

Results On Generalized Regular And Strongly Regular Near-Rings

SowjanyaMariseti^{1*}, Gangadhara rao Ankata², Radharani Tammileti³

¹Dept. of Mathematics,Eluru College of Engineering & Technology, Eluru, India.

²Dept. of Mathematics, VSR & NVR College, Tenali, India.

³Dept. of Mathematics, Lakireddy Balireddy College of Engineering, Mylavaram, India.

sowjanyaachallari@gmail.com¹

Article History: Received: 11 January 2021; Accepted: 27 February 2021; Published online: 5 April 2021

Abstract: Some results on r-regular (r-RN) and also in s-weakly regular (s-WRN)near-rings were established in this article. It is proved that for a near-ring $\mathcal{H} \in \eta_0$ is s-WRN, then \mathcal{H} is simple iff \mathcal{H} is integral. And also proved that for an r-RN \mathcal{H} with unity and satisfies IFP, then \mathcal{H} has the strong IFP iff \mathcal{H} is a PSN.

Keywords: s-weakly regular, r-regular, strong IFP, IFP.

1. INTRODUCTION

Near-rings, an advanced concept, was highly influenced by the Ring-theory. Von-Neumann regular rings give vital information in the structure theory of rings which was first named by VON-NEUMANN. “Generalization of rings “which are familiar with “Near-rings” plays a major part in the development of Mathematics. Several mathematicians studied and developed various concepts in this area, namely, DheenaP [3], B Elavarasan [4] developed the regularity concept by introducing near-rings s-weakly regular and strong IFP. This regularity concept was researched by Mason [7], [8], ReddyYV, and MurthyCVLN [10], Groenewald, and Argac [2].Recently, Wendt Gerhard [13], T Manikantan, and S Ram Kumar [6] researched and established several results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1.1. [9] Let $(\mathcal{H}, +, \cdot)$, a non-empty set is designated as R-NR (Right Near-ring) if

- (i) \mathcal{H} holds the “Group” axioms under addition
- (ii) \mathcal{H} holds the “Semigroup” axioms under multiplication
- (iii) $(l + t) \cdot p = l \cdot p + t \cdot p$ for all, l, t, p $\in \mathcal{H}$ (Right distributive law)

Moreover, we assume that an R-NR is $(\mathcal{H}, +, \cdot)$ and we designate it as \mathcal{H} except and otherwise mentioned. We write ‘lp’ to denote ‘l.p’ for any two elements ‘l’ and ‘h’ in \mathcal{H} . For basic definitions and other related theories, we refer the reader to [9]. We recall the following.

Definition 2.1.2. A near-ring \mathcal{H} is demonstrated as “ZSN (Zero-Symmetric Near-ring)” provided $go = 0$ for all gis in \mathcal{H} .e., $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0$.

Example 2.1.3. Let $(\mathcal{H}, +)$ where $\mathcal{H} = \{l, t, p, s\}$ be the Klein’s four group. Then $(\mathcal{H}, +, \cdot)$ represents an example for ZSN and expressed it as $\mathcal{H} \in \eta_0$.[9, p408, (13) (0, 7, 13, 9)]

Table 1 Addition table

+	l	t	p	s
l	l	t	p	s
t	t	l	s	p
p	p	s	l	t
s	s	p	t	l

Table 2 Product table

.	l	t	p	s
l	l	l	l	l
t	l	t	p	s
p	l	l	l	l
s	l	t	p	s

Definition 2.1.4. A subgroup \wp of \mathcal{H} is known as \mathcal{H} -subgroup, if $\mathcal{H}\wp \subseteq \wp$.

Definition 2.1.5. An element ‘l’ of \mathcal{H} is known as *the left identity* of \mathcal{H} if $lx = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.1.6. An element ‘ p ’ of \mathcal{H} is known as *the right identity* of \mathcal{H} if $yp = y$ for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.1.7. An element ‘ t ’ of \mathcal{H} is known as *a two-sided identity or an identity element* of \mathcal{H} if ‘ t ’ holds both left and right identities in \mathcal{H} .

