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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce a new concept of $\Lambda$ dense set and to study its topological structure. It can be shown that the collection of all this $\Lambda$ - dense set forms a supra topological space if $\phi$ is introduced. Also the concept of minimal $\Lambda$ dense set is introduced and some of its applications are shown. Lastly introducing the concept of $\Lambda$ sub maximal space various important properties of minimal $\Lambda$ dense sets are studied in a $\Lambda$ - sub maximal space.
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## 1. Introduction:

The concept of dense set has been introduced earlier by various researchers as, A is a dense set in ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}$ ) if ClA $=\mathrm{X}$. But in this paper in place of closed set introducing open sets a new concept of $\Lambda$ - dense set is introduced. The concept of $\Lambda$ set has been introduced by Maki in [7].
In the second section of this paper preliminaries are given
In the third section of the paper the concept of $\Lambda$ dense set and the topological space obtained from the collection of this set is studied. Also the concept of minimal $\Lambda$ dense set is introduced and the topological structure for various minimal $\Lambda$ dense sets is studied. It is shown that every superset of a $\Lambda$ dense set is a $\Lambda$ dense set and no open set except X can be a $\Lambda$ dense set. It is shown that the collection of all $\Lambda$ dense set forms a supra topological space and it is denoted by $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$. Some important theorems were proved related to the structural behaviour of this new space.
It is shown that $(X, T)$ is a discrete topological space iff $T_{\Lambda}$ is the power set of $X$. Also $(X, T)$ is a power set of X iff $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\{\mathrm{X}, \phi\}$. Similarly many important theorems were proved in this section of the paper. Then the concept of minimal $\Lambda$ dense set is introduced and some theorems were proved. The concept of $\Lambda$ submaximal space is introduced and some of its properties were studied.
Lastly the applications of this newly defined set are studied

## 2.Preliminaries:

In this section some important concept required to go further through this paper is studied. 2.1[6] A subset A of $X$ is a generalized closed set if for any open set $U$ containing $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{ClA} \subseteq \mathrm{U} 2.2[8]$ Let Abe a subset of X then $\Lambda(\mathrm{A})=\cap\{\mathrm{G}: \mathrm{G} \supseteq \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{G}$ is an open subset of $X\}$ and if $\Lambda(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{A}$ then A is a $\Lambda$ set.
2.3[3] A topological space ( $X, T$ ) is sub maximal if every dense subset of $X$ is $T$ open
2.4[7] An open set A of $X$ is said to be minimal open set if it doesn't contains any open set except
$\phi$
:[5] A space $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is said to be an Alexandroff space if
(i) X and $\phi$ are members of $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$
(ii) Arbitrary union of the members of $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ are in $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$
(iii) Arbitrary intersection of the members of (X,T) are in (X,T)
[9] A space(X,T) is said to be a Supra topological space if
(i) X and $\phi$ are members of (X,T)
(ii) Arbitrary union of the members of $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ are in $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$
[12] A set $A$ in $(X, T)$ is said to be a dense set if $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{X}$
[10] In topology, a topological space with the trivial topology is one where the only open sets are the empty set and the entire space. Such a space is sometimes called an indiscrete space, and its topology sometimes called an indiscrete topology.

## 3. On $\Lambda$ - dense set

In this section the concept of $\Lambda$-dense set is introduced and the corresponding topological space is studied.Also the connection of $\Lambda$ dense set with other sets is introduced in this section. Lastly the concept of minimal
$\Lambda$ dense set is introduced and some of its properties are studied.
Definition 3.1: A subset $A$ of $X$ is said to be a $\Lambda$ dense set if $\Lambda(A)=X$
Example 3.2: Let $X=\{a, b, c\}$ and the corresponding topological space be $T=\{X, \phi,\{a\}\}$. Let $A=\{b, c\}$ bea subset of $X$. Obviously $\Lambda(A)=X$ i.e. $A$ is a $\Lambda$ dense set.
Theorem 3.3: A subset $A$ of $X$ is a $\Lambda$ dense set. Then

