

# Solution of Finite Cauchy difference equations on Free Abelian Group

<sup>1</sup>M. Pradeep and <sup>2</sup>Dr. S. Renukadevi

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,  
Arignar Anna Government Arts College, Cheyyar-604407, Tamilndu.  
E.mail.: [pradeepmprnet12@gmail.com](mailto:pradeepmprnet12@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,, Bharathi women’s college,  
E.mail.: [renurajarajan24@gmail.com](mailto:renurajarajan24@gmail.com)

Article History: Do not touch during review process(xxxx)

**Abstract:** Let  $(X, \cdot)$  be a semigroup,  $(Y, +)$  an abelian group and  $g: X \rightarrow Y$ . The first and second order Cauchy differences of  $g$  are  $D^1g(a, b) = g(ab) - g(a) - g(b)$ ,  $D^2g(a, b, c) = g(abc) - g(ab) - g(bc) - g(ac) + g(a) + g(b) + g(c)$ . Finite order Cauchy differences  $D^n f$  are defined recursively. In the case of  $Y = Z$ , a ring where multiplication is distributive over addition, we show that functions  $g : X \rightarrow Z$  with finite Cauchy differences are closed under multiplication. The equation  $D^n g = 0$  is considered for finite abelian groups.

**Keywords:** Semigroups, rings, Cauchy difference equation, finite abelian groups.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, \cdot)$  be a semigroup,  $(Y, +)$  an abelian groups and  $0 \in Y$  denote the identity element. For a function  $g : X \rightarrow Y$ , its Cauchy differences,  $D^n g$ , are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 g &= g, \\ D^1 g(a_1, a_2) &= g(a_1 a_2) - g(a_1) - g(a_2), \\ D^{n+1} g(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+2}) &= D^n g(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n+2}) \\ &\quad - D^n g(a_1, a_3, \dots, a_{n+2}) - D^n g(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n+2}). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The first order Cauchy difference  $D^1 g$  will often be abbreviated as  $Dg$ . [5]

For an  $n$ -place function  $S : X^n \rightarrow Y$  its first order difference in the  $j$ -th variable is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D_j^1 G(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, x, y, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_m) &= G(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, xy, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_m) \\ &\quad - G(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, x, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_m) - G(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, y, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_m). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $G = D^1 g : X^2 \rightarrow Y$  in particular it follows from the associativity of the operation on  $X$  that  $D_1^1 G = D_2^1 G = D^2 g$ .

Hence we may see  $D^2 g$  as the first order difference of  $D^1 g$  in either the first or the second variable. This observation can be extended to higher order differences.

In (1) we have defined  $D^{n+1} g$  as the first order difference of  $D^n g$  in the first variable, and the result is the same as the first order difference of  $D^n g$  in any of its  $n + 1$  variables.

A function  $g$  is said to have vanishing  $D^n$ , or to be in the kernel of  $D^n$ , if  $D^n g = 0$

$$\text{Let Ker } D^n(X, Y) = \{g : X \rightarrow Y \mid D^n g = 0\}. \tag{2}$$

It is clear that kernels are abelian groups under the usual addition of functions and we have an ascending chain

$$\text{Hom}(X, Y) = \text{Ker } D(X, Y) \leq \text{Ker } D^2(X, Y) \leq \text{Ker } D^3(X, Y) \leq \dots$$

Abelian groups under addition will be treated as modules over  $R$ . In particular  $Y$  and  $\text{Ker } D^n(X, Y)$  are modules over  $R$ .

While we consider functions with vanishing Cauchy differences, there are interesting questions and results dealing with weaker assumptions, e.g., that the range of  $Dg$  is confined differently. The paper [1] is in such a direction on topological vector spaces.

In [4], the general solution of (2) for  $n = 2$  is obtained on free groups, cyclic groups and permutation groups. In [2], the general solution of (2) for  $n = 3$  is obtained when  $S$  is the free group with a single letter. In [3] results are given for higher  $n$ , including the general solution of (2) for  $n = 3$  on free groups.

The result is extended to show that functions from  $X$  to a ring  $Z$  with finite Cauchy differences are closed under multiplication. We exhibit the general solution of the equation  $D^3 g = 0$  on free abelian groups using the product.

### Preliminaries :

#### Definition 1

Let  $r \geq 0$  be an integer and consider the finite sequence  $\langle 1, 2, \dots, r+1 \rangle$ . Subsequences  $n = \langle n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{l+1} \rangle$  and  $k = \langle m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{x+1} \rangle$  of  $\langle 1, 2, \dots, r+1 \rangle$  is a *covering pair* if the union of their range sets,  $\{n_1, \dots, n_{l+1}\} \cup \{m_1, \dots, m_{x+1}\}$ , equals  $\{1, \dots, r+1\}$ . The family of all such covering pairs will be denoted by  $P_{(r,l,x)}$ .

