

# Bifurcation of nonlinear impulsive boundary value problems

Zokha BELATTAR<sup>a</sup>, Abdelkader LAKMECHE<sup>b</sup> and Mohamed HELAL<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Univ Belhadj Bouchaib, Ain Témouchent, P.B. 101, 46000 , Algeria, e-mail: zokha.saiah@univ-temouchent.edu.dz,

<sup>b</sup> Biomathematics Laboratory, Univ. Sidi Bel-Abbes, P.B. 89, 22000, Algeria, e-mail: lakmeche@yahoo.fr,

<sup>c</sup> Biomathematics Laboratory, Univ. Sidi Bel-Abbes, P.B. 89, 22000, Algeria, e-mail: mhelal\_abbes@yahoo.fr,

**Article History:** Received: 15 December 2022; Revised: 7 January 2023; Accepted: 15 January 2023; Published online: 29 January 2023

**Abstract:** In this work, we investigate the existence of solutions for a class of second order impulsive differential equations with real parameter using bifurcation techniques by the mean of Krasnosel'ski theorems. Our results generalize some recent works.

**Keywords:** Branches of solutions, Impulsive differential equation, Bifurcation, Krasnosel'ski theorem.

## 1. Introduction

The theory of impulsive differential equations is a very active area of research see for instance [9] and [13]. Differential equations involving impulsive effects are found in many applications such as mathematical biology, population dynamics, optimal control and so on (see [6], [10], [11], [22] and [28]). There have been many works on the previous mentioned topics and among of them are interested by the study of the existence of solutions for second order impulsive boundary value problems ([1], [14] – [16], [18], [26], [27], [29]). However, research into bifurcation theory of impulsive differential equations has been modest (see [2] – [5], [12] and [19]). Some papers [17], [18], [21] and [25] introduced Rabinowitz global bifurcation theorems ([23], [24]) to describe the global structure of solutions of second order impulsive boundary value problems.

In this work, we consider the following impulsive boundary value problem (IBVP)

$$v''(t) = g(t, v(t), v'(t), \alpha), \quad t \in (0,1), \quad t \neq t_k, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\Delta v(t_k) = \eta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha), \quad k = 1, \dots, r, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\Delta v'(t_k) = \zeta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha), \tag{1.3}$$

$$v(0) = v(1) = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Where  $\Delta v(t_k) = v(t_k^+) - v(t_k)$ ,  $\Delta v'(t_k) = v'(t_k^+) - v'(t_k)$ ,  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_r < t_{r+1} = 1$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $I' := I - \{t_k\}_{k=1}^r$ . We assume that  $g: I' \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is smooth enough,  $\eta_k \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\zeta_k \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ , satisfying some assumptions to be specified later.

In [3], we considered the following impulsive boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} &v''(t) = \alpha f(t, v(t), v'(t)), \quad t \in (0,1), \quad t \neq t_k, \\ \text{(I)} \quad &\Delta v(t_k) = \eta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha), \quad k = 1, \dots, r, \\ &\Delta v'(t_k) = \zeta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha), \\ &v(0) = v(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We studied the existence of multiple solutions for the relative nonlinear second order impulsive differential equations by the mean of Krasnosel'ski bifurcation theory (see [7], [8] and [20]). The key assumption in this theorem is the oddness of the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalues of the linearized problem .

The problem (IBVP) were studied in [4], we investigated the existence of solutions using the implicit function theorem and we analyzed the existence of multiple branches of solutions by the mean of Krasnosel'ski theorems where the impulsive effects are any functions depending implicitly on the real parameter  $\alpha$ . But whose resolution of this problem we had to assume that the impulses  $\eta_k$  and  $\zeta_k$  must depend explicitly from real  $\alpha$  to apply this theorem.

In this work, we examine the existence of multiple branches of solutions for each case where the functions  $g$ ,  $\eta_k$  and  $\zeta_k$  depending implicitly on the real parameter  $\alpha$  and are written in the form

$h_i(t, v^p(t), (v')^q(t), \alpha) + \alpha f_i(t, v(t), v'(t))$  with  $p \geq 2$  and  $q \geq 2$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $i = \overline{1,3}$ .  $h_i$  and  $f_i$  are given functions satisfying certain conditions.

Our paper is organized as follows, in section 2, we give some definitions and preliminary results that will be used throughout. Our main results are given in the section 3, which is composed of 3 parts, each one of them contains applications.

## 2. Preliminaries

For all  $i \geq 0$ , let

$PC^i(I) := \{v \in C^i(I', \mathbb{R}) / v^{(j)}$  is left continuous at  $t_k$ , and  $v^{(j)}(t_k^+)$  exist for all  $k, j; 0 \leq k \leq r, 0 \leq j \leq i\}$ .

$(PC^i(I), \|\cdot\|_i)$  is a Banach space with the norm,

$$\|v\|_i = \max(\|v\|_0, \|v'\|_0, \dots, \|v^{(i)}\|_0),$$

where  $\|v\|_0 = \sup\{|w(t)|, t \in I\}$  for  $w \in PC^0(I)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{L}(PC^i(I))$  be the Banach space of bounded linear operators on  $PC^i(I)$  and the standard norm in  $\mathfrak{L}(PC^i(I))$ , with

$$\|L\|_{\mathfrak{L}(PC^i(I))} = \sup_{\|x\|_i \leq 1} \|Lx\|_i,$$

where  $x \in PC^i(I)$  and  $L \in \mathfrak{L}(PC^i(I))$ .

**Definition 2.1** A pair  $(v, \alpha) \in PC^2(I) \times \mathbb{R}$  is called a solution of (IBVP) if it satisfies (1.1) – (1.4).

**Lemma 2.2** A pair  $(v, \alpha) \in PC^2(I) \times \mathbb{R}$  is a solution of (IBVP) if and only if  $(v, \alpha) \in PC^1(I) \times \mathbb{R}$  and it satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) = & \int_0^1 H(t, s)g(s, v(s), v'(s), \alpha)ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \{\eta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) + \zeta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha)(t - t_k)\} \\ & - t \sum_{k=1}^r \{\eta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) + \zeta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha)(1 - t_k)\}, \quad \forall t \in I, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H$  is the Green's function of the linear problem without impulses

$$\begin{aligned} H(t, s) &= \begin{cases} s(t-1) & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t(s-1) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \\ &= ts - \min(t, s), \quad (t, s) \in [0, 1]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $L: D(L) \subset PC^2(I) \rightarrow PC^2(I)$  be defined by

$$(Lu)(t) = u''(t), \quad t \in I,$$

where  $D(L) := \{u \in PC^2(I); u(0) = u(1) = 0\}$ .

**Proposition 2.3** The operator  $L$  is invertible, and  $L^{-1}: PC^0(I) \rightarrow PC^2(I)$  is given by

$$(L^{-1}u)(t) = \int_0^1 H(t, s)u(s)ds.$$

Let  $G$  be the Nemitskii operator corresponding to  $g$ , then

$$G: PC^1(I) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow PC^0(I),$$

$$G(v, \alpha)(t) := g(t, v(t), v'(t), \alpha).$$

Let  $\psi: PC^2(I) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow PC^2(I)$  be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(v, \lambda)(t) = & \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \{ \eta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) + \zeta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha)(t - t_k) \} \\ & - t \sum_{k=1}^r \{ \eta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) + \zeta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha)(1 - t_k) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the map  $F: PC^2(I) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow PC^2(I)$  such that

$$F(v, \alpha) := L^{-1}GJ(v, \alpha) + \psi(v, \alpha),$$

where  $J$  is the compact imbedding defined by  $J: PC^2(I) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow PC^1(I) \times \mathbb{R}$  with  $J(v, \alpha) = (v, \alpha)$ .

