

## Fixed Point Theorems For Two Paired Mappings In Fuzzy 2-Metric Spaces

Rohit Pathak\*

\*Institute of Engineering & Technology, DAVV, Indore, M.P., India, E-Mail: rohitpathakres@yahoo.com

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove common fixed-point theorems for two pairs of self-mappings on fuzzy 2-metric space using weaker condition of the compatibility of the maps. Our results improve and the results of Sharma [10] in the sense that the completeness of the fuzzy 2-metric space and continuity of the mappings have been dropped. Our results also extend the results of Cho [2] to fuzzy 2-metric spaces.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 47H10, 54H25.

**Key words and phrases.** Fuzzy metric space, coincidence point, common fixed point, compatible maps,  $t$ -norm.

### 1. Introduction.

Using the concept of fuzzy sets given by Zadeh [11], Kramosil and Michalek [7] developed the concept of fuzzy metric spaces. George and Veeramani [4] improved the concept of fuzzy metric spaces using  $t$ -norms. Gahler [3] introduced the concept of fuzzy 2-metric spaces. Further, Iseki et al [6] proved results for contractive type mappings in 2-metric spaces.

Cho [2] and Kutukcu et al [8] proved common fixed-point theorems for three mappings in fuzzy 2-metric spaces.

Many authors have studied common fixed-point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces. Some of interesting papers are Cho [1], George and Veeramani [4], Grabiec [5], Kramosil and Michalek [7] and Sharma [10].

Cho [1] proved a common fixed-point theorem for four mappings in fuzzy metric spaces and Sharma [10] proved a common fixed-point theorem for three mappings in fuzzy 2-metric spaces.

In this paper, we prove common fixed-point theorems for two pairs of compatible self-mappings. Our theorems improve the theorems of Sharma [10] and extend the results of Cho [2] to fuzzy 2-metric spaces.

### 2. Preliminaries.

**Definition 2.1.** [11] A binary operation  $*$ :  $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called a continuous  $t$ -norm if  $*$  satisfies the following conditions for all  $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$ .

- (i)  $*$  ( $a, 0$ ) = 0,  $*$  ( $a, 1$ ) =  $a$ ,
- (ii)  $*$  is continuous,
- (iii)  $*$  ( $a, b$ ) =  $*$  ( $b, a$ ),
- (iv)  $*$  ( $a, b$ )  $\leq$   $*$  ( $c, d$ ) if  $a \leq c, b \leq d$ ,
- (v)  $*$  ( $*$  ( $a, b$ ),  $c$ ) =  $*$  ( $a, *$  ( $b, c$ )),

Examples of  $t$ -norms are  $a * b = ab$  and  $a * b = \min \{a, b\}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [9] The 3-tuple  $(X, M, *)$  is called a fuzzy metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary set,  $*$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm and  $M$  is a fuzzy set in  $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions for all  $x, y, z \in X$  –

- (i)  $M(x, y, 0) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- (iii)  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ ,
- (iv)  $M(x, z, t + s) \geq M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s)$ ,
- (v)  $M(x, y, \cdot)$ :  $[0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$  is left continuous.

$M(x, y, t)$  can be thought of as the degree of nearness between  $x$  and  $y$  with respect to  $t$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [3] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. A real valued function  $d: X \times X \times X \rightarrow R$  is said to be a 2-metric on  $X$  if-

- (i) given distinct elements  $x, y \in X$ , there exists an element  $z \in X$  such that  $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $d(x, y, z) = 0$  if at least two of  $x, y$  and  $z$  are equal,
- (iii)  $d(x, y, z) = d(x, z, y) = d(y, z, x) = d(y, x, z) = d(z, x, y) = d(z, y, x)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , (Symmetry)
- (iv)  $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, w) + d(y, z, w) + d(z, x, w)$  for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$ , (Rectangle inequality)

Then pair  $(X, d)$  is called a 2-metric space.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $X = R^3$  and  $d(x, y, z) =$  the area of the triangle spanned by  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3), y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$  and  $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3)$  which may be given explicitly by

$$d(x, y, z) = |x_1(y_2z_3 - y_3z_2) - x_2(y_1z_3 - y_3z_1) + x_3(y_1z_2 - y_2z_1)|$$

Then  $(X, d)$  is a 2-metric space.

**Example 2.2** Let  $d: X \times X \times X \rightarrow R$  be given by  $d(x, y, z) = \min\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x|\}$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a 2 –metric space.

