# **Operators Preserving K-g- Frames**

# N.Kiran Kumar $^{1*}$ and G. Upender Reddy $^2$

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Mahatma Gandhi University, Nalgonda, T.S. India. iamkk036@gmail.com<sup>1</sup>, upendermathsmgu@gmail.com<sup>2</sup>

#### **Abstract**

*K*-frames were recently introduced by Găvruţa in Hilbert spaces to study atomic systems with respect to a bounded linear operator. K-g-frames are more general than of g-frames in Hilbert spaces.Results on k-g- frames have been proved through operator- theoretic results of bounded operators.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C15.

**Keywords:** frame, k-frame, g-frame, k-g-frame.

## 1. Introduction

Frames for Hilbert spaces were introduced by R.J. Duffin and A.C. Schaeffer in 1952, while discussing some problems in the theory of non-harmonic Fourier series. Frame theory was developed by Peter G. Casazzaand O.Christensen [6,7].A.Najati and A. Rahimi [1] have developed the generalized frame theory and introduced methods for generating g-frames of a Hilbert space.

The notion of K-frames has been introduced by L.Gavruta [5] to study yhe atomic systems with respect to a bounded linear operator K in Hilbert space H. K-frames are more more general than ordinary frames in the sense that the lower frame bound only holds for the elements in the range of K.Dingli Hua and Yongdong Huang [2] are proposed for construction methods for K-g-frames.Results on K-frames have been proved through operator-theoritic results on quotient of bounded operators by G. Ramu and P.Johnson [4].

In this paper some results on k-g- frames have been proved through operator- theoretic results of bounded operators. Some results on K-g frames are studied by GU Reddy [8] and  $(K_1 \otimes K_2)$ -g frame for the tensor product of Hilbert space  $H_1 \otimes H_2$  is introduced and some results on it are established.

## 2. Notations and Preliminaries

The basics of frame theory and related topics, we refer to the book by Christian [6]. Here we recall a few basic definition and results needed in the sequel[1,5 and 7].

**Definition2.1**: a family  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of vectors in H is called a Bessel sequence if there exists a constant A>0 such that  $\sum_{i \in I} \left|\left\langle f, f_j \right\rangle\right|^2 \leq A \|f\|^2 \ \forall f \in H$ 

**Definition 2.2** A sequence  $\{f_j\}_{j\in J}$  of vectors in a Hilbert space H is called a frame if there exist two constants  $0 < A \le B < \infty$ , such that

$$A \|f\|^2 \le \sum_{j \in J} \left| \left\langle f, f_j \right\rangle \right|^2 \le B \|f\|^2 \ \forall f \in H$$

The above inequality is called a frame inequality. The numbers A and B are called the lower and upper frame bounds respectively. If A=B then  $\left\{f_j\right\}_{j\in J}$  is called tight frame, if A=B=1 then  $\left\{f_j\right\}_{j\in J}$  is called normalized tight frame. A synthesis operator  $T:l_2\to H$  is defined as  $Te_j=f_j$  where  $\{e_j\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $l_2$ . The analysis operator  $T^*:H\to l_2$  is an adjoint of synthesis operator T and is defined as  $T^*f=\sum_{j\in J} \langle f,f_j\rangle e_j \ \forall f\in H$ . A frame

operator 
$$S = TT^* : H \to H$$
 is defined as  $Sf = \sum_{j} \langle f, f_j \rangle f_j \ \forall f \in H$ 

Throughout this paper  $\{H_j, j \in J\}$  will denote a sequence of Hilbert spaces. Let  $L(H, H_j)$  be a collection all bounded linear operators from H to  $H_j$  and  $\{\Lambda_j \in L(H, H_j) : j \in J\}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A sequence of operators  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is said to be g-frame for Hilbert space H with respect to sequence of Hilbert spaces  $\{H_j, j\in J\}$ , if there exist two constants  $0 < A \le B < \infty$ , such that  $A\|f\|^2 \le \sum_{j\in J} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 \le B\|f\|^2 \ \forall f\in H$ .

