

***f*-Primary Ideals in Semigroups**

Radha Rani Tammileti¹ Gangadhara Rao Ankata², Marisetti Sowjanya³

¹Department of Mathematics, Lakireddy Bali Reddy College of Engineering, Mylavaram-521230 AndhraPradesh, India

²Department of Mathematics, VSR & NVR College, Tenali-522201, Andhra Pradesh, India

³Department of Mathematics, Eluru College of Engineering & Technology, Eluru-534004, AndhraPradesh, India

radharanitammileti@gmail.com¹

Article History: Received: 11 January 2021; Accepted: 27 February 2021; Published online: 5 April 2021

Abstract: Right now, the terms left *f*-Primary Ideal, right *f*-Primary Ideal and *f*- primary ideals are presented. It is shown that An ideal *U* in a semigroup *S* fulfills the condition that If *G, H* are two ideals of *S* with the end goal that $f(G)f(H) \subseteq U$ and $f(H) \not\subseteq U$ then $f(G) \subseteq r_f(U)$ iff $f(q), f(r) \subseteq S, \langle f(q) \rangle \langle f(r) \rangle \subseteq U$ and $f(r) \not\subseteq U$ then $f(q) \subseteq r_f(U)$ in like manner it is exhibited that An ideal *U* out of a semigroup *S* fulfills condition If *G, H* are two ideals of *S* such that $f(G)f(H) \subseteq U$ and $f(G) \not\subseteq U$ then $f(H) \subseteq r_f(U)$ iff $f(q), f(r) \subseteq S, \langle f(q) \rangle \langle f(r) \rangle \subseteq U$ and $f(q) \not\subseteq U \Rightarrow f(r) \subseteq r_f(U)$. By utilizing the meanings of left - *f*- primary and right *f*- primary ideals a couple of conditions are illustrated It is shown that *J* is a restrictive maximal ideal in *S* on the off chance that $r_f(U) = J$ for some ideal *U* in *S* at that point *J* will be a *f*- primary ideal and *Jⁿ* is *f*-primary ideal for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it is explained that if *S* is quasi-commutative then an ideal *U* of *S* is left *f*- primary iff right *f*-primary.

Keywords: Left *f*- primary Ideal, Right *f*-Primary ideal, *f*-primary ideal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of a semigroup is basic and assumes an enormous function in the advancement of Mathematics. The hypothesis of *semigroups* is like group and ring theory. “*f*-Semi prime ideals in *Semigroups*” and “*f*- prime radical in semi groups” was developed by T.Radha Rani and A.Gangadhara Rao [1][2] “*The algebraic theory of semigroups*” was developed by Clifford and Preston [6], [7]; Petrich [8] “*Structure and ideal theory of semi groups*” was presented by Anjaneyulu.A [3] “*A generalization of prime ideals in semi groups*” was presented by Hyekyung Kim [4] “*generalization of prime ideals in rings*” was introduced by Murata.K, Kurata.Y and Murabayashi.H [9] “*prime and maximal ideals in semi groups*” was presented by Schwartz.S [5].

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1 Definition: (*S, .*) be a non-void set. If ‘.’ Is binary operation on *S* and it holds associative then *S* is defined as a Semigroup.

2.2 Note: Throughout this paper *S* will indicate a semigroup.

2.3 Definition: If $qr=rq$ to all $q,r \in S$ then *S* is called as “commutative”

2.4 Definition: *S* is supposed as “Quasi commutative” if $uv=v^n u$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $u,v \in S$.

2.5 Definition: If $qs = s \forall s \in S$ then the component *q* in *S* is called as “left identity” of *S*.

2.6 Definition: If $sq = s \forall s \in S$ then the component *q* in *S* is called as “right identity” of *S*.

2.7 Definition: A component *q* in *S* is both left and right identity in *S* then it is called as “identity”.

2.8 Definition: Let $Q \neq \emptyset$ is a set in *S*. *Q* is entitled as “left ideal” in *S* when $SQ \subseteq Q$.

2.9 Definition: Let $Q \neq \emptyset$ is a set in *S*. *Q* is entitled as “right ideal” in *S* when $QS \subseteq Q$.

2.10 Definition: A subset *Q* in *S* is both left and right ideal in *S* then it is known as “ideal” in *S*.

2.11 Definition: The intersection of each one of the ideals in *S* carrying a non-void set *P* is known as the “ideal generated by *P*”. It is signified as $\langle P \rangle$.