Definition 2.1.8. An element ‘ q ’ of \mathcal{H} is designated as *left invertible* of \mathcal{H} , if there exists an element $b \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $bq = 1$. The element ‘ b ’ is referred to as the *left inverse* of q .

Definition 2.1.9. An element ‘ s ’ of \mathcal{H} is designated as *right invertible* of \mathcal{H} , if there exists an element $c \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $sc = 1$. The element ‘ c ’ is referred to as the *left inverse* of s .

Definition 2.1.10. An element ‘ a ’ of \mathcal{H} is said to be *invertible(unity)* of \mathcal{H} , if ‘ a ’ satisfies both the definitions 2.1.8 and 2.1.9.

Notation 2.1.11. If $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ then we can define $\mathcal{BC} = \{bc \mid b \in \mathcal{B}, c \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Further, we fix the word *NSG* to refer to “Normal subgroup”.

Definition 2.1.12. Suppose that \mathcal{S} be a NSG of $(\mathcal{H}, +)$ and is termed as the “Left ideal” of \mathcal{H} , provided that $\forall l, p \in \mathcal{H}, \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, l(p + s) - lp \in \mathcal{S}$.

Definition 2.1.13. Suppose that \mathcal{S} be a NSG of $(\mathcal{H}, +)$ termed as the “Right ideal” of \mathcal{H} provided that, $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

Definition 2.1.14. Suppose that \mathcal{S} be a NSG of $(\mathcal{H}, +)$ is denoted as an ideal (two-sided ideal) provided that if it follows the conditions both left (right) of \mathcal{H} .

Theorem 2.1.15. For a near-ring $\mathcal{H} \in \eta_0$, every ideal is a *\mathcal{H} -subgroup* of \mathcal{H} .

Definition 2.1.16. Consider a family of left ideals which contains a non-empty subset \mathcal{F} in \mathcal{H} . Then the smallest left ideal which is obtained by the intersection of all left ideals containing \mathcal{F} is termed as “left ideal generated by \mathcal{F} ”.

Definition 2.1.17. The term “Principal ideal” is referred to as an ideal that is generated by a single element say ‘ j ’ denoted by $\langle j \rangle$. If \mathcal{J} be a left ideal and is generated by a single element ‘ j ’, then \mathcal{J} is symbolized by $\langle j \rangle$.

Definition 2.1.18. An element ‘ k ’ is termed as an idempotent of \mathcal{H} if $k^2 = k$, for $k \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.1.19. A *zero divisor* of \mathcal{H} is a component $f \neq 0$ of \mathcal{H} which satisfies $ft = 0$ for some nonzero ‘ t ’ in \mathcal{H} .

Definition 2.1.20. Let \mathcal{H} is termed to an *integral* near-ring if it has no non-zero divisors.

Definition 2.1.21. Let \mathcal{H} is termed to a *simple* near-ring if \mathcal{H} is not having non-trivial ideals.

Definition 2.1.22. Let Δ be a subset of a \mathcal{H} . Then the set $(0: \Delta) = \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid hx = 0, \text{ for all } x \in \Delta\}$ is called the *annihilator* of Δ .

Note 2.1.23. If $\Delta = \{\delta\}$, then $(0: \Delta)$ is denoted by $(0: \delta)$.

Theorem 2.1.24. For any $\delta \in \mathcal{H}$, $(0: \delta)$ is a left ideal of \mathcal{H} .

Definition 2.1.25. Let \mathcal{H} is referred to as “*Insertion of Factors Property (in short, IFP)*”, assuming that $jb = 0 \Rightarrow jpb = 0, \forall j, b, p \in \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 2.1.26. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{H} has the IFP - property.
- (ii) $(0: h)$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{H}, \forall h \in \mathcal{H}$.
- (iii) $(0: \mathcal{H})$ is an ideal of \mathcal{H} , for all subsets \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{H} .