1. $\Lambda \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{X}$
2. C
$1 \Lambda(\mathrm{~A})$
$=\mathrm{X}$
Proof
is
obvio
us
Remark 3.4: Converse of the above theorem need not be true which follows from the following example. $\operatorname{Let} X=\{a, b, c\}$ and the corresponding topological space be $T=\{X, \phi,\{a, b\},\{b\},\{b$, c\}\}
Let $\mathrm{A}=\{\mathrm{b}\}$ then $\Lambda \mathrm{ClA}=\mathrm{X}$ but $\Lambda(\mathrm{A})=\{\mathrm{b}\}$ i.e. A is not a $\Lambda$ dense set.
Theorem 3.5: Let $A$ be a closed subset of $X$.Let $\Lambda C l A=X$ then $A$ is a $\Lambda$ dense set.
Proof: Since A is a closed subset of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{ClA}=\mathrm{A}$ i.e. $\Lambda \mathrm{ClA}=\Lambda(\mathrm{A})$. Since $\Lambda \mathrm{ClA}=\mathrm{X}, \Lambda(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{X}$ i.e. A is a $\Lambda$ dense set.

Remark 3.6: From theorem 3.3 and theorem 3.5 it is clear that:
Let A be a closed subset of X . Then $\Lambda \mathrm{ClA}=\mathrm{X}$ iff A is a $\Lambda$
dense set. Theorem 3.7: A subset A of $X$ is a $\Lambda$ dense set iff
$\Lambda(\mathrm{A})$ is a $\Lambda$ dense setProof is obvious
Theorem 3.8: In an Alexandroff space a set is a $\Lambda$ dense set if it is a generalized closed set and a dense set. Proof: Let A be a generalized closed set then for any open set $U$ such that $A \subseteq U, C l A$ $\subseteq \mathrm{U}$. Since $\Lambda(A)$ is theintersection of all open sets containing A, so, $\mathrm{A} \subseteq \Lambda(A) \subseteq \mathrm{U}$.
In an Alexandroff space $\Lambda(\mathrm{A})$ is an open set. So, $\mathrm{ClA} \subseteq \Lambda(\mathrm{A})$, A being dense subset of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{ClA}=\mathrm{X}$
i.e. $\Lambda(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{X}$ i.e. A is a $\Lambda$ dense set.

Theorem 3.9: $A$ subset $A$ of $X$ is a $\Lambda$ dense set and a dense set then $A$ is a generalized closed set.
Proof: Let if possible A be a $\Lambda$ dense set and a dense set. Then $\Lambda(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{ClA}=\mathrm{X}$ i.e. $\mathrm{ClA}=$ $\Lambda$ (A).
Now let if possible $A \subseteq U$, $U$ being an open subset of $X$ then $\Lambda(A) \subseteq U$. Since $\Lambda(A)$ is the intersection of allopen sets containing A therefore $\mathrm{ClA}=\Lambda(\mathrm{A}) \subseteq \mathrm{U}$. i.e. A is a generalized closed set.
Remark 3.10: Converse of the above theorem need not be true. It follows since if $\mathrm{ClA}=\mathrm{X} \subseteq \mathrm{U}$ then $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X}$
i.e. the only open set containing A is X .

Remark 3.11: From the theorem 3.8 and theorem 3.9 the following statement may be written In an Alexandroff space a subset A of X is a dense set. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. A is a $\Lambda$ dense set
2. A is a generalized closed set
3. $\mathrm{Cl} \Lambda(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{X}$

Theorem 3.12:Every superset of a $\Lambda$ dense set is a
$\Lambda$ dense set.Proof is obvious

Theorem 3.13:If $A \subseteq B \subseteq \Lambda(A)$, where $B$ is a $\Lambda$ dense set then $A$ is also so.
Proof: Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq \Lambda(A)$ i.e. $\Lambda(A) \subseteq \Lambda(B) \subseteq \Lambda \Lambda(A)=\Lambda(A)$ i.e. $\Lambda(A)=\Lambda(B)$. Since $B$ is a $\Lambda$ dense set $\Lambda(\mathrm{B})=\mathrm{X}$ i.e. $\Lambda(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{X}$ i.e. A is also a $\Lambda$ dense set.
Remark 3.14: No open set except $X$ can be a $\Lambda$ dense set.