2.12 Definition: Some ideal *Q* of *S* is called as “principal ideal” given *Q* is an ideal created by single component set. On the off chance that an ideal *Q* is generated by *q*, at that point *Q* is indicated as $\langle q \rangle$ or $J[q]$

2.13 Definition: Some ideal *Q* of *S* is called as “completely prime ideal” given $u,v \in Q, uv \in Q$, either $u \in Q$ or $v \in Q$.

2.14 Definition: Some ideal *D* in *S* is known as “prime ideal” when *Q, R* be ideals of *S*, $QR \subseteq D$ infers either $Q \subseteq D$ or $R \subseteq D$.

2.15 Definition: Let *P* be some ideal of *S*, then the intersection of each one of the prime ideals carrying *P* is said to be “prime radical” or just “radical of *P*” and it is meant by \sqrt{P} or $radP$.

2.16 Definition: Let *P* is some ideal in *S*, then the intersection of each one of the completely prime ideals carrying *P* is entitled as “complete prime radical” or “complete radical” of *P* and it is meant by $c.rad P$.

2.17 Note: Throughout this paper *S* be a semigroup and *f* is a function from *S* into Ideals of *S* to such an extent that,

(i) $q \in f(S)$ infers $f(q) \subseteq f(S)$,

(ii) $Q \subseteq S \Rightarrow f(Q)$ is an ideal in S (by [1] Ref [4])

2.18 Note: Let A be any ideal of S . Then the ideal $\bigcup_{a \in A} f(a)$ is denoted by $f(A)$.

Clearly $A \subseteq f(A)$ and $f(A) \subseteq f(B)$ if $A \subseteq B$. (by proposition 1.1 in Ref [4])

2.19 Theorem: If $f(a)$ is an Ideal in S then $f(A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} f(a)$ is an Ideal

2.20 Definition: Let U be some ideal of S . U is called as “*f*-prime ideal” if G, H be two ideals of S . $f(G)f(H) \subseteq U$ implies either $f(G) \subseteq U$ or $f(H) \subseteq U$.

here $f(G) = \bigcup_{g \in G} f(g)$ and $f(H) = \bigcup_{h \in H} f(h)$

2.21 Definition: Let Q be some ideal in S and q, r be two components in S . Q is defined as “completely *f*-prime ideal” if $f(u), f(v) \subseteq S, f(u), f(v) \subseteq Q \Rightarrow$ either $u \in Q$ or $v \in Q$.

2.22 Theorem: Every completely *f*-prime ideal of a semigroup is *f*-prime.

2.23 Theorem: If S is globally idempotent semigroup then every maximal ideal M of S is a *f*-prime ideal of S .

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Definition: A Subset Q of S is called a *p*-system $\Leftrightarrow \langle q \rangle \langle r \rangle \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ for any q, r in Q .

3.2 Definition: A Subset Q of S is called a *sp*-system $\Leftrightarrow \langle q \rangle^2 \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ for any q in Q .

3.3 Note: Every *p*-system is an *sp*-system, but converse need not be true.

3.4 Example: Let $S = \{u, v, w, x\}$ be the semigroup with the following multiplication table

.	<i>u</i>	<i>v</i>	<i>w</i>	<i>x</i>
<i>u</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>u</i>
<i>v</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>v</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>u</i>
<i>w</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>w</i>	<i>u</i>
<i>x</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>x</i>

Suppose $\{u, v\}$ and $\{v, w, x\}$ are two subsets of S .

Clearly $\{u, v\}$ is a *p*-system and $\{v, w, x\}$ is a *sp*-system but not a *p*-system.

3.5 Definition: For any $f \in F$ a subset Q of S is called an *f*-system if and only if it contains a *p*-system Q^* such that $Q^* \cap f(q) \neq \emptyset$ for each q in Q .

3.6 Definition: For any $f \in F$ a subset Q of S is called an *sf*-system if and only if it contains a *sp*-system Q^* such that $Q^* \cap f(q) \neq \emptyset$ for each q in Q .

3.7 Definition: Let G be an ideal of S then $f\text{-rad } G = \{x/Q \cap G \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } f\text{-system } Q \text{ containing } x\}$ will be called the *f*-radical of A and is denoted by $r_f(A)$.

3.8 Theorem: Let G be an ideal of S . Then $f\text{-rad } G$ is the intersection of all *f*-prime ideals of S containing G

Proof: Let G be an Ideal of S .

