

An Orthogonal Left Centralizer and Reverse Left Centralizer on Semiprime Γ -Rings

Fawaz Ra'ad Jarullah¹ , Yilmaz Çeven²

¹Department of Mathematics , College of Education , Al-Mustansirya University , Iraq
fawazraad1982@gmail.com

²Suleyman Demirel University, Departments of Mathematics, Isparta , Turkey
yilmazceven@sdu.edu.tr

Abstract:

Let M be a semiprime Γ -ring . In this paper we introduce the concept of orthogonal left centralizer and reverse left centralizer on a semiprime Γ - ring and we prove the following main result:

Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ - ring, t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of M , such that $x\alpha z\beta y = x\beta z\alpha y$, for all $x , y , z \in M$, $\alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$ and t , h are commuting. Then t and h are orthogonal if and only if

$t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma M \Gamma t(y) = (0)$, for all $x , y \in M$.

Key Words : semiprime Γ -ring , left centralizer , reverse left centralizer , orthogonal left centralizer and reverse left centralizer .

Mathematic Subject classification : 16N60 , 16W25 , 42C05, 33C45.

I-Introduction :

In 1964 [6] gave the notion of a Γ -ring . This concept is more general than the concept of a ring. In 1966 [2] generalized this concept . . The definition of prime ring and semiprime Γ -ring was introduced in [5]. The definition of 2-torsion free Γ -ring was introduced in [7]. While [8] introduced the concept of left (resp. right) centralizer and Jordan left (resp. right) centralizer of Γ -rings. The concept of higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer and a Jordan higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer of Γ -ring was introduced by [4] and the one important question can be answered whether there is a relation between a concepts of a higher reverse left(resp. right) centralizer and a Jordan higher reverse left(resp. right) centralizer within certain conditions .

In this paper , we define and study the concept of orthogonal left centralizer and reverse left centralizer of semiprime Γ -ring and we prove some of lemmas and theorems about orthogonally one of these theorems is :

Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ - ring , t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of M , where t and h are commuting .Then the following conditions are equivalent :

- (i) t and h are orthogonal
- (ii) $t(x) \Gamma h(y) = (0)$
- (iii) $h(x) \Gamma t(y) = (0)$
- (iv) $t(x) \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma t(y) = (0)$.

In our work we need the following Lemmas :

Lemma(1.1): [1]

If M is a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring and x , y be elements of M , then the following conditions are equivalent :

- (i) $x\Gamma m\Gamma y = (0)$, for all $m \in M$
- (ii) $y\Gamma m\Gamma x = (0)$, for all $m \in M$
- (iii) $x\Gamma m\Gamma y + y\Gamma m\Gamma x = (0)$, for all $m \in M$

If one of these conditions is fulfilled ,then $x\Gamma y = y\Gamma x = 0$.

Lemma(1.2): [3]

Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring and x , y be elements of M if $x\Gamma m\Gamma y + y\Gamma m\Gamma x = (0)$, for all $m \in M$. Then $x\Gamma m\Gamma y = y\Gamma m\Gamma x = (0)$.

II . Orthogonal Reverse Left (resp.Right) Centralizer on Semiprime

Γ -Rings :

In this section we will introduce the concept of orthogonal left centralizer and a reverse left centralizer on semiprime Γ -rings.

Definition (2.1):

Let t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of a Γ -ring M . Then t and h are called **orthogonal** if $t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) = (0) = h(y) \Gamma M \Gamma t(x)$, for all $x, y \in M$.

Example (2.2):

Let M be a ring of all 2×2 matrices of integer numbers, such that

$$M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix}; x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \text{ and } \Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \text{ Then } M \text{ is a } \Gamma\text{-ring}.$$

Let $t : M \rightarrow M$ be an additive mapping of a Γ -ring M into itself, such that

$$t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \in M$$

$h : M \rightarrow M$ be an additive mapping of a Γ -ring M into itself, such that

$$h \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \in M$$

Then t is a left centralizer and h is a reverse left centralizer. Then t and h are orthogonal of M .

Example (2.3):

Let t is a left centralizer and h is a reverse left centralizer of a Γ -ring M , we put $M^* = M \oplus M = \{(x,y) ; x, y \in M\}$ and $\Gamma^* = \Gamma \oplus \Gamma = \{(\alpha,\beta) ; \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma\}$, we define t^* and h^* on M^* by

$$t^*((x,y)) = (t(x), 0) \text{ and } h^*((x,y)) = (0, h(y)), \text{ for all } (x,y) \in M^*.$$

Then t^* and h^* are orthogonal M^* .

Lemma (2.4):

Let M be a semiprime Γ -ring, suppose that t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of M , satisfy $t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(x) = (0)$, for all $x \in M$. Then $t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) = (0)$, for all $x, y \in M$.