Then we have

$$L^{-1}[G(J(v, \alpha))](t) = \int_0^1 H(t, s)g(s, v(s), v'(s), \alpha)ds$$

**Lemma 2.4** *The operators  $\psi$  and  $F$  are compact.*

**Lemma 2.5**  *$(v, \alpha) \in PC^2(I) \times \mathbb{R}$  is a solution of (IBVP) if and only if  $F(v, \alpha) = v$ .*

For fixed  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(\cdot, \alpha): PC^2(I) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(PC^2(I))$  and

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(v, \alpha) \cdot \varphi = \frac{\partial(L^{-1} \circ GJ)}{\partial v}(v, \alpha) \cdot \varphi + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v}(v, \alpha) \cdot \varphi,$$

for  $\varphi \in PC^2(I)$ .

In the following, we give some theorems to be used in the study of (IBVP).

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and consider the following equation

$$M_s(v, \alpha) := v - \alpha \overline{M}_s v + N_s(v, \alpha) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $v \in X$ ,  $\overline{M}_s: X \rightarrow X$  is a linear compact operator with  $s = \overline{1, 3}$ , and  $N_s: X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  is a continuous mapping satisfying

$$(H1) \quad N_s(v, \alpha) = o(\|v\|_X).$$

**Remark 2.6** *It is clear that  $(v, \alpha) = (0, \alpha)$  is a trivial solution of (2.1). The bifurcation problem of (2.1) is to seek a nontrivial solution  $(v_\alpha, \alpha) \neq (0, \alpha^*)$  of (2.1) from some point  $(0, \alpha^*)$  such that*

$$v_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \alpha \rightarrow \alpha^*.$$

**Theorem 2.7** [24, Krasnosel'ski Theorem] *Under the condition (H1), if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  is a real eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_s$  with odd algebraic multiplicity, then  $(0, \lambda^{-1})$  is a bifurcation point of (2.1).*

**Theorem 2.8** [24, Theorem 1.11] *If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_s$ , then the equation (2.1) bifurcates from  $(0, \lambda^{-1})$  to exactly two branches  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ .*

### 3 Bifurcation of branches of solutions for (IBVP)

In this section, we investigate the existence of bifurcated solutions for IBVP, we have

$$N_s(v, \alpha) := \alpha \overline{M}_s v - F(v, \alpha), \quad s = \overline{1,3}.$$

So

**Proposition 3.1** *If*

- $g(t, 0, 0, \alpha) = 0, \quad \forall t \in I$  and  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- $\eta_k(0, 0, \alpha) = 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$
- $\zeta_k(0, 0, \alpha) = 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

Then, for  $s = \overline{1,3}$ , we have

$$N_s(0, \alpha) = 0.$$

### 3.1 Case where $g = \square_1 + \alpha g_1$

In this section, we investigate the existence of bifurcated solutions where

$$g(t, v(t), v'(t), \alpha) = h_1(t, v^p(t), (v')^q(t), \alpha) + \alpha g_1(t, v(t), v'(t)) \text{ with } p \geq 2 \text{ and } q \geq 2, p, q \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(L^{-1} \circ GJ)}{\partial v}(v, \alpha) \cdot \varphi &= \int_0^1 H(t, s) \frac{\partial g}{\partial v}(s, v(s), v'(s), \alpha) \cdot \varphi ds \\ &= \int_0^1 H(t, s) [(pv^{p-1}(s) \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x}(s, v^p(s), (v')^q(s), \alpha)) \cdot \varphi(s) \\ &\quad + (q(v')^{q-1}(s) \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial y}(s, v^p(s), (v')^q(s), \alpha)) \cdot \varphi'(s) \\ &\quad + \alpha (\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x}(s, v(s), v'(s)) \cdot \varphi(s) + \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial y}(s, v(s), v'(s)) \cdot \varphi'(s))] ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v}(v, \alpha) \cdot \varphi &= \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial x}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial y}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] (t - t_k) \right\} \\ &\quad - t \sum_{0 < t_k < 1} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial x}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial y}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] (1 - t_k) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi &= \frac{\partial(L^{-1} \circ GJ)}{\partial v}(0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v}(0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi \\ &= \alpha \int_0^1 H(t, s) (\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x}(s, 0, 0) \cdot \varphi(s) + \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial y}(s, 0, 0) \cdot \varphi'(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] (t - t_k) \right\} \\ &\quad - t \sum_{0 < t_k < 1} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] (1 - t_k) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We put additional hypotheses as follow

- $\frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0, \alpha) = \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0, \alpha) = 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\bullet \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(0,0, \alpha) = \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(0,0, \alpha) = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If  $(D_u N_1(0, \alpha))\varphi(t) := \alpha \overline{M}_1 \varphi(t) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(0, \alpha)\varphi(t) = 0$ , and from (H5) – (H6), we have

$$\overline{M}_1 \varphi(t) = \int_0^1 H(t, s) \left( \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x}(s, 0, 0) \cdot \varphi(s) + \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial y}(s, 0, 0) \cdot \varphi'(s) \right) ds.$$

**Proposition 3.2** *If the conditions (H2) – (H6) are satisfied, then*

$$N_1(v, \alpha) = o(\|v\|_2).$$

From [3], we deduce the following results.

**Theorem 3.3** *If (H2) – (H6) are satisfied and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$  is a real eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_1$ , with odd algebraic multiplicity, then  $(v, \alpha) = (0, \lambda^{-1})$  is a bifurcation point of  $M_1(v, \alpha) = 0$ , and (IBVP) has a bifurcation branch of solutions.*

**Theorem 3.4** *If (H2) – (H6) are satisfied and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$  is a real simple eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_1$ , then (IBVP) has exactly two bifurcated branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  from  $(0, \lambda^{-1})$ .*

In the past theorem we assume that the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is simple, to study the multiplicity of  $\lambda$ ,

let  $\sigma(s) := \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x}(s, 0, 0)$  and  $\rho(s) := \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial y}(s, 0, 0)$ , then we have

$$(\overline{M}_1 \varphi)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) (\sigma(s) \cdot \varphi(s) + \rho(s) \cdot \varphi'(s)) ds, \quad \varphi \in PC^2(I).$$

**Lemma 3.5**  *$\lambda (\neq 0)$  is a real eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_1$ , if and only if there exists  $\varphi \in PC^2(I) - \{0\}$  such that  $\lambda$  satisfies the boundary value problem (BVP)*

$$\begin{cases} \varphi''(t) - \frac{\rho(t)}{\lambda} \varphi'(t) - \frac{\sigma(t)}{\lambda} \varphi(t) = 0 & \forall t \in I', \\ \varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 3.6** *If (H2) – (H6) are satisfied and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$  is a real eigenvalue of the boundary value problem (BVP), with odd algebraic multiplicity, then (IBVP) has a bifurcated branches of solutions from  $(0, \lambda^{-1})$ .*

**Corollary 3.7** *If (H2) – (H6) are satisfied and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$  is a simple eigenvalue of the boundary value problem (BVP), then (IBVP) has exactly two bifurcated branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  from  $(0, \lambda^{-1})$ .*

### Application

Consider the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} v''(t) = \ln(1 + \alpha^2 v^4(t) + (v')^2(t)) + \alpha \sin(av(t) + bv'(t)), & t \in (0,1), \quad t \neq t_k, \\ \Delta v(t_k) = \eta_k(u(t_k), u'(t_k), \alpha), & k = 1, \dots, r, \\ \Delta v'(t_k) = \zeta_k(u(t_k), u'(t_k), \alpha), & k = 1, \dots, r, \\ v(0) = v(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are constant.