Assume that $\mathcal{L} =$ the intersection of all *f*-prime ideals of S containing G .

Now we show that $\mathcal{L} = r_f(G)$

Suppose if possible $r_f(G) \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}$.

\Rightarrow there exists a *f*-prime ideal P contained in $r_f(G)$ and not contained in \mathcal{L} .

Since P contained in $r_f(G) \Rightarrow P \cap G \neq \emptyset$

$\Rightarrow P \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}$ implies $P^c \subseteq \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow P^c \cap G \neq \emptyset$.

Which is a contradiction. so, our supposition is wrong.

Therefore $r_f(G) \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ -----(1)

Suppose if possible $\mathcal{L} \not\subseteq r_f(G)$

\Rightarrow there exists a *f*-prime ideal P contained in \mathcal{L} and not contained in $r_f(G)$.

Since $P \subseteq \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow P \cap G \neq \emptyset$.

Now $P \not\subseteq r_f(G) \Rightarrow P^c \subseteq r_f(G)$

Since $r_f(G) = \{x/Q \cap G \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } f\text{-system } Q \text{ containing } x\}$

So, P^c is a *f*-system and $P^c \cap G \neq \emptyset$

It contradicts our assumption.

Therefore $\mathcal{L} \subseteq r_f(G)$ -----(2)

From (1) and (2) $\mathcal{L} = r_f(G)$

i.e., $r_f(G)$ is the intersection of all *f*-prime ideals of S containing G .

3.9 Theorem: If P is a *f*-prime ideal of a semigroup S , then $r_f(P)^n = P$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3.10 Theorem: In a semigroup S with identity there is a unique maximal ideal M such that $r_f(M)^n = M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (by Ref [2])

3.11 Definition: Let Q be some ideal in S . Q is defined as “left f -primary ideal” if

(i) If U, V are two ideals in S with $f(U)f(V) \subseteq Q$ and $f(V) \not\subseteq Q$ then $f(U) \subseteq r_f(Q)$

(ii) $r_f(Q)$ is f -prime ideal.

3.12 Definition: Let Q be some ideal in S . Q is defined as “right f -primary ideal” if

(i) If U, V are two ideals in S with $f(U)f(V) \subseteq Q$ and $f(U) \not\subseteq Q$ then $f(V) \subseteq r_f(Q)$

(ii) $r_f(Q)$ is f -prime ideal

3.13 Definition: Q is both left and right f -primary ideal implies Q is “ f -primary ideal.”

3.14 Theorem: Some ideal Q in S satisfies condition (i) of 3.1 iff $f(g), f(h) \subseteq S$,

$\langle f(g) \rangle \langle f(h) \rangle \subseteq Q$ and $h \notin Q$ then $g \in r_f(Q)$.

Proof: Let Q be some ideal in S .

Suppose that Q satisfies the condition (i) of 3.1.

i.e., If G, H are two ideals in S with $f(G)f(H) \subseteq Q$ and $f(H) \not\subseteq Q$ then $f(G) \subseteq r_f(Q)$

Let $g, h \in S \Rightarrow f(g), f(h) \subseteq S \Rightarrow \langle f(g) \rangle \langle f(h) \rangle \subseteq Q$ and $h \notin Q$

$\Rightarrow f(h) \not\subseteq Q$. While $f(h) \not\subseteq Q$, $\langle f(h) \rangle \not\subseteq Q$.

From the supposition $\langle f(g) \rangle \langle f(h) \rangle \subseteq Q$ and $\langle f(h) \rangle \not\subseteq Q \Rightarrow \langle f(g) \rangle \subseteq r_f(Q)$

Therefore $f(g) \subseteq r_f(Q) \Rightarrow g \in r_f(Q)$.

If we observe the other side, $f(g), f(h) \subseteq S$, $\langle f(g) \rangle \langle f(h) \rangle \subseteq Q$ and $h \notin Q$ then $g \in r_f(Q)$

Let $f(G), f(H)$ be two ideals of S with $f(G)f(H) \subseteq Q$ and $f(H) \not\subseteq Q$.

Suppose if possible, $f(G) \not\subseteq r_f(Q)$. Then there exists $g \in f(G)$ with $g \notin r_f(Q)$.

Since $f(H) \not\subseteq Q$, let $h \in f(H)$ implies that $h \notin Q$.