Definition 2.1.27. For each element $d \in \mathcal{H}$, if $d^2 = 0 \Rightarrow d = 0$, then \mathcal{H} is referred as *reduced* near-ring.

Theorem 2.1.28. For each element k, l in reduced near-ring, $\mathcal{H} \in \eta_0$, then $klh = khl$ where $h^2 = h, h$ is in \mathcal{H} .

Definition 2.1.29. For each element $l \in \mathcal{H}$, if $\mathcal{H}l = \mathcal{H}l^2$ then \mathcal{H} is termed as “*left bi potent*”.

Definition 2.1.30. For each element $c \in \mathcal{H}$, there is an element l in \mathcal{H} such that $c = clc$, then \mathcal{H} is called as “*regular near-ring (RN)*”.

Example 2.1.31. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{0, a, b, c\}$ be Klein's four group under addition and multiplication tables 3 & 4 as follows.

Table 3 Addition table

+	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0

Table 4 Product table

.	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0

a	a	a	a	a
b	0	a	b	c
c	a	0	c	b

Then $(\mathcal{H}, +, \cdot)$ is an example for RN.

Definition 2.1.32. For each $r \in \mathcal{H}$, there is component l in \mathcal{H} such that $r = lr^2$, then \mathcal{H} is demonstrated as "left strongly regular near-ring (left SRN)".

Note 2.1.33. [9, p288]. Let \mathcal{H} has the strong IFP provided every homomorphic image of \mathcal{H} has IFP.

Note 2.1.34 [10]. \mathcal{H} has strong IFP if and only if for every ideal \mathcal{L} in \mathcal{H} and $ft \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $fpt \in \mathcal{L}$ for every $f, p, t \in \mathcal{H}$.

2.2. r-REGULAR NEAR-RINGS

Definition 2.2.1. [11][12] For each element $p \in \mathcal{H}$, there is an element 'h' such that $p = ph, h \in \langle p \rangle$, where h is an idempotent in \mathcal{H} then \mathcal{H} is demonstrated as "r-Regular Near-ring(r-RN)".

Example 2.2.2. Any RN is an r - RN but the converse need not be true.

(i) Let a near-ring \mathcal{H} defined on $Z_6 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with operations '+' and ' \cdot ' given below Tables 5 & 6 as follows.

Table 5 Addition table

+	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	2	3	4	5	0
2	2	3	4	5	0	1
3	3	4	5	0	1	2
4	4	5	0	1	2	3
5	5	0	1	2	3	4

Table 6 Product table

\cdot	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	3	5	5	3	1	1
2	0	4	4	0	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	0	2	2	0	4	4
5	3	1	1	3	5	5

This near-ring is RN and also r - RN.

(ii) Let a near-ring \mathcal{H} defined on $Z_8 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ with addition is modulo 8 and product table is given below Tables 7.

Table 7 Product table

\cdot	0	7	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	3	3	0	1	1	3
2	0	2	6	6	0	2	2	6
3	0	3	1	1	0	3	3	1
4	0	4	4	4	0	4	4	4
5	0	5	7	7	0	5	5	7
6	0	6	2	2	0	6	6	2
7	0	7	5	5	0	7	7	5

The ideals of this near-ring are $\{0\}$ and \mathcal{H} itself.

This near-ring is r-RN but not RN (For all $x, 4 \neq 4.x.4$).

Theorem 2.2.3. [11] If \mathcal{H} is r-RN with unity and has IFP then $q = ql$ implies $q = lq$ where 'l' is idempotent.

Theorem 2.2.4.[11] If \mathcal{H} is r - regular near-ring with 1 and has IFP then \mathcal{H} is reduced.

Theorem 2.2.5.If $\mathcal{H} \in \eta_0$ is r - regular near-ring with 1 and has IFP then \mathcal{H} has strong IFP.

Proof: Suppose $\mathcal{H} \in \eta_0$, r-RN with 1 and has IFP.

Let $\psi: N \rightarrow N^1$ be an epimorphism of r-regular near-ring onto near-ring N^1 .