The function  $g(t, v, w, \alpha) = \ln(1 + \alpha^2 v^4(t) + w^2(t)) + \alpha \sin(av(t) + bw(t))$  is well defined on the neighborhood of  $(0,0)$  and it satisfies (H2).

The functions  $\eta_k$  and  $\zeta_k$  satisfy the conditions (H3) – (H6).

For  $g_1(t, v, w) = \sin(av(t) + bw(t))$ , we have  $\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x}(t, 0, 0) = a$  and  $\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial y}(t, 0, 0) = b$ . Then

$$\overline{M}_1 \varphi(t) = \int_0^1 H(t, s)(a\varphi(s) + b\varphi'(s))ds.$$

We suppose that  $\lambda$  is the eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_1$ . Then, if  $\lambda < \frac{-b^2}{4a}$  and  $a \neq \pm bn\pi$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,

we have  $\lambda_n^1 = \frac{-a - \sqrt{a^2 - b^2 n^2 \pi^2}}{2n^2 \pi^2}$  and  $\lambda_n^2 = \frac{-a + \sqrt{a^2 - b^2 n^2 \pi^2}}{2n^2 \pi^2}$  are the real simple eigenvalues of  $\overline{M}_1$ .

Corollary 3.7 implies that if  $\alpha = \lambda_n^1$  or  $\alpha = \lambda_n^2$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , then the problem (3.1) has exactly two branches  $\Gamma_1^j$  and  $\Gamma_2^j$  bifurcating from  $(0, (\lambda_n^j)^{-1})$  with  $j = 1, 2$  (see [3] for more details).

### 3.2 Case where $\eta_k = \square_2 + \alpha \xi_k$

In this section, we investigate the existence of bifurcated solutions where

$\eta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) = h_2(v^p(t_k), (v')^q(t_k), \alpha) + \alpha \xi_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k))$  with  $p \geq 2$  and  $q \geq 2$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We have

$$\frac{\partial(L^{-1} \circ GJ)}{\partial v}(v, \alpha) \cdot \varphi = \int_0^1 H(t, s) \left[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(s, v(s), v'(s), \alpha) \cdot \varphi(s) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(s, v(s), v'(s), \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(s) \right] ds$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v}(v, \alpha) \cdot \varphi &= \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left\{ [(pv^{p-1}(t_k) \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x}(v^p(t_k), (v')^q(t_k), \alpha)) \cdot \varphi(t_k) \right. \\ &\quad + (q(v')^{q-1}(t_k) \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial y}(v^p(t_k), (v')^q(t_k), \alpha)) \cdot \varphi'(t_k)] \\ &\quad + \alpha \left[ \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial x}(v(t_k), v'(t_k)) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial y}(v(t_k), v'(t_k)) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] (t - t_k) \right\} \\ &\quad - t \sum_{0 < t_k < 1} \left\{ [(pv^{p-1}(t_k) \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x}(v^p(t_k), (v')^q(t_k), \alpha)) \cdot \varphi(t_k) \right. \\ &\quad + (q(v')^{q-1}(t_k) \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial y}(v^p(t_k), (v')^q(t_k), \alpha)) \cdot \varphi'(t_k)] \\ &\quad + \alpha \left[ \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial x}(v(t_k), v'(t_k)) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial y}(v(t_k), v'(t_k)) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] (1 - t_k) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi &= \frac{\partial(L^{-1} \circ GJ)}{\partial v}(0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v}(0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi \\ &= \int_0^1 H(t, s) \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(s, 0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi(s) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(s, 0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(s) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left[ \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial x}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial y}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right) (t - t_k) \right] \\ &\quad - t \sum_{0 < t_k < 1} \left[ \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial x}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial y}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right) (1 - t_k) \right] \end{aligned}$$

We put additional hypotheses as follow

- $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(t, 0, 0, \alpha) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(t, 0, 0, \alpha) = 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$
- $\frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0, \alpha) = \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0, \alpha) = 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$

If  $(D_u N_2(0, \alpha))\varphi(t) := \alpha \overline{M}_2 \cdot \varphi(t) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t) = 0$ , and from (H7) and (H8), we have

$$\overline{M}_2 \varphi(t) = \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left( \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial x}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial y}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right) - t \sum_{0 < t_k < 1} \left( \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial x}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial y}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right).$$

**Proposition 3.8** *If the conditions (H2) – (H4), (H7) and (H8) are satisfied, then*

$$N_2(v, \alpha) = o(\|v\|_2).$$

Then, from theorem 2.7 we have

**Theorem 3.9** *If the hypotheses (H2) – (H4), (H7) and (H8) are satisfied and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$  is a real eigenvalue of  $M_2$ , with odd algebraic multiplicity, then  $(v, \alpha) = (0, \lambda^{-1})$  is a bifurcation point of  $M_2(v, \alpha) = 0$  and (1.1) – (1.4) has a bifurcated branches of solutions.*

And from theorem 2.8 we have

**Theorem 3.10** *If the hypotheses (H2) – (H4) and (H7) – (H8) are satisfied and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_2$ , then (1.1) – (1.4) has exactly two bifurcated branches of solutions from  $(0, \lambda^{-1})$ .*

In the following we study the multiplicity of the eigenvalues of  $\overline{M}_2$  to determine the number of branches of solutions.

To do that let  $b_k := \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial x}(0, 0)$ ,  $c_k := \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial y}(0, 0)$  and put  $A_k := b_k t_k + c_k$ .

Let  $f_k(t) = h_k(t) \cdot t$  with

$$h_k(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in ]t_k, t_{k+1}[ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

**Proposition 3.11** *For  $s = 2, 3$ ,*

$$\text{Let } \mathbb{E} := \{ \varphi \in PC^2(I) / \varphi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^r \gamma_k f_k(t) + \beta_k h_k(t), t \neq t_k \}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Banach space with  $\dim \mathbb{E} = 2r + 2$ , moreover  $\forall \varphi \in PC^2(I), \overline{M}_s \varphi \in \mathbb{E}$ .

**Remark 3.12** *For  $s = 2, 3$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_s$  and  $\varphi_\lambda$  an eigenvector of  $\overline{M}_s$  associated to  $\lambda$ . Then*

$$\varphi_\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ \sum_{k=1}^r \gamma_k(\varphi_\lambda) f_k(t) + \beta_k(\varphi_\lambda) h_k(t) & \text{if } t \neq t_k, \\ \gamma_{k-1}(\varphi_\lambda) t_k + \beta_{k-1}(\varphi_\lambda) & \text{if } t = t_k, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

We denote  $\gamma_k(\varphi_\lambda) := \gamma_k$  and  $\beta_k(\varphi_\lambda) := \beta_k$ .