Now $\langle f(g) \rangle \langle f(h) \rangle \subseteq f(G)f(H) \subseteq Q$ and $h \notin Q \Rightarrow g \in r_f(Q)$. It is a contradiction.

Therefore $f(G) \subseteq r_f(Q)$. Therefore, Q satisfies the condition (i) of 3.1.

3.15 Theorem: Some ideal Q in S satisfies condition (i) of 3.2 iff $f(g), f(h) \subseteq S$,

$\langle f(g) \rangle \langle f(h) \rangle \subseteq Q$ and $g \notin Q$ then $h \in r_f(Q)$.

Proof: Let Q be some ideal in S .

Suppose that Q satisfies the condition (i) of 3.2.

i.e If G, H are two ideals in S with $f(G)f(H) \subseteq Q$ and $f(G) \not\subseteq Q$ then $f(H) \subseteq r_f(Q)$

Let $g, h \in S \Rightarrow f(g), f(h) \subseteq S \Rightarrow \langle f(g) \rangle \langle f(h) \rangle \subseteq Q$ and $g \notin Q$

$\Rightarrow f(g) \not\subseteq Q$. While $f(g) \not\subseteq Q$, $\langle f(g) \rangle \not\subseteq Q$.

From the supposition $\langle f(g) \rangle \langle f(h) \rangle \subseteq Q$ and $\langle f(g) \rangle \not\subseteq Q \Rightarrow \langle f(h) \rangle \subseteq r_f(Q)$

Therefore $f(h) \subseteq r_f(Q) \Rightarrow h \in r_f(Q)$.

If we observe the other side, $f(g), f(h) \subseteq S$, $\langle f(g) \rangle \langle f(h) \rangle \subseteq Q$ and $g \notin Q$ then $h \in r_f(Q)$

Let $f(G), f(H)$ be two ideals of S with $f(G)f(H) \subseteq Q$ and $f(G) \not\subseteq Q$.

Suppose if possible, $f(H) \not\subseteq r_f(Q)$. Then there exists $h \in f(H)$ with $h \notin r_f(Q)$.

Since $f(G) \not\subseteq Q$, let $g \in f(G)$ implies that $g \notin Q$.

Now $\langle f(g) \rangle \langle f(h) \rangle \subseteq f(G)f(H) \subseteq Q$ and $g \notin Q \Rightarrow h \in r_f(Q)$. It is a contradiction.

Therefore $f(H) \subseteq r_f(Q)$. Therefore, Q satisfies the condition (i) of 3.2.

3.16 Theorem: If U is an ideal in S and S is Commutative in that case the given conditions are comparable.

1) U is a f -primary ideal.

2) $f(Q), f(R)$ are two ideals in S , $f(Q)f(R) \subseteq U$ and $f(R) \not\subseteq U$ then $f(Q) \subseteq r_f(U)$.

3) $f(q), f(r) \subseteq S$, $f(q)f(r) \subseteq U$, $r \notin U$ then $q \in r_f(U)$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2): Assume (1) i.e., U is a f -primary ideal.

$\Rightarrow U$ is a left f -primary ideal. So, by 3.1,

We have $f(Q), f(R)$ are two ideals of S , $f(Q)f(R) \subseteq U$, $f(R) \not\subseteq U \Rightarrow f(Q) \subseteq r_f(U)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Suppose that $f(Q), f(R)$ are two ideals of S , $f(Q)f(R) \subseteq U$, $f(R) \not\subseteq U$

$\Rightarrow f(Q) \subseteq r_f(U)$.

Let $f(q), f(r) \subseteq S$, $f(q)f(r) \subseteq U$, $r \notin U$. $f(q)f(r) \subseteq U$.

Since $f(q)f(r) \subseteq U \Rightarrow \langle f(q) \rangle \langle f(r) \rangle \subseteq U \Rightarrow \langle f(q) \rangle \langle f(r) \rangle \subseteq U$.

Also, $r \notin U \Rightarrow \langle f(r) \rangle \not\subseteq U$. Now $\langle f(q) \rangle \langle f(r) \rangle \subseteq U$ and $\langle f(r) \rangle \not\subseteq U$.

Therefore, by assumption $\langle f(q) \rangle \subseteq r_f(U) \Rightarrow q \in r_f(U)$

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose that $f(q), f(r) \subseteq S$, $f(q)f(r) \subseteq U$, $r \notin U$ then $q \in r_f(U)$.