## Theorem 3.15:

(i) $\phi$ is not a $\Lambda$ dense set but X is so.
(ii) Arbitrary union of $\Lambda$ dense set in (X, T) is a $\Lambda$ dense set in (X, T)

## Proof:

(i) is
obvious
To
Prove(i
i)

Let $A=\left\{A_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ be a collection of $\Lambda$ dense set i.e. $\left\{\Lambda\left(A_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}=X$
Then $\Lambda\left(\cup A_{i}: i \in I\right)=\cup\left\{\Lambda\left(A_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}=$ X i.e. $\Lambda(A)=X$ i.e. arbitrary union of $\Lambda$ dense set is a $\Lambda$ dense set. Remark 3.16: Finite intersection of $\Lambda$ dense set need not be a $\Lambda$ dense set. It follows from the followingexample:
Let $\mathrm{X}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$ and $\mathrm{T}=\{\phi, \mathrm{X},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\},\{\mathrm{c}\}\}$ be the corresponding topology
Let $A=\{a, c\}$ and $B=\{b, c\}$ be two subsets of $X$ then $\Lambda(A)=X, \Lambda(B)=X$. But $A \cap B=\{c\}$, $\Lambda(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})=\{\mathrm{c}\}$
$\neq \mathrm{X}$ i.e. $\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B}$ is not a $\Lambda$ dense set though A and B are $\Lambda$ dense set.
Remark 3.17: The collection of all $\Lambda$ dense set in (X, T) with $\phi$ forms a supra topological space denoted as $\left(X, T_{\Lambda}\right)$. This space is named as $\Lambda$ dense supra topological space. In the above example $T_{\Lambda}=\{\phi, X, A, B\}$ Theorem 3.18: $(X, T)$ is an indiscrete topological space iff $T_{\Lambda}$ is the power set of X.
Proof: Since $(X, T)$ is an indiscrete topological space, So, $T=\{X, \phi\}$. The power set of X contains all subsetsof X and they are all $\Lambda$ dense set.
Conversely if $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})$ and since no open sets can be a $\Lambda$ dense set except X . So, $\mathrm{T}=\{\mathrm{X}, \phi\}$

Theorem 3.19: $(X, T)$ be a topological space such that $T=P(X)$ iff $T_{\Lambda}=\{X, \phi\}$
Proof: Since from Remark 3.14 no open set except $X$ and $\phi$ can be a member of $T_{\Lambda} . S o, T_{\Lambda}=\{X$, $\phi\}$ Conversely if $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\{\mathrm{X}, \phi\}$ then, T must contain all elements whose order is one less then that of X . Also Tmust contain their finite intersection i.e. all the elements whose order is two less then that of $X$ and soon i.e. $T$
$=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})$
Definition 3.20: A topology $T$ is said to be a maximal topology of any set $A \subseteq P(X)$, if it is a subset of A butcontained in no other topology which is a subset of A.
Theorem 3.21: $T_{\Lambda}=\{X, A, \phi\}$ iff $T$ is the maximal topology of $P(X) \backslash A$, where $A$ is a subset of $X$ of order $\mathrm{n}-1, \mathrm{n}$ is the order of X
Proof: Let if possible we consider that, $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}, \phi\}$. Since the superset of all $\Lambda$ dense set is a $\Lambda$ dense set.So, if there exist any superset of $A$ then that should be a member of $T_{\Lambda}$. But $T_{\Lambda}$ contains only A except X and
$\phi$. So, the order of A is one less then that of X i.e. $\mathrm{n}-1$. The corresponding topology must be a subset of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})$ \
A. Let $\mathrm{T}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}) \backslash \mathrm{A}$ be another topology containing T . Then there is some open set, which is not in T. So either $\mathrm{T}_{1 \Lambda} \supseteq\{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}, \phi\}$ or $\mathrm{T}_{1 \Lambda}=\{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}, \phi\}$.The first one is not possible and if the second one is true then we convert T by $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ which is the maximal topology.
Conversely let $T$ is the maximal topology of $P(X) \backslash A$, where $A$ is a subset of $X$ of order $n-1, n$ is the order of X then obviously $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}, \phi\}$.
Theorem 3.22: $T=\{X, A, \phi\}$ iff $T_{\Lambda}=P(X) \backslash\{G: G \subseteq A\}$
Proof: Let if possible $T=\{X, A, \phi\}$ then $T_{\Lambda}$ will contain all the subsets of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})$ except the set $A$ and itssubsets i.e. $T_{\Lambda}=P(X) \backslash\{G: G \subseteq A\}$
Converse is obviously true
Theorem 3.23: $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \phi\}$ iff