**Proposition 3.13** *Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ . Then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_2$  if and only if there exist  $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_r, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lambda$  satisfies the following system with  $(2r + 2)$  equations*

$$(II) \begin{cases} (\lambda + A_1)\gamma_0 + \sum_{i=2}^r A_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^r b_i\beta_{i-1} = 0, \\ \lambda\beta_0 = 0, \\ A_1\gamma_0 + (\lambda + A_2)\gamma_1 + \sum_{i=3}^r A_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^r b_i\beta_{i-1} = 0, \\ -A_1\gamma_0 - b_1\beta_0 + \lambda\beta_1 = 0, \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^k A_i\gamma_{i-1} + (\lambda + A_{k+1})\gamma_k + \sum_{i=k+2}^r A_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^r b_i\beta_{i-1} = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^k -A_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^k -b_i\beta_{i-1} + \lambda\beta_k = 0, \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} A_i\gamma_{i-1} + \gamma_{r-1}(\lambda + A_r) + \sum_{i=1}^r b_i\beta_{i-1} = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} -A_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} -b_i\beta_{i-1} + \lambda\beta_{r-1} = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^r A_i\gamma_{i-1} + \lambda\gamma_r + \sum_{i=1}^r b_i\beta_{i-1} = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^r -A_i\gamma_{i-1} - \sum_{i=1}^r b_i\beta_{i-1} + \lambda\beta_r = 0. \end{cases}$$

with  $k = 1, \dots, r-1$ .

Moreover the eigenvector associated to  $\lambda$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\lambda(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^r \gamma_k f_k(t) + \beta_k h_k(t) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^r h_k(t)(\gamma_k t + \beta_k), \quad t \neq t_k, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* If  $t \in ]0, t_1[, \overline{M}_2\varphi(t) = \lambda\varphi(t)$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_{0 < t_k < t} (b_k\varphi(t_k) + c_k\varphi'(t_k)) - t \sum_{k=1}^r (b_k\varphi(t_k) + c_k\varphi'(t_k)) = \lambda(\gamma_0 t + \beta_0).$$

Then,

$$-t \sum_{k=1}^r (b_k\varphi(t_k) + c_k\varphi'(t_k)) = \lambda(\gamma_0 t + \beta_0), \quad \forall t \in ]0, t_1[.$$

We obtain

$$t[\lambda\gamma_0 + b_1(\gamma_0 t_1 + \beta_0) + c_1\gamma_0 + \sum_{i=2}^r b_i(\gamma_{i-1}t_i + \beta_{i-1}) + c_i\gamma_{i-1}] + \lambda\beta_0 = 0, \quad \forall t \in ]0, t_1[.$$

Then,

$$\begin{cases} \lambda\beta_0 = 0, \\ \gamma_0(\lambda + A_1) + \sum_{i=2}^r A_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^r b_i\beta_{i-1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^k -A_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^k -b_i\beta_{i-1} + \lambda\beta_k = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^k A_i\gamma_{i-1} + (\lambda + A_{k+1})\gamma_k + \sum_{i=k+2}^r A_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^r b_i\beta_{i-1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

For  $t \in ]t_r, 1[, \overline{M}_2\varphi(t) = \lambda\varphi(t)$  is equivalent to

$$\lambda(\gamma_r t + \beta_r) = \sum_{k=1}^r b_k\varphi(t_k) + c_k\varphi'(t_k) - t \sum_{k=1}^r b_k\varphi(t_k) + c_k\varphi'(t_k)$$

Then,

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^r A_i\gamma_{i-1} - \sum_{i=1}^r b_i\beta_{i-1} + \lambda\beta_r = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^r A_i\gamma_{i-1} + \lambda\alpha_r + \sum_{i=1}^r b_i\beta_{i-1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.14** *Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ . Then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_2$  if and only if there exist  $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_r, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*



$$(III) \quad T_2(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_r \\ \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_r \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

where  $T_2(\lambda)$  is the  $(2r + 2)$  square matrix such that

$$T_2(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & \tilde{B} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\tilde{A}$  is a  $(2r + 2) \times (r + 1)$  matrix and  $\tilde{B}$  is a  $(2r + 2) \times (r + 1)$  matrix satisfying

•  $\tilde{A} = (a_{ij})$  with

$$\begin{cases} a_{(2i)j} = \lambda + A_j \text{ with } i = \overline{1, r} \text{ for } j = i, \\ a_{(2i)j} = A_j \text{ with } i = \overline{2, r+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq j < i, \\ a_{(2i)j} = A_j \text{ with } i = \overline{1, r-1} \text{ and } j = \overline{2, r} \text{ for } j > i, \\ a_{(2i+1)j} = -A_j \text{ with } i = \overline{1, r} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq i, \\ a_{(2i+1)j} = 0 \text{ with } i = \overline{0, r} \text{ and } j = \overline{1, r+1} \text{ for } j > i. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mu + A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & \dots & A_{r-1} & A_r & 0 \\ -A_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_1 & \lambda + A_2 & A_3 & \dots & A_{r-1} & A_r & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -A_1 & -A_2 & -A_3 & \dots & -A_{r-1} & -A_r & 0 \\ A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & \dots & A_{r-1} & \lambda + A_r & 0 \\ -A_1 & -A_2 & -A_3 & \dots & -A_{r-1} & -A_r & 0 \\ A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & \dots & A_{r-1} & A_r & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

•  $\tilde{B} = (a_{ij})$  with

$$\begin{cases} a_{(2i)j} = b_{j-(r+1)}, \quad a_{(2i)(2r+2)} = 0 \text{ with } i = \overline{1, r+1} \text{ and } j = \overline{r+2, 2r+1} \text{ for } j - (r+1) \geq 1, \\ a_{(2i+1)j} = \lambda \text{ with } i = \overline{0, r} \text{ for } j - (r+2) = i, \\ a_{(2i+1)j} = -b_{j-(r+1)} \text{ with } i = \overline{1, r} \text{ for } 1 \leq j - (r+1) \leq i, \\ a_{(2i+1)j} = 0 \text{ with } i = \overline{0, r-1} \text{ and } j = \overline{r+3, 2r+2} \text{ for } j - (r+2) > i. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & \dots & b_{r-1} & b_r & 0 \\ -b_1 & \lambda & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & \dots & b_{r-1} & b_r & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -b_1 & -b_2 & -b_3 & \dots & -b_{r-1} & \lambda & 0 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & \dots & b_{r-1} & b_r & 0 \\ -b_1 & -b_2 & -b_3 & \dots & -b_{r-1} & -b_r & \lambda \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & \dots & b_{r-1} & b_r & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* From the proposition 3.13, the system (II) is equivalent to (III).

Put  $P_s(\lambda) = \det T_s(\lambda)$  with  $s = 2, 3$ , then  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$  is an eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_s$  if and only if  $T_s(\lambda)$  is not invertible, i.e.  $P_s(\lambda) = 0$ .

**Remark 3.15** Let  $\lambda$  be a real eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_s$  with  $s = 2, 3$ . If  $\lambda$  satisfies

$$(H9) \quad P_s(\lambda) = P'_s(\lambda) = P''_s(\lambda) = \dots = P_s^{2\kappa}(\lambda) = 0 \text{ and } P_s^{2\kappa+1}(\lambda) \neq 0, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{N},$$

then it is an eigenvalue with odd algebraic multiplicity  $2\kappa + 1$ .