Note: necessarily  $(l+1)+(x+1) \geq r+1$  for  $\{n_1, \dots, n_{l+1}\} \cup \{m_1, \dots, m_{x+1}\} = \{1, \dots, r+1\}$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X$  be a semigroup and  $Z$  be a ring in which multiplication is distributive over addition from both sides. For any  $g, q : X \rightarrow Z$  we have

$$D^r(gq)(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}) = \sum_{0 \leq l, x \leq r} \sum_{(n,m) \in P_{(r,l,x)}} D^r g(a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_{l+1}}) D^x q(a_{m_1}, \dots, a_{m_{x+1}}) \tag{3}$$

*Proof*

We shall establish the identity by induction on  $r$ .

If  $r = 0$  then  $l = 0, x = 0$ , and  $n = \langle 1 \rangle, m = \langle 1 \rangle$  is the only covering pair.

The left side of (3) gives  $D^0(gq)(a_1) = (gq)(a_1) = g(a_1)q(a_1)$ .

The right side of (3) gives  $D^0(g)(a_1)D^0(q)(a_1) = g(a_1)q(a_1)$ .

So (3) holds for  $r = 0$ .

By the definition of the first order Cauchy difference and the distributivity we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(gq)(a_1, a_2) &= g(a_1 a_2)q(a_1 a_2) - g(a_1)q(a_2) - g(a_2)q(a_1) \\ &= [Dg(a_1, a_2) + g(a_1) + g(a_2)][Dq(a_1, a_2) + q(a_1) + q(a_2)] \\ &\quad - g(a_1)q(a_1) - g(a_2)q(a_2) \\ &= Dg(a_1, a_2) Dq(a_1, a_2) + Dg(a_1, a_2) q(a_1) + Dg(a_1, a_2) q(a_2) \\ &\quad + g(a_1) Dq(a_1, a_2) + g(a_2) Dq(a_1, a_2) + g(a_1) q(a_2) + g(a_2) q(a_1). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

This proves (3) for  $r = 1$ .

Suppose as an induction hypothesis that for some  $r = n \geq 1$  (3) holds, i.e.,

$$D^r(gq)(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+2}) = \sum_{0 \leq l, x \leq r} \sum_{(n,m) \in P_{(r,l,x)}} \text{difference of } D^r g(a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_{l+1}}) D^x q(a_{m_1}, \dots, a_{m_{x+1}}) \tag{5}$$

Then, taking the difference with respect to the variable  $a_{n+1}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D^r(gq)(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}) &= \sum_{0 \leq l, x \leq r} \sum_{(n,m) \in P_{(r,l,x)}} D^r g(a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_{l+1}}) D^x q(a_{m_1}, \dots, a_{m_{x+1}}) \\ \text{With respect to } a_{n+1} &= \sum_{0 \leq l, x \leq r} \sum_{(n,m) \in P_{(r,l,x)}} \text{difference } D^r g(a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_{l+1}}) D^x q(a_{m_1}, \dots, a_{m_{x+1}}) \\ &\quad \sum_{\substack{x+l+1=n+1, m+x+1=n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

With respect to  $a_{n+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \sum_{0 \leq l, x \leq r} \sum_{(n,m) \in P_{(r,l,x)}} \sum_{\substack{x+l+1=n+1, m+x+1=n+1}} D^{r+1} g(a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_{l+1}}, a_{n_{l+2}}) D^x q(a_{m_1}, \dots, a_{m_{x+1}}) \\ \sum_{0 \leq l, x \leq r} \sum_{(n,m) \in P_{(r,l,x)}} \sum_{\substack{x+l+1=n+1, m+x+1=n+1}} D^r g(a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_{l+1}}) D^{x+1} q(a_{m_1}, \dots, a_{m_{x+1}}, a_{m_{x+2}}) & \tag{6} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (6) into (5) we arrive at (3) with  $r = n + 1$ . This completes the induction.

With the above general expansion for  $D^r(gq)$  we arrive at the following result:

**Corollary 3.** For any  $g, q : X \rightarrow Z, D^j g = D^i q = 0$  implies  $D^{j+i}(gq) = 0$ .

According to formula (3) we have  $D^{j+i}(gq)(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j+i+1})$

$$= \sum_{0 \leq l, x \leq r} \sum_{(n,m) \in P_{(j+i,l,x)}} D^r g(a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_{l+1}}) D^x q(a_{m_1}, \dots, a_{m_{x+1}})$$

As mentioned in a little note following definition 1,  $(n,m) \in P_{(j+i,l,x)}$  implies  $l+x+1 \geq j+i$ .