1. T is the maximal topology of $\{P(X) \backslash A\} \backslash B$, where $A$ is a subset of $X$ of order $n-1$, and $B$ is a subset of $A$ oforder $n-2, n$ is the order of $X$
2. $T$ is the maximal topology of $\{P(X) \backslash A\} \backslash B$, where $A$ and $B$ both are of order $n-2, n$ is the order of X

Proof: Let if possible, $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \phi\}$. Since $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ forms a supra topological space so finite intersection ofthe elements need not be a member of the set $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$. Hence two cases may arise
Case 1: A and B are related to each other and B is a subset of A. Obviously from theorem 3.21 A is a subset
of X of order $\mathrm{n}-1$ and by the help of the similar logic B is of order $\mathrm{n}-2$. Clearly T is the maximal topology of
$\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}) \backslash \mathrm{A}\} \backslash \mathrm{B}$
Case 2:If $A$ and $B$ are not related then $A \cup B=X$, and both of them are of order $n-1$ and $T$ is the maximaltopology of $\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}) \backslash \mathrm{A}\} \backslash \mathrm{B}$
Converse is obvious
Theorem 3.24: $\mathrm{T}=\{\phi, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}$ iff
1.If $A$ is a superset of $B$ then $T_{\Lambda}=P(X) \backslash\{G: G \subseteq A\}$
2. If A and B are not related then $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\phi$.

Proof: Here T is a topological space. So we have the following cases
Case1. A is a superset of $B$ then $T_{\Lambda}=P(X) \backslash\{G: G \subseteq A\}$
Case2: If $A$ and $B$ are not related then $A \cup B=X$ and $A \cap B=\phi$ then $T_{\Lambda}=P(X) \backslash\{G: G$
$\subseteq \mathrm{A}\} \backslash\{\mathrm{G}: \mathrm{G} \subseteq \mathrm{B}\}$ Here $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}}$. Thus $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\phi$.

Converse is obvious
Let us now introduce a new concept of minimal $\Lambda$ dense set. Since the superset of a $\Lambda$ dense set is a $\Lambda$ dense set, so, the upper bound of the set of all $\Lambda$ dense set in $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is X but there must exist at least one minimal element, which is contained in, all the $\Lambda$ dense set in ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}$ ). This set is known as minimal $\Lambda$ dense set.
Example 3.25: Let $X=\{a, b, c\}$ and $T=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{a, c\},\{c\}\}$ be the corresponding topology. Let $A=\{a, b\}$ then $\Lambda(A)=X, B=\{b, c\}, \Lambda(B)=X$. Obviously $A \cap B=\{b\}, \Lambda(A \cap B)=X$ and this is the minimal $\Lambda$ dense set in $(X, T)$. Here $T_{\Lambda}=\{\phi, X,\{a, b\},\{b, c\},\{b\}\}$ which is a topology.
Theorem 3.26: Every minimal $\Lambda$ dense set in ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}$ ) are minimal supra open set in ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ )
Proof: Since every $\Lambda$ dense set in ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}$ ) are supra open set in ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ )

## Remark 3.27:

1. Let if possible $T_{\Lambda}$ contains only one minimal $\Lambda$ dense set $X$ i.e. $T_{\Lambda}=\{\phi, X\}$. From theorem 3.19, $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})$.Here $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ is a discrete topology.
2. Let $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\{\phi, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}\}$. From theorem 3.21, T is the maximal topology subset of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}) \backslash \mathrm{A}$ Here A is theminimal $\Lambda$ dense set. Here $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ is a topological space where A is a minimal open set in ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ )
3. Let $T_{\Lambda}=\{\phi, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}$. From theorem 3.24, if B is the minimal $\Lambda$ dense set then T is the maximal topology of $\{P(X) \backslash A\} \backslash B$, where $A$ is a subset of $X$ of order $n-1$, and $B$ is a subset of A of order $\mathrm{n}-2$,
n is the order
of X
4. Let $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ contains only one minimal $\Lambda$ - dense set i.e. $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\{\phi, \mathrm{X},\{\mathrm{G}: \mathrm{G} \supseteq \mathrm{A}\}, \cup\{\mathrm{G}: \mathrm{G} \supseteq \mathrm{A}\}\}$ Since all the superset of a $\Lambda$ dense set is a $\Lambda$ dense set and there exist only one minimal $\Lambda$ dense set. So, all the other $\Lambda$ dense sets intersection must be the set A or its superset and hence is a $\Lambda$ dense set. We know that arbitrary union of $\Lambda$ dense set is a $\Lambda$ dense set. Therefore we may conclude that if a $\Lambda$ dense supra topological space contains only one minimal $\Lambda$ dense set then that supra topological space forms a topological space The corresponding topological space T is a subset of the power set of X such that it doesn't contains A and all its supersets.
5. If $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ contains r number of minimal $\Lambda$ dense set then it forms a supra topological space. The correspondingtopological space T contains $\phi, \mathrm{X}$ and all elements of order one less than that of X except $r$ number of sets. Remark 3.28: According to the theorem 3.13, $\mathrm{A} \subseteq \mathrm{B} \subseteq \Lambda(\mathrm{A})$ and B is a $\Lambda$ dense set then A is also so. But if Bis a minimal $\Lambda$ dense set then A can't be a proper subset of B i.e. there can't exist any proper subset A of B
such that $\mathrm{A} \subseteq \mathrm{B} \subseteq \Lambda(\mathrm{A})$ where B is a minimal $\Lambda$ dense set.
Definition 3.29: A topological space ( $X, T$ ) is a $\Lambda$ sub-maximal space if every element of $\left(X, T_{\Lambda}\right)$ is also a closed subset of $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$

Example 3.30: Let $\mathrm{X}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$ and $\mathrm{T}=\{\phi, \mathrm{X},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{b}\},\{\mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}\}$. Here $A=\{a, b, d\} B=\{a, d\}$ are $\Lambda$ dense set and obviously it is a closed subset of $(X, T)$ Here $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\{\phi, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}$ which are all closed subsets of $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ i.e. $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is a $\Lambda$ sub maximal space Here A isalso a minimal $\Lambda$ dense set.
Remark 3.31:Let $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is a $\Lambda$ sub maximal space. Then from Remark 3.6 we can write that A subset A of $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is a $\Lambda$ dense set iff $\Lambda \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{X}$.