If  $\lambda$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_s$ , i.e.  $\kappa = 0$ , then

$$(H10) \quad P_s(\lambda) = 0 \text{ and } P'_s(\lambda) \neq 0.$$

From theorem 2.7, we have

**Corollary 3.16** If (H2) – (H4) and (H7) – (H9) are satisfied with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , then (1.1) – (1.4) has a bifurcated branches of solutions from  $(0, \lambda^{-1})$ .

From theorem 2.8, we have

**Corollary 3.17** If (H2) – (H4), (H7) – (H8) and (H10) are satisfied with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , then (1.1) – (1.4) has exactly two bifurcated branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  from  $(0, \lambda^{-1})$ .

### Application

**Case1:** For  $r = 1$ , we obtain

$$T_2(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda + A_1 & 0 & b_1 & 0 \\ A_1 & \lambda & b_1 & 0 \\ -A_1 & 0 & -b_1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $P_2(\lambda) = \lambda^3(\lambda + A_1)$ , moreover the eigenvalues of  $\overline{M}_2$  are 0 and  $-A_1$ . We suppose that  $t_1 \neq -\frac{c_1}{b_1}$ , then  $(-b_1 t_1 - c_1)$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_2$ . So, from corollary 3.17 the problem (IBVP) has exactly two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  bifurcating from  $(0, (-b_1 t_1 - c_1)^{-1})$ .

**Case2:** For  $r = 2$ , we obtain

$$T_2(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda + A_1 & A_2 & 0 & b_1 & b_2 & 0 \\ A_1 & \lambda + A_2 & 0 & b_1 & b_2 & 0 \\ -A_1 & 0 & 0 & -b_1 & \lambda & 0 \\ A_1 & A_2 & \lambda & b_1 & b_2 & 0 \\ -A_1 & -A_2 & 0 & -b_1 & -b_2 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,  $P_2(\lambda) = \lambda^4[\lambda^2 + (A_1 + A_2)\lambda + A_1 b_2]$ .

We suppose that  $b_1 \neq 0$  and  $b_2 \neq 0$ . If  $t_1 = -\frac{c_1}{b_1}$  then  $P_2(\lambda) = \lambda^5[\lambda + (A_1 + A_2)]$ . So the eigenvalues of  $\overline{M}_2$  are 0 and  $-(A_1 + A_2)$ .

We suppose that  $t_2 \neq -\frac{b_1 t_1 + (c_1 + c_2)}{b_2}$ , then  $-(b_1 t_1 + b_2 t_2 + (c_1 + c_2))$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_2$ . So, from corollary 3.17 the problem (IBVP) has exactly two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  bifurcating from

$$(0, (-b_1 t_1 - b_2 t_2 - (c_1 + c_2))^{-1}).$$

If  $t_1 \neq -\frac{c_1}{b_1}$  and  $(b_1 t_1 + c_1)^2 + 2(b_1 t_1 + c_1)(b_2 t_2 + c_2) + (b_2 t_2 + c_2)^2 > 4b_2(b_1 t_1 + c_1)$

then the characteristic equation  $\lambda^2 + (A_1 + A_2)\lambda + A_1 b_2 = 0$  admits two solutions

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{2}[b_1 t_1 + b_2 t_2 + c_1 + c_2 + \sqrt{(b_1 t_1 + b_2 t_2 + c_1 + c_2)^2 - 4b_2(b_1 t_1 + c_1)}]$$

$$\lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{2}[b_1 t_1 + b_2 t_2 + c_1 + c_2 - \sqrt{(b_1 t_1 + b_2 t_2 + c_1 + c_2)^2 - 4b_2(b_1 t_1 + c_1)}].$$

Moreover, the eigenvalues of  $\overline{M}_2$  are 0 and the simple eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ .

Theorem 3.10 implies that if either  $\alpha = \lambda_1$  or  $\alpha = \lambda_2$ , then the problem (IBVP) has exactly two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1^j$  and  $\Gamma_2^j$  bifurcating from  $(0, (\lambda_j)^{-1})$ , with  $j = 1, 2$ .

### Remarks 3.18

1. If  $t_2 = \frac{-(b_1 t_1 + c_1)(b_2 + 1) - c_2 - 1}{b_2}$ , then the eigenvalues of

$P_2(\lambda) = 0$  are  $\lambda_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda_2 = (b_1 t_1 + c_1)b_2$ . From Theorem 3.10, if either  $\alpha = 1$  or  $\alpha = (b_1 t_1 + c_1)b_2$ , then the problem (IBVP) has exactly two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1^1$  and  $\Gamma_2^1$  bifurcating from  $(0, 1)$  and two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1^2$  and  $\Gamma_2^2$  bifurcating from  $(0, ((b_1 t_1 + c_1)b_2)^{-1})$ .

2. If  $t_2 = \frac{(b_1 t_1 + c_1)(b_2 - 1) - c_2 + 1}{b_2}$ , then the eigenvalues of  $P_2(\lambda) = 0$  are  $\lambda_1 = -1$  and

$\lambda_2 = -(b_1 t_1 + c_1)b_2$ . From Theorem 3.10, if either  $\alpha = -1$  or  $\alpha = -(b_1 t_1 + c_1)b_2$ , then the problem (IBVP) has exactly two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1^1$  and  $\Gamma_2^1$  bifurcating from  $(0, -1)$  and two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1^2$  and  $\Gamma_2^2$  bifurcating from  $(0, -(b_1 t_1 + c_1)b_2)^{-1})$ .

**Remark 3.19** Let  $A_k = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, r$ . We have  $P_2(\lambda) = \lambda^{2r+1}(-b_r)$ , moreover the eigenvalues of  $\overline{M}_2$  is only 0.

### 3.3 Case where $\zeta_k = \square_3 + \alpha \zeta_k$

In this section, we investigate the existence of bifurcated solutions where

$$\zeta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) = h_3(v^p(t_k), (v')^q(t_k), \alpha) + \alpha \zeta_k(v(t_k), v'(t_k)) \text{ with } p \geq 2 \text{ and } q \geq 2, p, q \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v}(v, \alpha) \cdot \varphi &= \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left\{ [(pv^{p-1}(t_k) \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial x}(v^p(t_k), (v')^q(t_k), \alpha)) \cdot \varphi(t_k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (q(v')^{q-1}(t_k) \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial y}(v^p(t_k), (v')^q(t_k), \alpha)) \cdot \varphi'(t_k)] (t - t_k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha \left[ \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(v(t_k), v'(t_k)) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(v(t_k), v'(t_k)) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] (t - t_k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial x}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial y}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] \right\} \\ &- t \sum_{0 < t_k < 1} \left\{ [(pv^{p-1}(t_k) \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial x}(v^p(t_k), (v')^q(t_k), \alpha)) \cdot \varphi(t_k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (q(v')^{q-1}(t_k) \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial y}(v^p(t_k), (v')^q(t_k), \alpha)) \cdot \varphi'(t_k)] (1 - t_k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha \left[ \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(v(t_k), v'(t_k)) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(v(t_k), v'(t_k)) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] (1 - t_k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial x}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial y}(v(t_k), v'(t_k), \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi &= \frac{\partial(L^{-1} \circ G)}{\partial v}(0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v}(0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi \\
 &= \int_0^1 H(t, s) \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(s, 0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi(s) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(s, 0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(s) \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left[ \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right) (t - t_k) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right) \right] \\
 &\quad - t \sum_{0 < t_k < 1} \left[ \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right) (1 - t_k) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