**Definition 2.4 [9].** An operation  $*$ :  $[0,1] \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called a continuous  $t$  –norm if  $*$  satisfies the following conditions for all  $a, b, c, d, e, f \in X$  –

- (i)  $*(a, 0, 0) = a, *(a, 1, 1) = a,$
- (ii)  $*$  is continuous,
- (iii)  $*(a, b, c) = *(b, a, c) = *(a, c, b) = *(c, a, b) = *(b, c, a) = *(c, b, a),$
- (iv)  $*(a, b, c) \leq *(d, e, f)$  whenever  $a \leq d, b \leq e, c \leq f,$
- (v)  $*(*(a, b, c), d, e) = *(a, *(b, c, d)), e) = *(a, b, *(c, d, e)),$

Examples of  $t$ -norms are  $a * b * c = abc$  and  $a * b * c = \min \{a, b, c\}$ .

**Definition 2.5. [10]** The 3-tuple  $(X, M, *)$  is called a fuzzy 2-metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary set,  $*$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm and  $M$  is a fuzzy set in  $X^3 \times [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions for all  $x, y, z, u \in X$  and  $t_1, t_2, t_3 > 0$  –

- (i)  $M(x, y, z, 0) = 0,$
- (ii)  $M(x, y, z, t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$  if and only if at least two of the three points are equal,
- (iii)  $M(x, y, z, t) = M(x, z, y, t) = M(y, z, x, t) = M(y, x, z, t) = M(z, y, x, t) = M(z, x, y, t)$  for all  $t > 0,$
- (iv)  $M(x, y, z, t_1 + t_2 + t_3) \geq M(x, y, u, t_1) * M(x, u, z, t_2) * M(u, y, z, t_3),$
- (v)  $M(x, y, z, \cdot): X^3 \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is left continuous.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a 2-metric space and denote  $a * b * c = abc$  (or  $\min \{a, b, c\}$ ) for all  $a, b, c \in [0,1]$ . For all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t > 0,$  define

$$M(x, y, z, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y, z)}$$

Then  $(X, M, *)$  is a fuzzy 2 –metric space.  $M$  is called the fuzzy metric induced by the 2-metric  $d$ .

**Definition 2.6. [10]** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy 2-metric space.

(1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in fuzzy 2-metric space  $X$  is said to be convergent to a point  $x \in X$  (denoted by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  or  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, a, t) = 1 \text{ for all } a \in X, t > 0.$$

(2) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in fuzzy 2-metric space  $X$  is called a Cauchy sequence, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, a, t) = 1 \text{ for all } a \in X, t > 0, p > 0.$$

(3) A fuzzy 2-metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

**Definition 2.7. [9]** Self mappings  $A$  and  $B$  of a fuzzy 2-metric space  $(X, M, *)$  are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ABx_n, BAx_n, a, t) = 1$$

for all  $a \in X$  and  $t > 0,$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that-

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = z$$

for some  $z \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.1. [10]** For fuzzy 2-metric space  $(X, M, *)$ , if  $M(x, y, z, kt) \geq M(x, y, z, t)$ , for all  $x \neq y \neq z \in X, k \in [0,1], t > 0,$  then  $x = y$ .

### 3. Main Results.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $P, Q, R, S$  be four self-mappings of a fuzzy 2 – metric space  $(X, M, *)$  such that-

(3.1.1) the pairs  $(P, R)$  and  $(Q, S)$  are compatible,

(3.1.2) for all  $x, y, z \in X, k \in (0,1)$  and  $t > 0$

$$M(Rx, Sy, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(Px, Qy, z, t), M(Px, Rx, z, t), M(Sy, Qy, z, t), M(Rx, Qy, z, t)\}.$$

Then  $P, Q, R, S$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Since the pairs  $(P, R)$  and  $(Q, S)$  are compatible maps pairs, there exist sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Px_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rx_n = u \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Qy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = v$$

for some  $u, v \in X$ , for all  $t > 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(PRx_n, RPx_n, a, t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Pu, Ru, a, t) = 1 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(QSy_n, SQy_n, a, t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Qv, Sv, a, t) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in X$ . Hence

$$Pu = Ru, Qv = Sv.$$

Therefore  $u$  is the coincidence point of  $P, R$  and  $v$  is the coincidence point of  $Q, S$ .

