambi[10] focussed on transient state solution to a M/M/1 queue with catastrophes. Parthasarathy[11] made a study on a transient solution to a M/M/1 queue. Jain and kumar[4] have studied on M/G/1 queue with catastrophes. Krishnakumar and Pavai Madheswari[5] have made a study on transient analysis of an M/M/1 queue subject to catastrophes and server failures.

Description of the system
In this model, external customers arrive according to a Poisson process with rate $\lambda$. The service process follows general discipline with service rate $\mu$ and the service for an arriving customer begins instantaneously if the server is idle upon an arrival.

![Diagram of service process]

After getting service, the customer makes a decision depending on the level of service whether to depart (or) feedback. If the customer does feedback, he joins the feedback stream with probability $q$ and joins the end of the queue. If a customer does not feedback, he joins the departure process with probability $p$ so that $p + q = 1$. The queue discipline is FIFO and the capacity of the queue is infinite. Catastrophes occur from the arrival and the service process with rate $\Omega$. When catastrophes occur, all the available customers are destroyed immediately and the server becomes inactive. The server will be ready for service at the time of a new arrival. The motivation for this model comes from banks, hospitals, production systems, restaurants, etc.

Let $P_0(t) = P[x(t) = n], n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ denote the probabilities that there are $n$ customers in the system at time $t$ and let $P(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(t)x^n$ be its Laplace transform. Assume that there are no customers in the system at time $t=0$, i.e., $P_0(0)=1$.

The system of differential difference equations for the probability $P_n$ is

$$-\lambda P_n + \mu P_1 + \Omega(1-P_0) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

and for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$

$$-\lambda P_{n-1} - (\lambda + \mu + \Omega)P_n + \mu P_{n+1} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

**Theorem 1:**

The Stationary Probability Distribution $\{\pi_n, n \geq 0\}$ for the M/G/1 queue when catastrophes occur is

$$\pi_0 = 1-\rho$$

$$\pi_n = (1-\rho)\rho^n, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

Where $\rho = \left(\frac{(\lambda + \mu + \Omega) - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + 2(\lambda \Omega + \mu \Omega - \lambda \mu)}}{2\mu}\right)$

Proof: The Laplace transform of the steady state probability for no customers in the system is

$$P_0'(x) = \frac{1 + \frac{\Omega}{x}}{(x + \lambda + \Omega) - \left(\frac{w + \sqrt{w^2 - 4\lambda \mu}}{2}\right)}$$

Where $w = (x + \lambda + \mu + \Omega)$

$$\pi_0 = \lim_{x \to 0} xP_0'(x)$$
\[
\pi_0 = 1 - \frac{(\lambda + \mu + \Omega) - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \Omega^2 + 2\lambda\Omega + 2\mu\Omega - 2\lambda\mu}}{2\mu}
\]

Also taking Laplace transform of the steady state probability for \(n\) customers in the system, we obtain
\[
\pi_n = \lim_{x \to 0} \left( \frac{w - \sqrt{w^2 - 4\lambda\mu}}{2\mu} \right)^n \pi_0
\]

\[
\pi_n = \pi_0 \left( \frac{(\lambda + \mu + \Omega) - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \Omega^2 + 2\lambda\Omega + 2\mu\Omega - 2\lambda\mu}}{2\mu} \right)^n
\]

\[
\pi_n = (1 - \rho)^n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \quad \text{and the stationary probability distribution exists if and only if} \quad \rho < 1.
\]

**Theorem 2**

The asymptotic behaviour of average queue length \(H(t)\) when \(\Omega > 0\) is
\[
H(t) = \left( \frac{\lambda - \mu}{\Omega} \right) + \frac{2\mu}{2(\lambda + \Omega) - \sqrt{(\lambda - \mu + \Omega)^2 - 4\lambda\mu}} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.
\]

**Proof:**

Consider the probability generating function \(P(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(t)x^n\) together with initial conditions and using the equations (1) and (2), the probability generating function \(P(x, t)\) becomes
\[
\frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial t} = \left[ \lambda + \mu - (\lambda + \mu + \Omega) \right] P(x, t) + \mu \left( 1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) P_0 + \Omega
\]

The average queue length is
\[
H(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nP_n(t) = \frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial t} \quad \text{at} \quad x = 1
\]
\[
\frac{dH(t)}{dt} + \Omega h(t) = \lambda - \mu(1 - P_0)
\]

This differential equation is linear in \(H(t)\) and solving for \(H(t)\) we get
\[
H(t)e^{\Omega t}dt = \int_0^t [\lambda - \mu(1 - P_0)]e^{\Omega dt} dt + c
\]
\[
H(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\Omega} (1 - e^{\Omega t}) - \frac{\mu}{\Omega} (1 - e^{\Omega t}) + \mu \int_0^t P_0(u)e^{-\Omega(t-u)} du
\]