We put additional hypotheses as follow

$$\bullet \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0, \alpha) = \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0, \alpha) = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If  $(D_u N_3(0, \alpha))\varphi(t) := \alpha \bar{M}_3 \cdot \varphi(t) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(0, \alpha) \cdot \varphi(t) = 0$ , and From (H7) and (H11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{M}_3 \varphi(t) &= \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left( \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right) (t - t_k) \\
 &\quad - t \sum_{0 < t_k < 1} \left( \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi(t_k) + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial y}(0, 0) \cdot \varphi'(t_k) \right) (1 - t_k).
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.20** *If the conditions (H2) – (H4), (H7) and (H11) are satisfied, then*

$$N_3(v, \alpha) = o(\|v\|_2).$$

Then, from theorem 2.7, we have

**Theorem 3.21** *If the hypotheses (H2) – (H4), (H7) and (H11) are satisfied and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$  is a real eigenvalue of  $\bar{M}_3$ , with odd algebraic multiplicity, then  $(v, \alpha) = (0, \lambda^{-1})$  is a bifurcation point of  $M_3(v, \alpha) = 0$  and (1.1) – (1.4) has a bifurcated branches of solutions.*

And from theorem 2.8, we have

**Theorem 3.22** *If the hypotheses (H2) – (H4), (H7) and (H11) are satisfied and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $\bar{M}_3$ , then (1.1) – (1.4) has exactly two bifurcated branches of solutions from  $(0, \lambda^{-1})$ .*

In the following we study the multiplicity of the eigenvalues of  $\bar{M}_3$  to determine the number of branches of solutions.

To do that let  $d_k := \frac{\partial^2 \zeta_k}{\partial \alpha \partial x}(0, 0, 0)$ ,  $e_k := \frac{\partial^2 \zeta_k}{\partial \alpha \partial y}(0, 0, 0)$  and put  $B_k := d_k t_k$ ,

$C_k := (1 - t_k)d_k$ ,  $D_k := (1 - t_k)(d_k t_k + e_k)$  and  $E_k := t_k(d_k t_k + e_k)$ .

**Proposition 3.23** *Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ . Then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\bar{M}_3$  if and only if there exist  $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_r, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lambda$  satisfies the following system with  $(2r + 2)$  equations*

$$(IV) \begin{cases} (\lambda + D_1)\gamma_0 + \sum_{i=2}^r D_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^r C_i\beta_{i-1} = 0, \\ \lambda\beta_0 = 0, \\ -E_1\gamma_0 + (\lambda + D_2)\gamma_1 + \sum_{i=3}^r D_i\gamma_{i-1} - B_1\beta_0 + \sum_{i=2}^r C_i\beta_{i-1} = 0, \\ E_1\gamma_0 + B_1\beta_0 + \lambda\beta_1 = 0, \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^k -E_i\gamma_{i-1} + (\lambda + D_{k+1})\gamma_k + \sum_{i=k+2}^r D_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^k -B_i\beta_{i-1} + \sum_{i=k+1}^r C_i\beta_{i-1} = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^k E_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^k B_i\beta_{i-1} + \lambda\beta_k = 0, \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} -E_i\gamma_{i-1} + (\lambda + D_r)\gamma_{r-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} -B_i\beta_{i-1} + C_r\beta_{r-1} = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} E_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} B_i\beta_{i-1} + \lambda\beta_{r-1} = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^r -E_i\gamma_{i-1} + \lambda\gamma_r + \sum_{i=1}^r -B_i\beta_{i-1} = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^r E_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^r B_i\beta_{i-1} + \lambda\beta_r = 0. \end{cases}$$

with  $k = 1, \dots, r - 1$ .

Moreover the eigenvector associated to  $\lambda$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\lambda(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^r \gamma_k f_k(t) + \beta_k h_k(t) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^r h_k(t)(\gamma_k t + \beta_k), \quad t \neq t_k, \quad t \in [0,1]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* If  $t \in ]0, t_1[, \bar{M}_3\varphi(t) = \lambda\varphi(t)$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_{0 < t_k < t} (d_k\varphi(t_k) + e_k\varphi'(t_k))(t - t_k) - t \sum_{k=1}^r (d_k\varphi(t_k) + e_k\varphi'(t_k))(1 - t_k) = \lambda(\gamma_0 t + \beta_0).$$

Then,

$$-t \sum_{k=1}^r (d_k\varphi(t_k) + e_k\varphi'(t_k))(1 - t_k) = \lambda(\gamma_0 t + \beta_0), \quad \forall t \in ]0, t_1[.$$

We obtain,

$$t[\lambda\gamma_0 + d_1(\gamma_0 t_1 + \beta_0)(1 - t_1) + e_1\gamma_0(1 - t_1) + \sum_{i=2}^r d_i(\gamma_{i-1}t_i + \beta_{i-1})(1 - t_i) + e_i\gamma_{i-1}(1 - t_i)] + \lambda\beta_0 = 0, \quad \forall t \in ]0, t_1[.$$

Then,

$$\begin{cases} \lambda\beta_0 = 0, \\ \gamma_0(\lambda + D_1) + \sum_{i=2}^r D_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^r C_i\beta_{i-1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, for  $t \in ]t_k, t_{k+1}[$ , we obtain the following result

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^k E_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^k B_i\beta_{i-1} + \lambda\beta_k = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^k -E_i\gamma_{i-1} + (\lambda + D_{k+1})\gamma_k + \sum_{i=k+2}^r D_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^k -B_i\beta_{i-1} + \sum_{i=k+1}^r C_i\beta_{i-1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

For  $t \in ]t_r, 1[, \bar{M}_3\varphi(t) = \lambda\varphi(t)$  is equivalent to

$$\lambda(\gamma_r t + \beta_r) = \sum_{k=1}^r (d_k\varphi(t_k) + e_k\varphi'(t_k))(t - t_k) - t \sum_{k=1}^r (d_k\varphi(t_k) + e_k\varphi'(t_k))(1 - t_k)$$

Then,

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^r E_i\gamma_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^r B_i\beta_{i-1} + \lambda\beta_r = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^r -E_i\gamma_{i-1} + \lambda\gamma_r + \sum_{i=1}^r -B_i\beta_{i-1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.24** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ . Then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_3$  if and only if there exist  $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_r, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(V) \quad T_3(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_r \\ \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_r \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

where  $T_3(\lambda)$  is the  $(2r + 2)$  square matrix given by

$$T_3(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_2 & \tilde{B}_2 \\ \tilde{C}_2 & \tilde{D}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\tilde{A}_2$  is a  $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$  matrix,  $\tilde{B}_2$  is a  $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$  matrix,  $\tilde{C}_2$  is a  $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$  matrix and  $\tilde{D}_2$  is a  $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$  matrix such that:

•  $\tilde{A}_2 = (a_{ij})$  with

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij} = \lambda + D_j & \text{with } i = \overline{1, r} \text{ for } j = i, \\ a_{ij} = D_j & \text{with } i = \overline{1, r-1} \text{ and } j = \overline{2, r} \text{ for } j \geq i, \\ a_{ij} = -E_j & \text{with } i = \overline{2, r+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq j < i, \\ a_{i(r+1)} = 0 & \text{for } i = \overline{1, r} \text{ and } a_{(r+1)(r+1)} = \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\tilde{A}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + D_1 & D_2 & D_3 & D_4 & \dots & D_r & 0 \\ -E_1 & \lambda + D_2 & D_3 & D_4 & \dots & D_r & 0 \\ -E_1 & -E_2 & \lambda + D_3 & D_4 & \dots & D_r & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ -E_1 & -E_2 & -E_3 & -E_4 & \dots & \lambda + D_r & 0 \\ -E_1 & -E_2 & -E_3 & -E_4 & \dots & -E_r & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