To show  $u = v$ , using (3.1.2),

$$M(Rx_n, Sy_n, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(Px_n, Qy_n, z, t), M(Px_n, Rx_n, z, t), M(Sy_n, Qy_n, z, t), M(Rx_n, Qy_n, z, t)\}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$M(u, v, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(u, v, z, t), M(u, u, z, t), M(v, v, z, t), M(u, v, z, t)\}.$$

This implies  $M(u, v, z, kt) \geq M(u, v, z, t)$  for all  $t > 0$ .

Using Lemma 2.1, we get  $u = v$ . Therefore  $P, Q, R, S$  have identical coincidence point in  $X$ .

Now to show that

$$Pu = Qu = Ru = Su = u.$$

Put  $x = u$  and  $y = y_n$  in (3.1.2), we get

$$M(Ru, Sy_n, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(Pu, Qy_n, z, t), M(Pu, Ru, z, t), M(Sy_n, Qy_n, z, t), M(Ru, Qy_n, z, t)\}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$M(Ru, v, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(Pu, v, z, t), M(Pu, Ru, z, t), M(v, v, z, t), M(Ru, v, z, t)\}.$$

This implies  $M(Ru, v, z, kt) \geq M(Ru, v, z, t)$  for all  $t > 0$ . Using Lemma 2.1, we get  $Ru = v$ .

Therefore

$$Ru = Pu = v.$$

Put  $x = x_n$  and  $y = v$  in (3.1.2), we get

$$M(Rx_n, Sv, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(Px_n, Qv, z, t), M(Px_n, Rx_n, z, t), M(Sv, Qv, z, t), M(Rx_n, Qv, z, t)\}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$M(u, Sv, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(u, Qv, z, t), M(u, u, z, t), M(Sv, Qv, z, t), M(u, Qv, z, t)\}.$$

This implies  $M(u, Sv, z, kt) \geq M(u, Sv, z, t)$  for all  $t > 0$ . Using Lemma 2.1, we get  $Sv = u$ .

Therefore

$$Sv = Qv = u.$$

Since  $u = v$ , we get

$$Pu = Qu = Ru = Su = u.$$

Hence  $P, Q, R, S$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $A, B, P, Q, R, S$  be six self-mappings of a fuzzy 2 – metric space  $(X, M, *)$  such that-

(3.2.1) the pairs  $(AP, R)$  and  $(BQ, S)$  are compatible,

(3.2.2)  $RB = BR, AP = PA, PB = BP, RQ = QR, AQ = QA, PQ = QP$ ,

(3.2.3) for all  $x, y, z \in X, k \in (0,1)$  and  $t > 0$

$$M(Rx, Sy, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(APx, BQy, z, t), M(APx, Rx, z, t), M(BQy, Sy, z, t), M(Rx, BQy, z, t)\}.$$

Then  $A, B, P, Q, R, S$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Since the pairs  $(AP, R)$  and  $(BQ, S)$  are compatible maps pairs, there exist sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} APx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rx_n = u \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BQy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = v$$

for some  $u, v \in X$  and all  $t > 0$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(BQSy_n, SBQy_n, a, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(BQv, Sv, a, t) = 1$$

for all  $a \in X$ .

Hence

$$APu = Ru, BQv = Sv.$$

Therefore  $u$  is the coincidence point of  $AP, R$  and  $v$  is the coincidence point of  $BQ, S$ .

To show  $u = v$ , using (3.2.3),

$$M(Rx_n, Sy_n, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(APx_n, BQy_n, z, t), M(APx_n, Rx_n, z, t), M(BQy_n, Sy_n, z, t), M(Rx_n, BQy_n, z, t)\}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$M(u, v, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(u, v, z, t), M(u, u, z, t), M(v, v, z, t), M(u, v, z, t)\}.$$

This implies  $M(u, v, z, kt) \geq M(u, v, z, t)$  for all  $t > 0$ .

Using Lemma 2.1, we get  $u = v$ . Therefore  $AP, R$  and  $BQ, S$  have identical coincidence point in  $X$ .