By the definition of r-regular near-ring, $l = ld, d^2 = d, d \in \langle l \rangle$

Now, $l = ld, d^2 = d, d \in \langle l \rangle \subset \langle l \rangle$ so that $d \in \langle l \rangle$.

Consider $\psi(l) = \psi(ld) = \psi(l)\psi(d)$,

$$\psi(d) = \psi(dd) = \psi(d)\psi(d)$$

$\psi(d) \in \psi\langle l \rangle \subseteq \langle \psi\langle l \rangle \rangle$ which implies $\psi(d) \in \langle \psi\langle l \rangle \rangle$.

Thus, we can conclude that the homomorphic image of r-RN is r-RN.

By the supposition, and by using the theorem 2.2.4, \mathcal{H} is reduced.

Let $qb = 0$ then $(bq)^2 = b(qb)q = boq = 0$

Since \mathcal{H} is reduced, we get that $bq = 0$

So, we have that, if $qb = 0$ then $bq = 0$ ----(1)

Now, if $\psi(q)\psi(b) = 0$ implies $\psi(qb) = 0$ which implies $\psi(0) = 0$ (using (1))

Then $\psi(b)\psi(q) = \psi(bq) = 0$

Therefore, if $\psi(q)\psi(b) = 0$ then we get $\psi(b)\psi(q) = 0$ ---(2)

Consider, $\psi(q)\psi(b) = 0$

Take $\psi(nb)\psi(q) = \psi(nbq) = \psi(no) = \psi(0) = 0$

Using (2), we get that $\psi(q)\psi(nb) = 0$ implies $\psi(qnb) = 0$ which implies $\psi(q)\psi(n)\psi(b) = 0$ for all n in \mathcal{H} .

Thus, the homomorphic image of r-RN satisfies IFP.

Hence, \mathcal{H} has a strong IFP.

Definition 2.2.6. A subset $\mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$ of \mathcal{H} is called a 'Pseudo Symmetric Subset' (briefly, PSS) of \mathcal{H} if $\forall p, l \in \mathcal{L}, pl \in \mathcal{L} \forall r \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.2.7. Let $\mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$ of \mathcal{H} is a subset which is indicated as a 'Pseudo Symmetric Ideal' (briefly, PSI) of \mathcal{H} if \mathcal{L} is both a pseudo symmetric subset and an ideal of \mathcal{H} .

Definition 2.2.8. A 'Pseudo Symmetric Near-ring' (briefly, PSN) is a near-ring \mathcal{H} in which each ideal of \mathcal{H} is pseudo symmetric.

Theorem 2.2.9. For an r-RN \mathcal{H} with IFP and holds unity 1 then \mathcal{H} has the strong IFP iff \mathcal{H} is a PSN.

Proof. By theorem 2.2.5, \mathcal{H} has a strong IFP.

\Leftrightarrow By Proposition 9.2 of [9], for every ideal \mathcal{L} of $\mathcal{H}, \forall p, k \in \mathcal{H},$ and $pk \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $prk \in \mathcal{L} \forall r \in \mathcal{H}$

\Leftrightarrow Every ideal of \mathcal{H} is a PSI of \mathcal{H}

$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a PSN.

2.3. s- WEAKLY REGULAR NEAR-RINGS

The notion of the s-weakly regular ring was first originated by V. Gupta [5] in 1984. Later, Dheena [3] introduced the concept of s-weakly regular near-rings. Recently, Abdullah M. Abdul-Jabbar [1] researched and developed some characteristics in s-weakly regular rings, by studying the above theories, we developed some results on s-weakly regular near-rings.

Definition 2.3.1. Let \mathcal{H} be designated as s - **weakly regular (s-WRN)** if for each $a \in \mathcal{H}, a = xa$, for some $x \in \langle a^2 \rangle$.