This either  $l \geq j$  or  $x \geq i$ .

Because  $D^l g = 0, l \geq j$  implies  $D^l g = 0$  and because  $D^i q = 0, x \geq i$  implies  $D^x q = 0$ .

So every term  $D^r g(a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_{l+1}}) D^x q(a_{m_1}, \dots, a_{m_{x+1}})$  in the above expansion is zero.

**Main Results:**

Let  $G = \langle D \rangle$  be the free group on the alphabet  $D$  and let  $C^{x,y}$  denote the abelianization of  $C$ . In other words  $\langle D \rangle^{xy}$  is the free abelian group on  $D$ . We shall present the general solution of  $C^3 f = 0$  on the free abelian group.

To assist the presentation we shall endow  $D$  with a linear order  $<$  and without loss of generality assume that  $D$  has at least two letters.

**Theorem 4.**

Suppose that  $|\mathcal{D}| > 1$ . If  $f \in \text{Ker } C^3(\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{uv}, Y)$  then it has therepresentation

$$G(a) = \sum_x \left\{ W(u, x)g(u) + \left[ W(u, a) \right]_2 Dg(u, u) + \left[ W(a, u) \right]_3 D^2(u, u, u) \right\} +$$

$$\sum_{u < v} \left\{ W(u, x)W(a, v)Dg(u, b) + W(u, x) \left[ W(u, a) \right]_2 D^2g(u, v, v) + \right.$$

$$\left. \left[ W(a, u) \right]_2 W(u, v)D^2(u, u, v) \right\} + \sum_{u < v < w} W(a, u)W(b, v)W(c, w) D^2g(u, v, v)$$

-----(7)

Conversely, let  $g, Dg$ , and  $D^2g$  be arbitrary initial functions mapping indicated subsets of  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ , respectively, into  $Y$ , then  $g$  defined by (7) indeed belongs to  $\text{Ker } D^3(\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle, Y)$ .

Note: The definitions of the functions used in the above representation are given in [3] and are included here in the appendix. If  $\mathcal{D}$  has only two letters then there won't be distinct letters  $u, v, w$  and the last sum in (7) disappears by the convention  $\sum_{\emptyset} = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $g : \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{uv} \rightarrow Y$  and  $D^3g = 0$ .

For each element  $a \in \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{uv}$ , using the endowed linear order, we can write it as

$$a = \alpha_1^{k_1} \alpha_2^{k_2} \dots \alpha_r^{k_r}, \alpha_1 \in \mathcal{D}, \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_r. \tag{8}$$

From [3] we get

$$G(a) = g(\alpha_1^{k_1} \alpha_2^{k_2} \dots \alpha_r^{k_r})$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} k_j g(\alpha_j) + \left[ k_j \right]_2 Dg(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) + \left[ k_j \right]_3 D^2g(\alpha_j, \alpha_j, \alpha_j)$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i \leq r} k_j k_i g(\alpha_j, \alpha_i) + k_j \left[ k_j \right]_2 D^2g(\alpha_j, \alpha_i, \alpha_i) + \left[ k_j \right]_2 \alpha_i D^2g(\alpha_j, \alpha_j, \alpha_i)$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i \leq t \leq r} k_j k_i k_t D^2g(\alpha_j, \alpha_i, \alpha_t) \tag{9}$$

From the writing (8) we observe that  $k_j = W(a, \alpha_j)$  for every  $j$ .

Hence (9) proves (7).

**References**

Baron, K., Kannappan, P.L.: On the Cauchy difference. Aequ. Math. 46, 112-118(1993).  
 Guo Q., Li L: Solution of the third order Cauchy difference equation on groups. Adv. Difference Equ. 2014(203),14  
 Ng, C.T.: Kernals of higher order Cauchy differences on free groups. Aequ. Math. 89, 119-147 (2015).  
 Ng, C.T., Zhao, H. : Kernals of the second order Cauchy difference on groups. Aequ. Math. 86, 155-170 (2013).  
 Stetkaer, H.: Functional equation on groups. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd (2013). ISBN 978-981-4513-12-8.  
 Thangavelu, K., Pradeep, M.: Solution of the higher order Cauchy Difference equation on free groups. Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. ISSN 0973-1768 13(8), 4141-4151 (2017).