•  $\tilde{B}_2 = (a_{ij})$  with

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij} = c_{j-(r+1)}, & \text{with } i = \overline{1, r} \text{ and } j = \overline{r+2, 2r+1} \text{ for } j - (r + 1) \geq i, \\ a_{ij} = -B_{j-(r+1)} & \text{with } i = \overline{2, r+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq j - (r + 1) < i, \\ a_{i(2r+2)} = 0 & \text{with } i = \overline{1, r+1}. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\tilde{B}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 & C_3 & \dots & C_{r-1} & C_r & 0 \\ -B_1 & C_2 & C_3 & \dots & C_{r-1} & C_r & 0 \\ -B_1 & -B_2 & C_3 & \dots & C_{r-1} & C_r & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ -B_1 & -B_2 & -B_3 & \dots & -B_{r-1} & C_r & 0 \\ -B_1 & -B_2 & -B_3 & \dots & -B_{r-1} & -B_r & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

•  $\tilde{C}_2 = (a_{ij})$  with

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij} = 0, \text{ with } i = \overline{r+2, 2r+2} \text{ and } j = \overline{1, r+1} \text{ for } j \geq i - (r+1), \\ a_{ij} = E_j \text{ with } i = \overline{r+3, 2r+2} \text{ for } 1 \leq j < i - (r+1). \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\tilde{C}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ E_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ E_1 & E_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ E_1 & E_2 & E_3 & \dots & E_{r-1} & 0 & 0 \\ E_1 & E_2 & E_3 & \dots & E_{r-1} & E_r & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

•  $\tilde{D}_2 = (a_{ij})$  with

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij} = \lambda, \text{ with } i = \overline{r+2, 2r+2} \text{ for } i = j, \\ a_{ij} = B_{j-(r+1)} \text{ with } i = \overline{r+3, 2r+2} \text{ for } r+2 \leq j < i, \\ a_{i(2r+2)} = 0 \text{ with } i = \overline{r+2, 2r+1} \text{ and } j = \overline{r+3, 2r+2} \text{ for } j > i. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\tilde{D}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & B_2 & \lambda & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_1 & B_2 & B_3 & B_4 & \dots & B_{r-1} & \lambda & 0 \\ B_1 & B_2 & B_3 & B_4 & \dots & B_{r-1} & B_r & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

From theorem 3.21, we have

**Corollary 3.25** *If (H2) – (H4), (H7), (H9) and (H11) are satisfied with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , then (1.1) – (1.4) has a bifurcated branches of solutions from  $(0, \lambda^{-1})$ .*

From theorem 3.22, we have

**Corollary 3.26** *If (H2) – (H4), (H7) and (H10) – (H11) are satisfied with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , then (1.1) – (1.4) has exactly two bifurcated branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  from  $(0, \lambda^{-1})$ .*

### Application

**Case1:** For  $r = 1$ , we obtain

$$T_3(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + D_1 & 0 & C_1 & 0 \\ -E_1 & \lambda & -B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ E_1 & 0 & B_1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $P_3(\lambda) = \lambda^3(\lambda + D_1)$ , moreover the eigenvalues of  $\overline{M}_3$  are 0 and  $-D_1$ . We suppose that  $d_1 \neq 0, e_1 \neq 0$  and  $t_1 \neq -\frac{d_1}{e_1}$ , then  $(t_1 - 1)(d_1 t_1 + e_1)$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_3$ . So, from corollary 3.26, the problem (IBVP) has exactly two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  bifurcating from  $(0, ((t_1 - 1)(d_1 t_1 + e_1))^{-1})$ .

**Case2:** For  $r = 2$ , we obtain

$$T_3(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + D_1 & D_2 & 0 & C_1 & C_2 & 0 \\ -E_1 & \lambda + D_2 & 0 & -B_1 & C_2 & 0 \\ -E_1 & -E_2 & \lambda & -B_1 & -B_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ E_1 & 0 & 0 & B_1 & \lambda & 0 \\ E_1 & E_2 & 0 & B_1 & B_2 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $P_3(\lambda) = \lambda^4[\lambda^2 + (D_1 + D_2)\lambda + D_1D_2 + E_1(D_2 - C_2)]$ .

We suppose that  $d_1 \neq 0, d_2 \neq 0$  and  $e_1 \neq 0$ . If either  $t_1 = -\frac{e_1}{d_1}$  or  $t_1 = \frac{d_2t_2+e_2}{d_2}$ , then  $P_3(\lambda) = \lambda^5[\lambda + (D_1 + D_2)]$ .

The eigenvalues of  $\overline{M}_3$  are 0 and  $-(D_1 + D_2)$ .

We suppose  $(1 - t_1)(d_1t_1 + e_1) + (1 - t_2)(d_2t_2 + e_2) \neq 0$ , then  $(t_1 - 1)(d_1t_1 + e_1) + (t_2 - 1)(d_2t_2 + e_2)$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $\overline{M}_3$ . So, from corollary 3.26, the problem (IBVP) has exactly two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  bifurcating from  $(0, ((t_1 - 1)(d_1t_1 + e_1) + (t_2 - 1)(d_2t_2 + e_2))^{-1})$ .

If  $t_1 \neq -\frac{e_1}{d_1}$  and  $t_1 \neq \frac{d_2t_2+e_2}{d_2}$ , for  $\Delta := (1 - t_1)^2(d_1t_1 + e_1)^2 + (1 - t_2)^2(d_2t_2 + e_2)^2 - 2(1 - t_1)(1 - t_2)(d_1t_1 + e_1)(d_2t_2 + e_2) - 4t_1(1 - t_2)(d_1t_1 + e_1)(d_2t_2 + e_2 - d_2) > 0$ , then the characteristic equation  $\lambda^2 + (D_1 + D_2)\lambda + D_1D_2 + E_1(D_2 - C_2) = 0$  admits two solutions

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}[(t_1 - 1)(d_1t_1 + e_1) + (t_2 - 1)(d_2t_2 + e_2) - \sqrt{\Delta}] \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}[(t_1 - 1)(d_1t_1 + e_1) + (t_2 - 1)(d_2t_2 + e_2) + \sqrt{\Delta}].$$

Corollary 3.26 implies that if either  $\alpha = \lambda_1$  or  $\alpha = \lambda_2$ , then the problem (IBVP) has exactly two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1^j$  and  $\Gamma_2^j$  bifurcating from  $(0, (\lambda_j)^{-1})$  with  $j = 1, 2$ .