The above inequality is called a g-frame inequality. The numbers A and B are called the lower frame bound and upper frame bound respectively. A g-frame  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  for H is said to be g-tight frame if A = B and g-normalized tight frame for H if A = B = 1.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  be a g-frame for Hilbert space H. A g-frame operator

S: H 
$$\rightarrow$$
H is defined as  $Sf = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f \ \forall f \in H$ .

By using above definitions, the following theorem on g-frame operator can be derived easily, so left to reader.

**Theorem 2.5.** If S is a g- frame operator, then we have

(i) 
$$<$$
 Sf, f $>$  =  $\sum_{j \in J} ||\Lambda_j f||^2$ , for all f  $\in$  H.

- (ii) S is a positive operator.
- (iii) S is a self-adjoint operator.

**Theorem 2.6.**(Douglas' factorization theorem)[3]. Let H be a Hilbert space and  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- $1. R(A) \subseteq R(B).$
- 2.  $AA^* \le \alpha^2 BB^*$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ .
- 3. A = BX for some  $X \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

**Theorem 2.7**[3].Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- $(i)R(A) \subseteq R(B) + R(C).$ 
  - (ii) $AA^* \le \alpha^2(BB^* + CC^*)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ .
  - (iii)A = BX + CY for some  $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

# 3. Operator frames

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $K \in B(H)$ . A sequence  $\left\{f_j\right\}_{j \in J}$  in Hilbert space H is said to be a K-frame for H if there exist wo constants  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ , such that  $A \|K^*f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in J} \left| < f, f_j > \right|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2$ ,  $\forall f \in H$ .

Where A and B are called lower and upper frame bounds for k-frame respectively. If K=I, then K-frames are just ordinary frames.

**Definition 3.2**: Let  $\{f_j\}_{j\in J}$  is a K- frame for H. Obviously it is a Bessel sequence, so we can define the following operator  $T: l^2 \to H$  by

$$T(c_j) = \sum_{i} c_j f_j \qquad \forall \{c_j\} \in l^2$$

is called Synthesis operator for K- frame $\{f_j\}_{j\in I}$ . Also, we have

 $T^*: H \to l^2$  by  $T^*(f) = \{ \langle f, f_j \rangle \}_{j \in J} \in l^2$  is called Analysis operator for K-frame  $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ .

The frame operator is given by S  $^k$ : H  $\rightarrow$ H is defined as S  $^k$  f =  $\sum_{i \in J} \langle f, f_j \rangle f_j$ , for all f  $\in$  H.

**Definition3.3.** Let  $K \in L(H)$  and  $\Lambda_j \in L(H, H_j)_{j \in J}$ . A sequence of operators  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  is said to be K-g-frame for Hilbert space H with respect to sequence of Hilbert spaces  $\{H_j\}_{j \in J}$  if there exist two constants  $0 \le A \le B \le \infty$ , such that

$$A \|K^* f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 \le B \|f\|^2, \ \forall \ f \in H.$$

The above inequality is called a K-g-frame inequality. The numbers A and B are called the lower and upper frame bounds of K-g-frame respectively. When K=I, K-g-frame is a g-frame.

A k-g- frame is said to be tight if there exist a positive constant A such that

$$\sum_{j \in J} \left\| \Lambda_j f \right\|^2 = A \left\| K^* f \right\|^2, \ \forall \ f \in H.$$

If A=1 then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is said to be parseval tight k-g-frame.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Definition 3.4.} \\ \text{Let } \left\{ \Lambda_{j} \right\}_{j \in J} \text{ be a K-g-frame for H. A synthesis operator } & T: l^{2} \left( \left\{ H_{j} \right\}_{j \in J} \right) \rightarrow H \\ \text{is defined as } & T \left( \left\{ g_{j} \right\}_{j \in J} \right) = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_{j}^{*} g_{j} & \forall \left\{ g_{j} \right\}_{j \in J} \in l^{2} \left( \left\{ H_{j} \right\}_{j \in J} \right). \\ \end{array}$ 

**Definition3.5.** Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  be a K-g-frame for H.The analysis operator  $T^*H\to: l^2(\{H_j\}_{j\in J})$  is the adjoint of synthesis operator T and is defined as  $T^*f = \{\Lambda_j f\}_{j\in J} \ \forall f\in H$ 

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  be a K-g-frame for Hilbert space H. A K- g-frame operator  $S: H \to H$  is defined as  $S f = \sum_{j\in J} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f$ ,  $\forall f \in H$ .