Theorem 3.32: In a sub maximal space ( $X, T$ ) no dense set can be a $\Lambda$ dense set except $X$
Proof: Let (X, T) be a sub maximal space i.e. every dense subsets of $X$ are open sets. But no open set can be a
$\Lambda$ dense set except X. Hence the theorem
Theorem 3.33: If $\left(X, T_{\Lambda}\right)$ is an indiscrete topological space then ( $X, T$ ) is a $\Lambda$ sub maximal space.
Proof: Let $\left(X, T_{\Lambda}\right)$ is an indiscrete topological space i.e. $T_{\Lambda}=\{X, \phi\}$ which are both closed set of ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}$ ). So, $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is a $\Lambda$ sub maximal space.
Theorem 3.34: If ( $X, T$ ) is a $\Lambda$ sub maximal discrete topological space then ( $X, T_{\Lambda}$ ) is also so.
Proof: Since the only closed sets in (X,T) are X and $\phi$. So, all the $\Lambda$ dense set must be X only. Hence thetheorem.
Theorem 3.35: Let $(X, T)$ be a topological space with only one element $A$ other then $X$ and $\phi$.Then $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is a
$\Lambda$ sub maximal space iff the order of X is two and $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\left\{\phi, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}}\right\}$
Proof: Let if possible (X, T) be a $\Lambda$ sub maximal space with only one element A except $\phi$ and X . Then $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}}$ is the only closed set except $\phi$ and $\mathrm{X} . \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}}$ is a $\Lambda$ dense set or the only $\Lambda$ dense set is X . Since no other closed set exist in (X, T). Therefore $T_{\Lambda}=\{X, \phi\}$ or $T_{\Lambda}=\left\{X, A^{C}, \phi\right\}$. But from Remark 3.27(1) if $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\{\mathrm{X}, \phi\}$ then the topological space contains all open subsets of X whose order is one less than that of X . We know that if the order of X is n then it has n subsets of order $(\mathrm{n}-1)$ i.e. if $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\{\mathrm{X}, \phi\}$ then T must have n elements except X and $\phi$. So, $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ cannot be $\{\mathrm{X}, \phi\}$. Sine T has only one element except X and $\phi$.
If $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\left\{\mathrm{X}, \phi, \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}}\right\}$ then from Remark 3.27(2), since $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}}$ is the minimal $\Lambda$ dense set T must have all open subsets of $X$ whose order is one less than that of $X$ except one which is a super set of $A^{C}$.
Let $X$ be of order $n$ then $T$ must contain $n-1$ elements other than $X$ and $\phi$
But here T contains only one element other than $\mathrm{X}, \phi$. i.e. the order of X should be 2.
Hence ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}$ ) is a $\Lambda$ sub-maximal space with only one element if the order of X is two and the corresponding $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\left\{\mathrm{X}, \phi, \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}}\right\}$
Converse is obvious.
Theorem 3.36: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}$ ) be a $\Lambda$ sub maximal space containing $\mathrm{r}(>1)$ elements other then X and $\phi$. Then

1. If $T$ contains $r$ minimal $\Lambda$ dense sets then the order of $X$ is $2 r$ and $T_{\Lambda}=\left\{G: G^{C} \in T\right\}$
2. If $T$ contains no minimal $\Lambda$ dense set other than $X$ then the order of $T$ is at least ( $n$ 1) $(n+2) / 2$ where $n$ isthe total number of elements in $X$ except $X$ and $\phi$
3. If T contains only one minimal $\Lambda$ dense set other than $X$ then $r \geq(n-2)(n+1) / 2$ where $n$ is the total number of elements in X
4. If T contains $1<m<r$ number of minimal $\Lambda$ dense set then $r \geq n(m-1)-(m-2)(3 m-1) / 2$

Proof: Let (X, T) be a topological space such that T contains more than one element other than X and $\phi$. Let Tcontains $r(>1)$ elements other then $X$ and $\phi$. Then there are $r$ number of closed sets other then X and $\phi$. Since $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is a $\Lambda$ sub maximal space $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ may contain elements less than or equal to $r$ other than X and $\phi$.

1. Let if possible $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ contains $\mathrm{r}+2$ elements. If all the r elements are minimal $\Lambda$ dense set then from remark $3.20(5)$ the topological space T contains $\phi, \mathrm{X}$ and all elements whose order are one less then that of $X$ except $r$ number of sets. But $T$ has $r$ elements except $X$ and $\phi$. So, the order of X is 2 r and $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$
$=\left\{G: G^{C} \in T\right\}$
2. Let $T_{\Lambda}=\{X, \phi\}$ then from remark $3.20(1) \mathrm{T}$ must contain all the subsets of $X$ whose order is one less than that of X . Here T contains r elements except X and $\phi$. Let the order of X is
n then the number of elements of X whose order is $\mathrm{n}-1$ is n . Obviously the finite intersection of these $n$ elements need not be
$\phi$ but a member of T. Their union is X . The intersection of n elements will form $\mathrm{n}-1$ elements and soon i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{r} & \geq \mathrm{n}+(\mathrm{n}-1)+(\mathrm{n}-2)+(\mathrm{n}-3)+\ldots .+2(=\mathrm{n}-(\mathrm{n}-2)) \\
& =\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1)-\{1+2+3+\ldots .+(\mathrm{n}-2)\} \\
& =\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1)-(\mathrm{n}-2)(\mathrm{n}-1) / 2 \\
& =(\mathrm{n}-1)\{2 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{n}+2\} / 2 \\
& =(\mathrm{n}-1)(\mathrm{n}+2) / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. the number of elements in $T$ should be at least $(n-1)(n+2) / 2$ except $X$ and $\phi$
3. Let $T_{\Lambda}$ contains only one minimal $\Lambda$ dense set. Then the topological space contains ( n 1) elementswhose order is one less then that of $X$.