### Remarks 3.27

1. If  $1 + (1 - t_1)(d_1t_1 + e_1) + (1 - t_2)(d_2t_2 + e_2) + (1 - t_1)(1 - t_2)(d_1t_1 + e_1)(d_2t_2 + e_2) + t_1(1 - t_2)(d_1t_1 + e_1)(d_2t_2 + e_2 - d_2) = 0$ , then the eigenvalues of  $P_3(\lambda) = 0$  are  $\lambda_1 = 1$

and  $\lambda_2 = -1 - (1 - t_1)(d_1t_1 + e_1) - (1 - t_2)(d_2t_2 + e_2)$ . From corollary 3.26 if either  $\alpha = 1$  or

$\alpha = -1 - (1 - t_1)(d_1t_1 + e_1) - (1 - t_2)(d_2t_2 + e_2)$ , then the problem (IBVP) has exactly two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1^1$  and  $\Gamma_2^1$  bifurcating from  $(0, 1)$  and two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1^2$  and  $\Gamma_2^2$  bifurcating from

$(0, (-1 - (1 - t_1)(d_1t_1 + e_1) - (1 - t_2)(d_2t_2 + e_2))^{-1})$ .

2. If  $1 + (1 - t_1)(1 - t_2)(d_1t_1 + e_1)(d_2t_2 + e_2) + t_1(1 - t_2)(d_1t_1 + e_1)(d_2t_2 + e_2 - d_2) = (1 - t_1)(d_1t_1 + e_1) + (1 - t_2)(d_2t_2 + e_2)$ , then the eigenvalues of  $P_3(\lambda) = 0$  are  $\lambda_1 = -1$  and

$\lambda_2 = 1 - (1 - t_1)(d_1t_1 + e_1) - (1 - t_2)(d_2t_2 + e_2)$ . From corollary 3.26, if either  $\alpha = -1$  or

$\alpha = 1 - (1 - t_1)(d_1t_1 + e_1) - (1 - t_2)(d_2t_2 + e_2)$ , then the problem (IBVP) has exactly two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1^1$  and  $\Gamma_2^1$  bifurcating from  $(0, -1)$  and two branches of solutions  $\Gamma_1^2$  and  $\Gamma_2^2$  bifurcating from

$(0, (1 - (1 - t_1)(d_1t_1 + e_1) - (1 - t_2)(d_2t_2 + e_2))^{-1})$ .

**Remark 3.28** Let  $t_k = \frac{-e_k}{d_k}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, r$ . We have  $E_k = 0$  and  $D_k = 0$ , then  $P_3(\lambda) = \lambda^{2r+2}$ . So the eigenvalues of  $\overline{M}_3$  is only 0.

## 4 Concluding remarks

In this work, we have studied the existence of multiple branches of solutions of second order impulsive differential equation with real parameter  $\alpha$ . We have obtained many results according to the hypothesis on the nonlinearity functions and the functions in the impulsive part of the problem (IBVP). It will be very interesting to



consider the case with both nonlinear term and impulse functions depending implicitly on the real parameter. That's why we're going to find some form of functions that ensures this approach using Krasnosel'ski bifurcation theorem. However, the two approaches used in [3] and [4] examined only the case with explicit dependence on the parameter in the differential equation and impulse equations.

### Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the MESRS and DGRSDT of Algeria through PRFU research project C00L03UN220120220001.

### References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, Multiple nonnegative solutions for second-second impulsive differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **114** (2000) 51-59.
- [2] M.U. Akhmet and A. Kashkynbayev, Non-Autonomous Bifurcation in impulsive systems, *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, **74** (2014) 1-23.
- [3] Z. Belattar and A. Lakmeche, Impulsive boundary value problem with parameter, *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, **22** (2015) 331-339.
- [4] Z. Belattar and A. Lakmeche, Bifurcation of branches of solutions for impulsive boundary value problem, *Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **8** (2020) 43-62.
- [5] A.A. Boichuk and S.M. Chuiko, Bifurcation of solutions of an impulsive boundary-value problem, *J. Nonlinear Oscillations, Springer Link*, **1** (2008) 18-28.
- [6] M. Choisy, J. Guegan and P. Rohani, Dynamics of infectious diseases and pulse vaccination: teasing apart the embedded resonance effects, *Physica*, **22** (2006) 26-35.
- [7] S. Chow and J. Hale, *Methods of bifurcation theory*, Springer-Verlag 1982.
- [8] M. Crandall and P. Rabinowitz, Bifurcation from simple eigenvalues, *J. of Func. Anal.*, **8** (1971) 321-340.
- [9] X. Fu, B. Yan and Y. Liu, *Introduction to impulsive differential system*, Beijing: China Science Publisher 2005.
- [10] S. Gao, L. Chen, J. J. Nieto and A. Torres, Analysis of a delayed epidemic model with pulse vaccination and saturation incidence, *Vaccine* **24** (2006), 6037-6045.
- [11] D. Guo, Positive solutions of an infinite boundary value problem for nth-order nonlinear impulsive singular integro-differential equations in Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **70** (2003) 2078-2090.
- [12] Z. Hu and M. Han, Periodic solutions and bifurcations of first order periodic impulsive differential equations, *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, **19** (2009) 2515-2030.
- [13] V. Lakshmikantham, D. Bainov and P. Simeonov, *Theory of impulsive differential equations*, Singapore: World Scientific 1989.
- [14] E.K. Lee and Y.H. Lee, Multiple position solutions of singular two point boundary value problems for second order impulsive differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comut*, **40** (2004) 745-759.
- [15] Y. Lee and X. Liu, Study of singular boundary value problems for second order impulsive differential equations, *J. Math. Appl.*, **331** (2007) 159-176.
- [16] X. Lin and D. Jiang, Multiple positive solutions of Dirichlet boundary value problems for second order impulsive differential equations, *J. Anal. Appl.*, **321** (2006) 501-514.
- [17] Y. Liu and D. O'Regan, Multiplicity results using bifurcation techniques for a class of boundary value problem of impulsive differential equations, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, **16** (2011) 1769-1775.
- [18] R. Ma, J. Sun and M. Elsanosi, Sign-changing solutions of second order dirichlet problem with impulse effects, *D. C. D. I. Syst.*, **20** (2013) 241-251.
- [19] R. Ma, B. Yang and Z. Wang, Bifurcation of positive periodic solutions of first-order impulsive differential equations, *Boundary value problems*, Springer **83** (2012).
- [20] T. Ma and S. Wang, *Bifurcation theory and applications*, World Scientific, **10** (2005).

- [21] Y. Niu and B. Yan, Global structure of solutions to boundary value problems of impulsive differential equations, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **55** (2016) 1-23.
- [22] A. d'Onofrio, On pulse vaccination strategy in the SIR epidemic model with vertical transmission, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **18** (2005)729-32.
- [23] P. Rabinowitz, On bifurcation from infinity, *J. Differ. Equations*, **14** (1973) 462-475.
- [24] P. Rabinowitz, Some global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **7** (1971) 487-513.
- [25] J. Wang and B. Yan, Global properties and multiple solutions for boundary- value problems of impulsive differential equations, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **171** (2013) 1-14.
- [26] J. Xiao, J.J. Nieto and Z. Luo, Multiplicity of solutions for nonlinear second order impulsive differential equations with linear derivative dependence via variational methods, *Communication in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, **17** (2012) 426-423.
- [27] M. Yao, A. Zhao and J. Yun, Periodic boundary value problems of second order impulsive differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.TMA.*, **70** (2009) 262-273.
- [28] S. Zavalishchin and A. Sesekin, *Dynamic impulse systems: Theory and applications*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers Group 1997.
- [29] D. Zhang, Multiple Solutions of Nonlinear Impulsive Differential Equations with Dirichlet Boundary Conditions via Variational Method, *Results in Mathematics*, **63** (2013) 611-628.