Note that 
$$\langle Sf, f \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} ||\Lambda_j f||^2$$
.

**Proposition 3.7[5]**. Let  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a Bessel sequence in H. Then  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a K-frame for H if and only if there exists constant A > 0 such that  $S \ge AKK^*$ , where S is the frame operator for  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ 

**Theorem 3.8[2 ].** If  $K \in L(H)$  and  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a K-g-frame for Hilbert space H with respect to  $\{H_j\}_{j \in J}$  then  $S \ge AKK^*$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{i\in I}$  is a K-g-frame for H

$$\Rightarrow A \|K^* f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 \le B \|f\|^2, \ \forall \ f \in H.$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad A\langle K^*f, K^*f \rangle \leq \langle Sf, f \rangle \ \forall \ f \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \langle AKK^*f, f \rangle \leq \langle Sf, f \rangle \ \forall \ f \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
  $S \geq AKK^*$ .

# 4. Operators preserving K-g- Frames

Results on K-frames have been proved through operator-theoretic results on quotient of bounded operators by G. Ramu and P.Johnson[4]. In this section theorem 3.4, 3.8 and proposition 3.3 were discussed in [4] are extended to K-g- frames.

**Proposition 4.1:** Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  be a K-g- frame for H. Let  $T\in\mathcal{B}(H)$  with  $R(T)\subseteq R(K)$  then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is a T-g- frame for H.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a K-g-frame for H. Then there exist two positive constants  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that

$$\lambda \|K^* f\|^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 \le \mu \|f\|^2 \ \forall f \in H \quad \dots(1)$$

Since  $R(T) \subset R(K)$ , by Douglas' factorization theorem, there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $TT^* \le \alpha^2 KK^*$ then  $\forall f \in H$ we have

$$< TT^* f, f > \le < \alpha^2 KK^* f, f > \forall f \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow < TT^*f, f > \le \alpha^2 < KK^*f, f > \forall f \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow < T^* f, T^* f > \leq \alpha^2 < K^* f, K^* f >$$

$$\Rightarrow \|T^*f\|^2 \le \alpha^2 \|K^*f\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \|T^* f\|^2 \le \|K^* f\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{\alpha^2} \|T^* f\|^2 \le \lambda \|K^* f\|^2$$
 since  $\lambda > 0$ 

$$\Rightarrow \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\Lambda_{j} f\|^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \leq \mu \|f\|^{2} by (I)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{\alpha^{2}} \|T^{*} f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\Lambda_{j} f\|^{2} \leq \mu \|f\|^{2} \text{ for all } f \in H$$

Hence  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is a T-g- frame for H

**Theorem4.2:** Let  $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be with a dense range. Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a K-g-frame and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  have closed range. If  $\{T\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{T^*\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  are a K-g-frames for H, then  $TT^*$  is invertible.

**Proof**: Suppose  $\{T\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a K-g-frame for H with frame bounds  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ . Then for any  $f \in H$  we have

$$\begin{split} \lambda \left\| \boldsymbol{K}^* \boldsymbol{f} \right\|^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\| T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j \boldsymbol{f} \right\|^2 \leq \mu \| \boldsymbol{f} \|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \lambda \left\| \boldsymbol{K}^* \boldsymbol{f} \right\|^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\langle T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j \boldsymbol{f}, T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j \boldsymbol{f} \right\rangle \leq \mu \| \boldsymbol{f} \|^2 \ \forall \boldsymbol{f} \in \boldsymbol{H} \\ \Rightarrow \lambda \left\| \boldsymbol{K}^* \boldsymbol{f} \right\|^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\langle T^* T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j \boldsymbol{f} \right\rangle \leq \mu \| \boldsymbol{f} \|^2 \ \forall \boldsymbol{f} \in \boldsymbol{H} \quad \dots (1) \end{split}$$

As K is with a dense range,  $K^*$  is injective. Then from (1),  $T^*T$  is injective since  $N(T^*T) \subset N(K^*)$ . Moreover,  $R(TT^*) = N(T^*T)^{\perp} = H$ . Thus  $T^*T$  is surjective.