Let $f(Q), f(R)$ be two ideals of S with $f(Q)f(R) \subseteq U$ and $f(R) \not\subseteq U$.

If $f(R) \not\subseteq U \Rightarrow$ there exists $r \in f(R)$ with $r \notin U$. Suppose if possible, $f(Q) \not\subseteq r_f(U)$.

Then there exists $q \in f(Q)$ such that $q \notin r_f(U)$. Now $qr \in f(Q)f(R) \subseteq U$.

Therefore $qr \in U$ and $r \notin U$, $q \notin r_f(U)$. It is a contradiction. Therefore $f(Q) \subseteq r_f(U)$.

Assume $f(q), f(r) \subseteq S$ and $f(q)f(r) \subseteq r_f(U)$. Suppose that $q \notin r_f(U)$

Now $f(q)f(r) \subseteq r_f(U) \Rightarrow (f(q)f(r))^m \subseteq U \Rightarrow f(q)^m f(r)^m \subseteq U$.

Since $f(r) \not\subseteq r_f(U)$, $f(r)^m \not\subseteq r_f(U)$.

Now $f(q)^m f(r)^m \subseteq U, f(r)^m \notin r_f(U) \Rightarrow f(q)^m \subseteq r_f(U) \Rightarrow f(q) \subseteq r_f(r_f(U)) = r_f(U)$.

So, $r_f(U)$ a completely *f*-prime ideal $\Rightarrow r_f(U)$ is a *f*-prime ideal.

Thus, U is *left f-primary ideal*. likewise, U is *right f-primary ideal*.

From now U is *f-primary ideal*.

3.17 Note: In a random semigroup a *left f-primary ideal* is not certainly a *right f-primary ideal*.

3.18 Example: Assume $S = \{u, v, w\}$ be the semigroup under multiplication given in the following table.

.	<i>u</i>	<i>v</i>	<i>w</i>
<i>u</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>u</i>
<i>v</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>u</i>
<i>w</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>v</i>	<i>w</i>

Now consider the ideal $\langle u \rangle = S^1 u S^1 = \{u\}$. Let $xy \in \langle u \rangle, y \notin \langle u \rangle \Rightarrow x^n \in \langle u \rangle$ for some natural number n . Since $vw \in \langle u \rangle, w \notin \langle u \rangle \Rightarrow v \in \langle u \rangle$. Therefore $\langle u \rangle$ is *left f-primary*. If $v \notin \langle u \rangle$, then $w^n \notin \langle u \rangle$ for any natural number n . Therefore $\langle u \rangle$ is not *right f-primary*.

3.19 Theorem: Each ideal U in S be *left f-primary* iff each ideal U meets with the condition (i) of 3.1.

Proof: Suppose each ideal U in S is *left f-primary*,

now obviously each ideal satisfies condition (i) of 3.1.

on the other hand, assume that each ideal in S meets with the condition (i) of 3.1.

assume that U be any ideal in S . choose $\langle f(q) \rangle \langle f(r) \rangle \subseteq r_f(U)$.

If $r \notin r_f(U)$ then by our assumption $q \in r_f(r_f(U)) = r_f(U)$

Thus $r_f(U)$ is a *f*-prime ideal. So, U is *left f - primary*.

3.20 Theorem: Each ideal U in S is *right f-primary* iff each ideal U meets with the condition (i) of 3.2.

Proof: Suppose each ideal U in S is *left f-primary*,

Now obviously each ideal meet with the condition (i) of 3.2.

on the other hand, assume that each ideal in S meets with the condition (i) of 3.2.

Assume that U be any ideal in S . choose $\langle f(q) \rangle \langle f(r) \rangle \subseteq r_f(U)$

If $q \notin r_f(U)$ then by our assumption $r \in r_f(r_f(U)) = r_f(U)$

Thus $r_f(U)$ is a *f*-prime ideal. So, U is *right f - primary*.

3.21 Definition: If each ideal in S is *left f - primary* ideal then S is known as “*left f-primary*.”

3.22 Definition: If each ideal in S is *right f - primary* ideal then S is known as “*right f-primary*.”

3.23 Definition: If each ideal in S is *f - primary* ideal then S is known as “*f-primary*”.

3.24 Theorem: If S has identity and assume that J is maximal ideal in S and J is unique.

If $r_f(U) = J$ for any ideal U in S , then U is a *f - primary* ideal.

Proof: Assume that $\langle f(q) \rangle \langle f(r) \rangle \subseteq U$ and $r \notin r_f(U)$.