Taking Laplace transform for the above expression, we get
$$H^*(x) = \frac{\lambda}{x(x + \Omega)} - \frac{\mu}{x(x + \Omega)} + \frac{p}{(x + \Omega)}P^s(x)$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} H(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} xH^*(x) = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\lambda - \mu(t)}{x + \Omega} + \frac{\mu(t)}{(x + \lambda + \Omega) - \left[(x + \lambda + \mu(t) + \Omega) - \sqrt{(x + \lambda + \mu(t) + \Omega)^2 - 4\lambda \mu(t)}\right]}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda - \mu(t)}{\Omega} + \frac{2\mu(t)}{2(\lambda + \Omega) - \left[(\lambda + \mu(t) + \Omega) - \sqrt{(\lambda + \mu(t) + \Omega)^2 - 4\lambda \mu(t)}\right]}$$

as \( t \to \infty \)

$$H(t) = \left(\frac{\lambda - \mu}{\Omega}\right) + \frac{2\mu}{2(\lambda + \Omega) - \left[(\lambda - \mu) - \sqrt{(\lambda + \mu)^2 - 4\lambda \mu}\right]}$$

If the service is carried out with and without preparatory work and there respective service rates are taken as \( \mu_1 \), \( \mu_2 \) and if \( p \) is the probability for preparatory work and \( q \) is the probability without preparatory work such that \( p + q = 1 \), then the asymptotic behaviour of average queue length

$$H = \left(\frac{\lambda - (p\mu_1 + q\mu_2)}{\Omega}\right) + \frac{2(p\mu_1 + q\mu_2)}{2(\lambda + \Omega) - \left[(\lambda - (p\mu_1 + q\mu_2) + \Omega) - \sqrt{(\lambda + (p\mu_1 + q\mu_2) + \Omega)^2 - 4\lambda (p\mu_1 + q\mu_2)}\right]}$$

If the customers without preparatory work are only allowed to feedback with probability \( q \) but the customers with preparatory work are not allowed to feedback and depart from the system with probability \( p \) such that \( p + q = 1 \) with service rates \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) respectively then also the asymptotic behaviour of the average queue length coincides with the result of Shanmugasundaram and Chitra.

**Numerical Study**

In this section a numerical study is made based on the average queue length of the model. For this purpose two tables are computed by varying the values of \( \lambda \) and \( \Omega \) by keeping \( \mu \) fixed and then varying \( \mu \) and \( \Omega \) by keeping \( \lambda \) fixed with \( \lambda - \mu \).

**Table**: The average length of the system \( H(t) \) is computed for catastrophic effect of \( \Omega = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9 \) with \( \mu = 10 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \lambda )</th>
<th>( \Omega(0.3) )</th>
<th>( \Omega(0.6) )</th>
<th>( \Omega(0.9) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.107156478</td>
<td>0.103497187</td>
<td>0.100099205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.238900952</td>
<td>0.228902577</td>
<td>0.219832183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.404243315</td>
<td>0.383147227</td>
<td>0.364602356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.616804097</td>
<td>0.575908711</td>
<td>0.541468249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.897773718</td>
<td>0.820692544</td>
<td>0.759471622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.280883932</td>
<td>1.136017172</td>
<td>1.029732001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.820063752</td>
<td>1.546060566</td>
<td>1.364936683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.597927127</td>
<td>2.079246401</td>
<td>1.777777778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.723532349</td>
<td>2.762735242</td>
<td>2.278240709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.295112884</td>
<td>3.61298756</td>
<td>2.870624736</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Average Queue Length Graph](image-url)
The average length of the system $H(t)$ is computed for catastrophic effect of $\Omega = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9$ with $\lambda = 5$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mu$</th>
<th>$\Omega(0.3)$</th>
<th>$\Omega(0.6)$</th>
<th>$\Omega(0.9)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.455141541</td>
<td>1.846464005</td>
<td>1.527030955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.766765872</td>
<td>1.442734638</td>
<td>1.243925591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.349574196</td>
<td>1.163331999</td>
<td>1.034895452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.081228688</td>
<td>0.96539335</td>
<td>0.878617872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.897737318</td>
<td>0.820692544</td>
<td>0.759471622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.765729744</td>
<td>0.711548385</td>
<td>0.666666667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.666666667</td>
<td>0.626871006</td>
<td>0.592867953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.589834758</td>
<td>0.559551324</td>
<td>0.533062874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.528620236</td>
<td>0.504900548</td>
<td>0.483774357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.478760818</td>
<td>0.459734573</td>
<td>0.442544832</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table : 3

The stationary probability distribution $\pi_0$ for fixed value of $\mu = 10$ and $\Omega = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9$ for various values of $\lambda$ are computed as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$\Omega(0.3)$</th>
<th>$\Omega(0.6)$</th>
<th>$\Omega(0.9)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.903214694</td>
<td>0.906209831</td>
<td>0.909008928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.807167029</td>
<td>0.813734155</td>
<td>0.819784896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.71212729</td>
<td>0.722988834</td>
<td>0.732814212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.618504123</td>
<td>0.634554523</td>
<td>0.648732142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.526933212</td>
<td>0.549241553</td>
<td>0.568352446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.438426518</td>
<td>0.6816103</td>
<td>0.49267588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.354601913</td>
<td>0.392763634</td>
<td>0.422844302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.277937814</td>
<td>0.324754784</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.21170597</td>
<td>0.265764115</td>
<td>0.305041664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.158853387</td>
<td>0.216779254</td>
<td>0.258356226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion:
Here we derive the probability of $n'$ number of customers in the system and no customer in the system. The numerical examples shows when the arrival rate
increases the average queue length increases (as in Fig-1). The increase in service rate decreases the average queue length (as in Fig-2). As the arrival rate increases the stationary probability of no customers in the system decreases (as in Fig-3). It shows the correctness of the model.
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