Proof:

Suppose that $t(x) \alpha z \beta h(x) = 0$, for all $x , y , z \in M$ and $\alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$... (1)

Replace x by $x + y$ in (1) , we have that

$$t(x + y) \alpha z \beta h(x + y) = 0$$

$$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(x) + t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) + t(y) \alpha z \beta h(x) + t(y) \alpha z \beta h(y) = 0$$

Therefore , by our assumption and Lemma (1.1) , we get

$$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) = 0 , \text{ for all } x , y , z \in M \text{ and } \alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$$

Thus $t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) = (0)$, for all $x , y \in M$

Lemma (2.5):

Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ - ring, t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of M , such that $x\alpha z\beta y = x\beta z\alpha y$, for all $x , y , z \in M$, $\alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$ and t , h are commuting. Then t and h are orthogonal if and only if

$$t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma M \Gamma t(y) = (0) , \text{ for all } x , y \in M .$$

Proof:

Suppose that t and h are orthogonal

$$T.P. t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma M \Gamma t(y) = 0 , \text{ for all } x , y \in M$$

Since t and h are orthogonal , we have that

$$t(x)\alpha z \beta h(y) = 0 = h(y)\alpha z \beta t(x) , \text{ for all } x , y , z \in M \text{ and } \alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$$

By Lemma (1.1) , we have that

$$t(x) \alpha h(y) = 0 = h(x) \alpha t(y) , \text{ for all } x , y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma$$

$$t(x) \alpha h(y) + h(x) \alpha t(y) = 0 , \text{ for all } x , y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma$$

Left multiply by $z \beta$, we have that

$$z \beta t(x) \alpha h(y) + z \beta h(x) \alpha t(y) = 0 , \text{ for all } x , y , z \in M \text{ and } \alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$$

Since $x\alpha z\beta y = x\beta z\alpha y$, for all $x , y , z \in M$ and $\alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$ and t and h are commuting , we have that :

$$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) + h(x) \alpha z \beta t(y) = 0 , \text{ for all } x , y , z \in M \text{ and } \alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$$

Hence $t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma M \Gamma t(y) = (0)$, for all $x , y \in M$

Conversely , it's clear by using Lemma (1.2)

Theorem (2.6):

Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ - ring , t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of M , where t and h are commuting . Then the following conditions are equivalent :

- (i) t and h are orthogonal
- (ii) $th = 0$
- (iii) $ht = 0$
- (iv) $th + ht = 0$

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)

Suppose that t and h are orthogonal

T.P. $th = 0$

Since t and h are orthogonal , we have that

$$h(y) \alpha z \beta t(x) = 0 , \text{ for all } x , y , z \in M \text{ and } \alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$$

Replace x by $h(y)$, we have that

$$h(y) \alpha z \beta t(h(y)) = 0$$

$$t(h(y) \alpha z \beta t(h(y))) = 0$$

$$t(h(y)) \alpha z \beta t(h(y)) = 0 , \text{ for all } y , z \in M \text{ and } \alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$$

Since M is a semiprime Γ - ring , we have that

$$t(h(y)) = 0 , \text{ for all } y \in M \Rightarrow th = 0$$

Conversely, suppose that $th = 0$

T.P. t and h are orthogonal

$$h(t(x\beta y)) = 0$$

$$h(t(x) \beta y) = 0$$

$$h(y) \beta t(x) = 0$$

Since t and h are commuting , we have that

$$t(x) \beta h(y) = 0$$

Replace x by $x\alpha z$, we have that

$$t(x\alpha z) \beta h(y) = 0$$

$$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) = 0 , \text{ for all } x , y , z \in M \text{ and } \alpha , \beta \in \Gamma \quad \dots(1)$$

Since t and h are commuting , we have that

$$h(y) \alpha z \beta t(x) = 0 , \text{ for all } x , y , z \in M \text{ and } \alpha , \beta \in \Gamma \quad \dots(2)$$

Hence t and h are orthogonal .

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii)

By the same way in (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) , we get (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) .

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (iv)

Suppose that t and h are orthogonal

T.P. $th + ht = 0$

By (ii) and (iii) , we get the require result .

Conversely , suppose that $th + ht = 0$

T.P. t and h are orthogonal

$(th + ht)(y\beta x) = 0$

$t(h(y\beta x)) + h(t(y\beta x)) = 0$

$t(h(x) \beta y) + h(t(y) \beta x) = 0$

$t(h(x)) \beta y + h(x) \beta t(y) = 0$

Replace $t(h(x))$ by $t(x)$, we have that

$t(x) \beta y + h(x) \beta t(y) = 0$

Replace βy by $\beta h(y)$, we have that

$t(x) \beta h(y) + h(x) \beta t(y) = 0$

Left multiply by $z\alpha$, we have that

$z\alpha t(x) \beta h(y) + z\alpha h(x) \beta t(y) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

Since t and h are commuting , we have that

$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) + h(x) \alpha z \beta t(y) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

That is $t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma M \Gamma t(y) = (0)$, for all $x, y \in M$

By Lemma (2.5) , we get the require result .