So the topological space contains ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) elements and the elements obtained by their intersection .Since their union is X . So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & \geq(\mathrm{n}-1)+(\mathrm{n}-2)+\ldots . . . . . \\
& =(\mathrm{n}-2) \mathrm{n}-(\mathrm{n}-2)(\mathrm{n}-1) / 2 \\
& =(\mathrm{n}-2)(2 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{n}+1) / 2 \\
& =(\mathrm{n}-2)(\mathrm{n}+1) / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+2(=n-(n-2))
$$

i.e. the number of elements in $T$ must be at least $(n-2)(n-1) / 2$ except $X$ and $\phi$
4. If $T$ contains $1<\mathrm{m}<\mathrm{r}$ number of minimal $\Lambda$ dense set then T must contain ( $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}$ ) number of elementswhose order is one less than that of X

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & \geq(n-m)+(n-m-1)+\ldots+2(=n-m-(m-2)) \\
& =n(m-1)-m(m-2)-(m-2)(m-1) / 2 \\
& =n(m-1)-(m-2)(3 m-1) / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.37: If $(X, T)$ be a $\Lambda$ - sub maximal topological space such that every open sets are also closed set then $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}=\{\mathrm{X}, \phi\}$
Proof: It follows from remark 3.14
Theorem 3.38: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}$ ) be a $\Lambda$ sub maximal space. Then every $\Lambda$ dense set in $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ are also generalized closed set in (X, T)
Proof: In a $\Lambda$ sub maximal space $(X, T)$ every element of $\left(X, T_{\Lambda}\right)$ are closed subsets of $X$ i.e. for any subset A of X such that $\Lambda(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{ClA}=\mathrm{A}$. We know that $\Lambda(\mathrm{A})$ is the intersection of all open sets containing X i.e. A
$\subseteq \Lambda(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{X}$ i.e. $\mathrm{ClA}=\mathrm{A} \subseteq \Lambda(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{X}$ i.e. A is a generalized closed set.
Remark 3.39:Converse of the above theorem need not be true which follows from the example 3.25. Let us consider a generalized closed set $C=\{d\}$. Here $C \subseteq\{b, d\},\{b, d, c\}, X, C l C=\{d\}$ $\subseteq\{b, d\},\{b, d, c\}, X$. But $C$ is not a $\Lambda$ dense set.

## 4. Application

In this section the concept of $\Lambda$ dense continuous function and minimal $\Lambda$ dense continuous function is introduced and its properties are studied.
Definition 4.1: A function $\mathrm{f}:\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ is said to be a $\Lambda$ dense continuous function if the inverse image of any set in $\mathrm{T}_{2 \Lambda}$ is a closed set in $\mathrm{T}_{1}$.
Example 4.2: Let $\mathrm{X}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$ and the corresponding topology be $\mathrm{T}_{1}=\{\mathrm{X}, \phi,\{\mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{b}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}\}$.
Let $\mathrm{Y}=\{1,2,3\}$ and the corresponding topology be $\mathrm{T}_{2}=\{\mathrm{Y}, \phi,\{1\},\{2,1\}\}, \mathrm{T}_{2 \Lambda}=\{\mathrm{Y}, \phi,\{3\}$, $\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}$. Let $\mathrm{f}:\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ be such that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{f}(\phi)=\phi, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})=1, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{b})=2, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{c})=3$. Obviously f is a $\Lambda$ dense continuous function.