Example 2.3.2. Assume \mathcal{H} as a near-ring in Klein four group $\{0, a, b, c\}$ with the operations '+' and '.' shown in table 8 & 9 mentioned below:

Table 8 Addition table

+	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0

Table 9 Product table

.	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0

a	0	b	c	a
b	0	c	a	b
c	0	a	b	c

The ideals and \mathcal{H} -subgroups of \mathcal{H} are $\{0\}$ and \mathcal{H} itself. Then $(\mathcal{H}, +, \cdot)$ is an example for s-WRN.

Theorem 2.3.3: If a near-ring $\mathcal{H} \in \eta_0$ is an s-WRN, then \mathcal{H} is reduced near-ring.

Proof: Suppose $q \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $q^2 = 0$.

Since \mathcal{H} is s-weakly regular near-ring, then $q = xq$ for some $x \in \langle q^2 \rangle = 0$.

So that $q = 0$.

Thus $q^2 = 0$ implies $q = 0$ for every q in \mathcal{H} .

Hence \mathcal{H} is reduced.

THEOREM 2.3.4: If a near-ring $\mathcal{H} \in \eta_0$ is s-WRN, then \mathcal{H} has IFP.

Proof: Suppose $qb = 0$, $(bq)^2 = bqbq = b(qb)q = b0q = b0 = 0$.

By theorem 2.3.3, \mathcal{H} is reduced so that $bq = 0$.

Therefore if $qb = 0$ then $bq = 0$.

For all $n \in \mathcal{H}$, $(nb)q = n(bq) = n0 = 0 \Rightarrow qnb = q(nb) = 0$.

Therefore, \mathcal{H} has IFP.

THEOREM 2.3.5: For a near-ring $\mathcal{H} \in \eta_0$ is s-WRN, \mathcal{H} is simple iff \mathcal{H} is integral.

Proof: Suppose \mathcal{H} is simple.

Let $q, b \in \mathcal{H}$ and $qb = 0$ and $q \neq 0 \Rightarrow q \in (0 : b)$.

By using theorems 2.3.3 and 2.3.4, we have \mathcal{H} is reduced and has IFP. Therefore $(0 : b)$ is a two-sided ideal.

Since by our supposition, \mathcal{H} is simple, $(0 : b) = \mathcal{H}$.

$b \in \mathcal{H} = (0 : b) \Rightarrow b^2 = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$.

Therefore, \mathcal{H} is integral.

Conversely, suppose that \mathcal{H} is integral.

Let $0 \neq I \trianglelefteq \mathcal{H}$, $q \neq 0, q \in I$.

$q = xq, x \in \langle q^2 \rangle \subseteq \langle q \rangle \subseteq I$.

$(1 - x)q = 0 \Rightarrow 1 - x = 0 \Rightarrow 1 = x \in I$.

Therefore $\mathcal{H} = I$.

Therefore, \mathcal{H} is simple.

DEFINITION 2.3.6.[2] Let \mathcal{H} is denoted as *left quasi duo near-ring* (in short, LQD) of \mathcal{H} if every maximal left ideal (M-L-I) of \mathcal{H} is a two-sided ideal.

THEOREM 2.3.7. If a near-ring \mathcal{H} is a LQD having left unity, then \mathcal{H} is s-WRN if and only if $\mathcal{H} = \langle q^2 \rangle + (0 : q)$ for every $q \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof: Suppose \mathcal{H} is s-WRN.

Then $q = xq, x \in \langle q^2 \rangle \Rightarrow q \in \langle q^2 \rangle + (0 : q)$.

$\mathcal{H}q \subseteq \mathcal{H} \langle q^2 \rangle + \mathcal{H}(0 : q) \subseteq \langle q^2 \rangle + (0 : q)q \subseteq \langle q^2 \rangle + (0 : q)q \subseteq \mathcal{H}q$.

Therefore, $\mathcal{H}q = \langle q^2 \rangle + (0 : q)q$.

Assume that $\mathcal{H} \neq \langle q^2 \rangle + (0 : q)$.

Then there is a M-L-I \mathcal{B} such that $\langle q^2 \rangle + (0 : q) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

By the definition of LQD, \mathcal{B} is a two-sided ideal.