If $q \notin r_f(U)$ then $\langle f(q) \rangle \not\subseteq r_f(U) = J$.

We know that $J = \bigcup_{Q \subseteq S} Q$, Q is an ideal in S

So, $\langle f(q) \rangle = S$ implies $\langle f(r) \rangle = \langle f(q) \rangle \langle f(r) \rangle \subseteq U$.

$\Rightarrow \langle f(r) \rangle \subseteq U \Rightarrow r \in U$ It is a contradiction.

Thus $q \in r_f(U)$. Clearly $r_f(U) = J$ is a *f*-prime ideal.

Hence, U is *left f - primary*. Likewise, U is *right f - primary* implies U is a *f - primary ideal*.

3.25 Note: when S does not follow the identity condition consequently theorem 3.24 is not correct, even S contains a maximal ideal with uniqueness. Consider the *example 3.18*, $\sqrt{\langle u \rangle} = J$ here $J = \{u, v\}$ be a unique maximal ideal. But $\langle u \rangle$ will not be a *f - primary* ideal.

3.26 Theorem: If S has identity and assume that J is maximal ideal in S and J is unique then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} J^n$ is a *f - primary ideal* of S .

Proof: Now J be the individual *f - prime* ideal having J^n , we have $r_f(J^n) = J$

So, by the theorem 3.22, J^n is *f - primary ideal*.

3.27 Note: If S does not follow the identity condition consequently the theorem 3.26 is not correct. Consider the *example 3.18*, $J = \{u, w\}$ be a unique maximal ideal, but $J^2 = \{u\}$ will not be a *f - primary* ideal.

3.28 Theorem: S be quasi commutative then an ideal Q in S is *left f-primary* iff *right f-primary*.

Proof: Assume Q be a *left f-primary* ideal. Consider $f(q)f(r) \subseteq Q$ and $q \notin Q$.

Meanwhile S be a quasi-commutative, so $q.r = r^n.q$ for some natural number n .

So, $r^n.q \in Q$ and $q \notin Q$. while Q be *left f-primary*, implies $r^n \in r_f(Q)$

and given that $r_f(Q)$ be a *f*-prime ideal, $r \in r_f(Q)$ Thus, Q be a *right f-primary* ideal.

Likewise, we can prove that if Q is a *right f - primary ideal* implies Q is a *left f-primary ideal*.

3.29 Corollary: Let S be quasi commutative, and Q is an ideal in S then the following are equivalent.

- 1) Q is *f - primary*.
- 2) Q is *left f - primary*.
- 3) Q is *right f - primary*.

Proof: By theorem 3.28, we have S be quasi commutative then an ideal Q in S is *left f -primary iff right f -primary*. So, the proof of the theorem is clear.

4. CONCLUSION

In Mathematics, study of semigroups becomes an object of the exercise for several researchers. here, we tried to study the hypotheses of f -primary ideals in semigroups and their characterizations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and thanks to my Research Supervisor Dr.A. Gangadhara Rao for his wonderful guidance throughout this Paper. I am also grateful to my co-authors for their continuous support to me.

REFERENCES

1. T. Radha Rani, A. Gangadhara Rao, M. Sowjanya, C. Srimannarayana “*f*-Semiprime ideal In Semi Groups”, Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems, Vol. 12, Issue-02, March 2020,1056-1062.
2. T. Radha Rani, A. Gangadhara Rao, A. Anjaneyulu, M. Sowjanya “*f* - prime radical in semi groups”- International journal of research., March 2019,1696-1707.
3. Anjaneyulu.A, “*structure & ideal theory of semi groups*” – thesis ANU.
4. Hyekyung Kim, “*A generalization of prime ideals in semi groups*”, J.Korean math.Soc.24(1987) No.2,pp.207-216.
5. Swartz.S “*prime ideals and maximal ideals in semi groups*”-Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal.,19(94), 1969, 72-79.
6. Clifford A.H Preston G.B, “*The algebraic Theory of semigroups*” Vol-I, American mathematical society, providence (1961).
7. Clifford A.H Preston G.B, “*The algebraic theory of semigroups*” Vol-II, American mathematical society, providence (1967).
8. Petrich.M., “*Introduction to semigroups*” – Merril publishing company, Columbus, Ohio, (1973).
9. Murata K., Kurata. Y and MURABAYASHI H., “*A generalization of prime ideals in rings*” Osaka J. Math.6.