Suppose  $\{T^*\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a K-g- frame for H with bounds  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then for any  $f \in H$ 

$$\alpha \|K^* f\|^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T^* \Lambda_j f\|^2 \le \beta \|f\|^2 \ \forall f \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \|K^* f\|^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle TT^* \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle \le \beta \|f\|^2 \ \forall f \in H \quad \dots (2)$$

As K has a dense range,  $K^*$  is injective. Then from (2)  $TT^*$  is injective since  $N(TT^*) \subset N(K^*)$ .

 $\Rightarrow T^*T$  is bijective. By bounded inverse theorem  $T^*T$  invertible.

**Theorem 4.3**: Let  $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a K-g-frame for H. And let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be isometry then  $\{T\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a K-g-frame for H.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a K-g- frame for H. then for each  $f \in H$  we have

$$\lambda \|K^*f\|^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 \le \mu \|f\|^2 \dots (1)$$

Consider 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T\Lambda_{j}f\|^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\Lambda_{j}f\|^{2} \quad \text{since T is isometry}$$

$$\geq \lambda \|K^{*}f\|^{2} \dots (2)$$
Consider 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T\Lambda_{j}f\|^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\Lambda_{j}f\|^{2}$$

$$\leq \mu \|f\|^{2} \dots (3)$$

From (2) and (3) we have

$$\lambda \|K^* f\|^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T\Lambda_j f\|^2 \le \mu \|f\|^2 \ f \in H$$

Which shows that  $\left\{ T\Lambda_{j_i} \right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a *K*-g-frame for *H*.

Let  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a K-frame for H with the frame operator S and let A be a positive operator then  $\{f_j + Af_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is K-frame for H have been discussed in [4]. We extend this result to K-g frames in the fallowing Proposition.

**Proposition 4.4:** Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a K-g- frame for H with frame operator S and let A be a positive operator which commutes with  $\Lambda_j$  for every j then

$$\{\Lambda_j + A\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$$
 is a K-g- frame for H.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is K-g-frame for H. then by the theorem 3.8, there exists

 $\alpha > 0$  such that  $S \ge \alpha KK^*$ 

For each  $f \in H$  consider

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\Lambda_j + A\Lambda_j)^* (\Lambda_j + A\Lambda_j) f &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ((I+A)\Lambda_j)^* (I+A)\Lambda_j f \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_j^* (I+A)^* (I+A)\Lambda_j f \\ &= (I+A)^* (I+A) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f \\ &= (I+A)^* (I+A) Sf \quad \text{by the definition of frame operator} \\ &\geq S \\ &\geq \alpha K K^* \end{split}$$

Hence by the theorem 3.7 we can conclude that  $\{\Lambda_j + A\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a K-g- frame for H.

#### CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Research Article

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We declare that this manuscript is original, has not been published before and is not currently being considered for publication elsewhere.

We know of no conflicts of interest associated with this publication, and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome. As a corresponding author I confirm that the manuscript has been read and approved for submission by all the named authors.

### **References:**

- [1] A. Najati, and A. Rahimi, "Generalized frames in Hilbert spaces", Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, Vol. 35, No. 1(2009), pp. 97-109.
- [2] Dingli Hua and YongdongHuang, k-G-Frames and Stability of K-G-Frames in Hilbert Spaces, J.Korean Math, Soc,53(2016), No.6,pp.1331-1345.
- [3]Douglus R.G. On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert Space, Proc, Am. Math.Soc.17, 413-415(1966).
- [4]G. Ramu and P. Sam Johnson, Frame operators of K-frames, SeMA, 2016.
- [5] Gavrutha.L.Frames for operators, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 32 (2012), no. 1, 139–144.
- [6]O. Christensen, An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Birkhauser, Boston Inc , Boston, 2003.
- [7]P.G. Casazza, The art of frame theory, Taiwanese journal of Mathematics, Vol 4, no 2, pp.192-202 (2000).
- [8]Upender Reddy. G, P. Kalyani and D.Swapna, "A Study of K-g- frames in Hilbert Spaces", International review of pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 14, Number 2, July-December 2018.