Now to show that

$$Au = Bu = Pu = Qu = Ru = Su = u.$$

Put  $x = u$  and  $y = y_n$  in (3.2.3), we get

$$M(Ru, Sy_n, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(APu, BQy_n, z, t), M(APu, Ru, z, t), M(BQy_n, Sy_n, z, t), M(Ru, BQy_n, z, t)\}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$M(Ru, v, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(Ru, v, z, t), M(Ru, Ru, z, t), M(v, v, z, t), M(Ru, v, z, t)\}.$$

This implies  $M(Ru, v, z, kt) \geq M(Ru, v, z, t)$  for all  $t > 0$ . Using Lemma 2.1, we get  $Ru = v$ . Therefore

$$Ru = APu = v.$$

Put  $x = x_n$  and  $y = v$  in (3.2.3), we get

$$M(Rx_n, Sv, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(APx_n, BQv, z, t), M(APx_n, Rx_n, z, t), M(BQv, Sv, z, t), M(Rx_n, BQv, z, t)\}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , using  $BQv = Sv$ , we get

$$M(u, Sv, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(u, BQv, z, t), M(u, u, z, t), M(BQv, Sv, z, t), M(u, BQv, z, t)\}.$$

This implies  $M(u, Sv, z, kt) \geq M(u, Sv, z, t)$  for all  $t > 0$ . Using Lemma 2.1, we get  $Sv = u$ . Therefore

$$Sv = BQv = u.$$

Since  $u = v$ , we get

$$APu = BQu = Ru = Su = u.$$

Now we show  $Qu = Bu = u$ .

Put  $x = Bu, y = y_n$  in (3.2.3), we get

$$M(RBu, Sy_n, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(APBu, BQy_n, z, t), M(APBu, RBu, z, t), M(BQy_n, Sy_n, z, t), M(RBu, BQy_n, z, t)\}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$M(RBu, u, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(APBu, u, z, t), M(APBu, RBu, z, t), M(u, u, z, t), M(RBu, u, z, t)\}.$$

Since  $RB = BR, AP = PA, PB = BP$ , we get

$$M(Bu, u, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(Bu, u, z, t), M(Bu, Bu, z, t), M(u, u, z, t), M(Bu, u, z, t)\}.$$

This implies  $M(Bu, u, z, kt) \geq M(Bu, u, z, t)$  for all  $t > 0$ . Using Lemma 2.1, we get  $Bu = u$ .

Now put  $x = Qu, y = y_n$  in (3.2.3), we get

$$M(RQu, Sy_n, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(APQu, BQy_n, z, t), M(APQu, RQu, z, t), M(BQy_n, Sy_n, z, t), M(RQu, BQy_n, z, t)\}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$M(RQu, u, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(APQu, u, z, t), M(APQu, RQu, z, t), M(u, u, z, t), M(RQu, u, z, t)\}.$$

Since  $RQ = QR, AQ = QA, PQ = QP$ , we get

$$M(Qu, u, z, kt) \geq \min \{M(Qu, u, z, t), M(Qu, Qu, z, t), M(u, u, z, t), M(Qu, u, z, t)\}.$$

This implies  $M(Qu, u, z, kt) \geq M(Qu, u, z, t)$  for all  $t > 0$ . Using Lemma 2.1, we get  $Qu = u$ .

Therefore, we have  $Bu = Qu = Su = u$ .

Similarly, we can show  $Pu = u$  by substituting  $x = x_n$  and  $y = Pu$  and  $Au = u$ , by substituting  $x = x_n$  and  $y = Au$  in (3.2.3).

Hence, we obtain

$$Au = Bu = Pu = Qu = Ru = Su = u.$$

Hence  $A, B, P, Q, R, S$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

## References

1. Cho, "Fixed points in fuzzy metric space," *J. Fuzzy Math.*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 949-962, 1997.
2. Cho S.H., "On common fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces," *International mathematical forum*, vol. 1, pp. 471-479, 2006.
3. Gahler, "2-metriche reume and ihre tapologishe structure," *Math. Nauhr.*, vol. 26, pp. 115-148, 1963/64.
4. George and Veeramani, "On some results in fuzzy metric spaces," *Fuzzy sets and system*, vol. 64, pp. 395-399, 1997.
5. Grabiec, "Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 27, pp. 385-389, 1988.
6. Iseki, Sharma and Sharma, "Contractive type mapping on 2-metric space," *Math Japonica*, vol. 21, pp. 67-70, 1976.
7. Kramosil and Michalek, "Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces," *Kybernetika*, vol. 11, pp. 326- 334, 1975.
8. Kutukcu, Sharma and Tokgoz, "A fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces," *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, vol. 1, no. 18, p. 861, 2007.
9. Schweizer and Sklar, "Statistical metric spaces," *Pacific J. Math.*, vol. 10, pp. 313-334, 1960.
10. Sharma, "On fuzzy metric spaces,," *Southeast Asian Bull. of Math.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 133-145, 2002.
11. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," *Inform and Control*, vol. 89, pp. 338-353, 1965.