Theorem(2.7):

Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ - ring , t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of M , where t and h are commuting .Then the following conditions are equivalent :

(i) t and h are orthogonal

(ii) $t(x) \Gamma h(y) = (0)$

(iii) $h(x) \Gamma t(y) = (0)$

(iv) $t(x) \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma t(y) = (0)$

Proof: (i) ⇔ (ii)

Suppose that t and h are orthogonal

T.P. $t(x) \Gamma h(y) = (0)$, for all $x, y \in M$

Since t and h are orthogonal , we have that

$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

By Lemma (1.1) , we have that

$t(x) \alpha h(y) = 0$

Thus , $t(x) \Gamma h(y) = (0)$, for all $x, y \in M$

Conversely, suppose that $t(x) \Gamma h(y) = (0)$, for all $x, y \in M$

T.P t and h are orthogonal

$t(x) \beta h(y) = 0$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$

Replace x by $x\alpha z$, we have that

$t(x\alpha z) \beta h(y) = 0$

$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$... (1)

Since t and h are commuting , we have that

$h(y) \alpha z \beta t(x) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$... (2)

Hence t and h are orthogonal .

Proof: (i) ⇔ (iii)

By the same way in (i) ⇔ (ii) , we get (i) ⇔ (iii) .

Proof: (i) ⇔ (iv)

Suppose that t and h are orthogonal

T.P. $t(x) \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma t(y) = (0)$

By (ii) and (iii) , we get the require result .

Conversely , suppose that $t(x) \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma t(y) = (0)$

T.P t and h are orthogonal

By our assumption , we have that

$t(x) \beta h(y) + h(x) \beta t(y) = 0$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$

Left multiply by $z\alpha$, we have that

$z \alpha t(x) \beta h(y) + z \alpha h(x) \beta t(y) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

Since t and h are commuting , we have that

$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) + h(x) \alpha z \beta t(y) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma M \Gamma t(y) = (0)$, for all $x, y \in M$

By Lemma (2.5) , we get the require result .

Corollary (2.8):

Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ - ring , t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of M , where t and h are commuting . Then the following conditions are equivalent , for all $x \in M$:

- (i) t and h are orthogonal
- (ii) $t(x) \Gamma h(x) = 0$
- (iii) $h(x) \Gamma t(x) = 0$
- (iv) $t(x) \Gamma h(x) + h(x) \Gamma t(x) = 0$

Proof :

Obvious

Lemma(2.9):

Let M be a completely prime Γ - ring , t and h are orthogonal left centralizer and reverse left centralizer resp. of M . Then either $t = 0$ or $h = 0$.

Proof :

Suppose that t and h are orthogonal

T.P. $t = 0$ or $h = 0$

$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

By Lemma (1.1) , we have that

$t(x) \alpha h(y) = 0$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$

Since M is a completely prime Γ - ring , we get either

$t(x) = 0$ or $h(y) = 0$, for all $x, y \in M$

$t = 0$ or $h = 0$

Theorem(2.10):

Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ - ring , t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of M , suppose that $t(x) \alpha t(x) = h(x) \alpha h(x)$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Then $t + h$ and $t - h$ are orthogonal .

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & ((t + h) \alpha (t - h) + (t - h) \alpha (t + h))(x) \\ &= t(x) \alpha t(x) - t(x) \alpha h(x) + h(x) \alpha t(x) - h(x) \alpha h(x) + t(x) \alpha t(x) + t(x) \alpha h(x) - \\ & \quad h(x) \alpha t(x) - h(x) \alpha h(x) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore , $((t + h) \alpha (t - h) + (t - h) \alpha (t + h))(x) = 0$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$

By Corollary (2.8) (iv) \Rightarrow (i) , we get the require result .

References:

- [1] M.Ashraf, and M. R. Jamal, "Orthogonal Derivations in Γ -Rings" Advanced in Algebra, 3(1), 2010 ,pp. 1-6.
- [2] W . E Barnes "On The Γ -Rings of Nobusawa", Pac. J. Math, 8(3) ,1966 , pp. 411-422.
- [3] S.Chakraborty and A.C. Paul, "On Jordan K-Derivations of 2-Torsion Free Prime Γ_N -rings", Punjab University J. of Math. ,Vol.40, 2008 , PP.97-101.
- [4] F.R Jarullah and S.M Salih," A Jordan Higher Reverse Left (resp. right) Centralizer on Prime Γ -Rings", Iraqi Journal of Science , 61(9),2020, PP. 2341-2349 .
- [5] A.H. Majeed and S.A. Hamil," γ - Orthogonal for K- Derivations and K- Reverse Derivations", Journal of physics,1530,2020 p.p.1-6 .
- [6] N. Nobusawa," On a Generalization of the Ring Theory",Osaka Journal Math., 1,1964, p.p.81-89.
- [7] M.A. Ozturk , H. Durna and T.Acet,"A note on Derivations on Prime Gamma Rings with Characteristic 2", Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute ,10(2), 2020, p.p.201-212 .
- [8] R.C.Shaheen,"On Centralizers on Some Gamma Ring",Journal of Al-qadisiyah for Pure Science ,12(2), pp.219-229, 2007.