Since $q^2 \in \mathcal{B}$, $\langle q^2 \rangle + (0 : q) \subseteq \mathcal{B}q \subseteq \mathcal{H}q = \langle q^2 \rangle + (0 : q)q$.

There exists $f \in \langle q^2 \rangle$ such that $(1 - f)q = 0$.

$\Rightarrow (1 - f) \in (0 : q)$.

$1 = f + (1 - f) \in \mathcal{B}$. It is a contradiction.

Therefore $\mathcal{H} = \langle q^2 \rangle + (0 : q)$.

Conversely suppose that $\mathcal{H} = \langle q^2 \rangle + (0 : q)$.

Now, $1 \in \mathcal{H} = \langle q^2 \rangle + (0 : q) \Rightarrow 1 = t + l, t \in \langle q^2 \rangle, l \in (0 : q) \Rightarrow lq = 0$.

$q = 1q = (t + l)q = tq + lq \Rightarrow q = tq, t \in \langle q^2 \rangle$.

Therefore, \mathcal{H} is s-WRN.

Definition 2.3.8. Let \mathcal{H} is designated to *strongly reduced* if $l \in \mathcal{H}, l^2 \in \mathcal{H}_c$ implies $l \in \mathcal{H}_c$.

Note 2.3.9. A near-ring \mathcal{H} is *strongly reduced near-ring* if and only if for each element $a \in \mathcal{H}$ and any positive integer $n, a^n \in \mathcal{H}_c$ implies $a \in \mathcal{H}_c$.

Theorem 2.3.10. For a near-ring $\mathcal{H} \in \eta_0$ be an s-WRN, then \mathcal{H} is strongly reduced near-ring.

Proof: By definition of s-WRN, $l = xl, x \in \langle l^2 \rangle$.

If $l^2 \in \mathcal{H}_c$ implies $\langle l^2 \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{H}_c$.

$$l = xl, x \in \langle l^2 \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{H}_c.$$

$$l \in \mathcal{H}_c \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_c \Rightarrow l \in \mathcal{H}_c.$$

Therefore, \mathcal{H} is strongly reduced near-ring.

3. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we developed some characteristics on r-RN and in generalized strongly regular near-rings

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes a special thanks to the honorable referees for their referring to the manuscript and valuable suggestions to improve this publication.

REFERENCES

1. Abdul-Jabbar AM. (2015) A New Generalization of s-Weakly Regular Rings. Gen. Math. Notes, 27:92-106.
2. Argac N, Groenewald NJ. (2005) Weakly and Strongly Regular Near-rings. Algebra colloq. ,12:121-130.
3. Dheena P. (1989) A generalization of strongly regular near-rings. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 20:58-63.
4. Dheena P, Elavarasan B. (2013) On strong ifp near-rings. Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 87:809-815.
5. Gupta V. (1984) A generalization of strongly regular rings. Acta. Math. Hung., 43:57-61.
6. Manikantan T, Ramkumar S. (2020) Pseudo Symmetric Ideals and Pseudo Symmetric Near-rings. Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., 15:597-609.
7. Mason G. (1980) Strongly regular near-rings. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., 23:27-35.
8. Mason G. (1998) A note on strong forms of regularity for near-rings. Indian J. Math., 40:149-153.
9. Pilz G. (1983) Near-rings, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
10. Reddy YV, Murty C V L N. (1984) On strongly regular near-rings. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., 27:61-64.
11. Sowjanya M, Gangadhara Rao A, Anjaneyulu A, Radha Rani T. (2018) r-Regular Near-Rings. International Journal of Engineering Research and Application., 8:11-19.
12. Sowjanya M, Gangadhara Rao A, Radha Rani T, Padmaja V. (2020) Results on r-Regular Near-rings. Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., 15:1327-1336.
13. Wendt G. (2019) Minimal Ideals and Primitivity in Near-rings. Taiwanese J. Math., 23:799-820.