Remark 4.3: A) From theorem 3.18 if Y is an indiscrete topological space then $\mathrm{T}_{2 \Lambda}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Y})$ and the mapping $\mathrm{f}:\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ is a $\Lambda$ dense continuous function if

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { i. } & \mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~A})=\mathrm{X} \text { for any } \mathrm{A} \subseteq \mathrm{Y} \\
\text { ii. } & \mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}) \text { and } \mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{X}
\end{array}
$$

B) From theorem 3.19: if $T_{2}=P(X), T_{2}^{C}=P(X)$ then $T_{2 \Lambda}=\{X, \phi\}$ and $f:\left(X, T_{1}\right)$ $\rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ is a
$\Lambda$ dense continuous function.
C) From theorem 3.21: $T_{2}=P(X) \backslash A$ then $T_{2 \Lambda}=\{X, A, \phi\}$. Here $A$ is the odd term whose inverse image need not be open in $\mathrm{T}_{1}$.
D) From theorem 3.22: if $\mathrm{T}_{2}=\{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}, \phi\} \mathrm{T}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{C}}=\left\{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}}, \phi\right\}$ then $\mathrm{T}_{2 \Lambda}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})$ $\backslash\{G: G \subseteq A\}\}$ iff $:\left(X, T_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, T_{2}\right)$ be such that $f^{-1}(A)=X$ for any $A \subseteq Y$ then f is a $\Lambda$ dense continuous function.
Theorem 4.4: Let $\mathrm{f}:\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ be a continuous function then f is a $\Lambda$ dense continuous function if Y is a $\Lambda$ sub maximal space.
Proof: From definition 3.29, a topological space $\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ is a $\Lambda$ sub-maximal space if every element of $\left(Y, T_{2 \Lambda}\right)$ is also a closed subset of $\left(Y, T_{2}\right)$. Let $f:\left(X, T_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, T_{2}\right)$ be a continuous function. Let $A$ be a $\Lambda$ dense set in $Y$. Since $Y$ is a $\Lambda$ sub maximal space $A$ is a closed set in $Y$ and f being continuous function $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~A})$ is also a closed set in X . Hence from definition f is a $\Lambda$ dense continuous function.
Definition 4.5: A function $\mathrm{f}:\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ is said to be a minimal $\Lambda$ dense continuous function if the inverse image of any minimal set in $T_{2 \Lambda}$ is a closed set in $T_{1}$.
Example 4.6: Consider example 4.2, Here $\{3\}$ is the minimal set in $T_{2 \Lambda}$. Obviously its inverse image is a closed set in $T_{1}$. Thus $f$ is a minimal $\Lambda$ dense continuous function.
Theorem 4.7: A function $\mathrm{f}:\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ is a $\Lambda$ dense continuous function then it is a minimal $\Lambda$ dense continuous function
Proof: Since f is a $\Lambda$ dense continuous function so inverse image of any set in $\mathrm{T}_{2 \Lambda}$ is a closed set in $T_{1}$ andthus inverse image of any minimal set in $T_{2 \Lambda}$ is also a closed set in $T_{1}$. Thus the theorem.
Remark 4.8: Converse of the above theorem need not be true which follows from the following example:
Let $\mathrm{X}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$ and the corresponding topology be $\mathrm{T}_{1}=\{\mathrm{X}, \phi,\{\mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\}\}$. Let Y
$=\{1,2,3\}$ and thecorresponding topology be $\mathrm{T}_{2}=\{\mathrm{Y}, \phi,\{1\},\{1,3\}\}$.
Let $\mathrm{f}:\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ be a mapping such that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})=1, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{b})=2, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{c})=3, \mathrm{f}(\phi)=\phi, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{Y}$.
Here $\mathrm{T}_{2 \Lambda}=\{\mathrm{Y}$,
$\phi,\{2\},\{2,3\},\{1,2\}\}$ Here the minimal set in $\mathrm{T}_{2 \Lambda}$ is $\{2\}$ whose inverse image $\{b\}$ is a closed set in $\mathrm{T}_{1}$, but the inverse image of $\{1,2\}$ is $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$ which is not a closed set in $\mathrm{T}_{1}$.i.e. f is a minimal $\Lambda$ dense continuous function but not a $\Lambda$ dense continuous function.
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