

## An Orthogonal Left Centralizer and Reverse Left Centralizer on Semiprime $\Gamma$ -Rings

Fawaz Ra'ad Jarullah<sup>1</sup> , Yilmaz Çeven<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics , College of Education , Al-Mustansirya University , Iraq  
[fawazraad1982@gmail.com](mailto:fawazraad1982@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Suleyman Demirel University, Departments of Mathematics, Isparta , Turkey  
[yilmazceven@sdu.edu.tr](mailto:yilmazceven@sdu.edu.tr)

---

### Abstract:

Let  $M$  be a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring . In this paper we introduce the concept of orthogonal left centralizer and reverse left centralizer on a semiprime  $\Gamma$ - ring and we prove the following main result:

Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ - ring,  $t$  be a left centralizer and  $h$  be a reverse left centralizer of  $M$  , such that  $x\alpha z\beta y = x\beta z\alpha y$  , for all  $x , y , z \in M , \alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $t , h$  are commuting. Then  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal if and only if

$t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma M \Gamma t(y) = (0)$  , for all  $x , y \in M$  .

**Key Words :** semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring , left centralizer , reverse left centralizer , orthogonal left centralizer and reverse left centralizer .

**Mathematic Subject classification :** 16N60 , 16W25 , 42C05, 33C45.

---

### I-Introduction :

In 1964 [6] gave the notion of a  $\Gamma$ -ring . This concept is more general than the concept of a ring. In 1966 [2] generalized this concept . . The definition of prime ring and semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring was introduced in [5]. The definition of 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring was introduced in [7]. While [8] introduced the concept of left (resp. right) centralizer and Jordan left (resp. right) centralizer of  $\Gamma$ -rings. The concept of higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer and a Jordan higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer of  $\Gamma$ -ring was introduced by [4] and the one important question can be answered whether there is a relation between a concepts of a higher reverse left(resp. right) centralizer and a Jordan higher reverse left(resp. right) centralizer within certain conditions .

In this paper , we define and study the concept of orthogonal left centralizer and reverse left centralizer of semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring and we prove some of lemmas and theorems about orthogonally one of these theorems is :

Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ - ring ,  $t$  be a left centralizer and  $h$  be a reverse left centralizer of  $M$  , where  $t$  and  $h$  are commuting .Then the following conditions are equivalent :

- (i)  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal
- (ii)  $t(x) \Gamma h(y) = (0)$
- (iii)  $h(x) \Gamma t(y) = (0)$
- (iv)  $t(x) \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma t(y) = (0)$  .

In our work we need the following Lemmas :

**Lemma(1.1):** [1]

If  $M$  is a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $x, y$  be elements of  $M$  , then the following conditions are equivalent :

- (i)  $x\Gamma m\Gamma y = (0)$  , for all  $m \in M$
- (ii)  $y\Gamma m\Gamma x = (0)$  , for all  $m \in M$
- (iii)  $x\Gamma m\Gamma y + y\Gamma m\Gamma x = (0)$  , for all  $m \in M$

If one of these conditions is fulfilled ,then  $x\Gamma y = y\Gamma x = 0$  .

**Lemma(1.2):** [3]

Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $x, y$  be elements of  $M$  if  $x\Gamma m\Gamma y + y\Gamma m\Gamma x = (0)$  , for all  $m \in M$ . Then  $x\Gamma m\Gamma y = y\Gamma m\Gamma x = (0)$  .

## II . Orthogonal Reverse Left (resp.Right) Centralizer on Semiprime

### $\Gamma$ -Rings :

In this section we will introduce the concept of orthogonal left centralizer and a reverse left centralizer on semiprime  $\Gamma$ -rings.

**Definition (2.1):**

Let  $t$  be a left centralizer and  $h$  be a reverse left centralizer of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ . Then  $t$  and  $h$  are called **orthogonal** if  $t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) = (0) = h(y) \Gamma M \Gamma t(x)$ , for all  $x, y \in M$ .

**Example (2.2):**

Let  $M$  be a ring of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices of integer numbers, such that

$$M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix}; x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \text{ and } \Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \text{ Then } M \text{ is a } \Gamma\text{-ring}.$$

Let  $t : M \rightarrow M$  be an additive mapping of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  into itself, such that

$$t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \in M$$

$h : M \rightarrow M$  be an additive mapping of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  into itself, such that

$$h \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \in M$$

Then  $t$  is a left centralizer and  $h$  is a reverse left centralizer. Then  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal of  $M$ .

**Example (2.3):**

Let  $t$  is a left centralizer and  $h$  is a reverse left centralizer of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ , we put  $M^* = M \oplus M = \{(x,y) ; x, y \in M\}$  and  $\Gamma^* = \Gamma \oplus \Gamma = \{(\alpha,\beta) ; \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma\}$ , we define  $t^*$  and  $h^*$  on  $M^*$  by

$$t^*((x,y)) = (t(x), 0) \text{ and } h^*((x,y)) = (0, h(y)), \text{ for all } (x,y) \in M^*.$$

Then  $t^*$  and  $h^*$  are orthogonal  $M^*$ .

**Lemma (2.4):**

Let  $M$  be a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring, suppose that  $t$  be a left centralizer and  $h$  be a reverse left centralizer of  $M$ , satisfy  $t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(x) = (0)$ , for all  $x \in M$ . Then  $t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) = (0)$ , for all  $x, y \in M$ .

**Proof:**

Suppose that  $t(x) \alpha z \beta h(x) = 0$ , for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  ... (1)

Replace  $x$  by  $x + y$  in (1), we have that

$$t(x + y) \alpha z \beta h(x + y) = 0$$

$$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(x) + t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) + t(y) \alpha z \beta h(x) + t(y) \alpha z \beta h(y) = 0$$

Therefore, by our assumption and Lemma (1.1), we get

$$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$$

Thus  $t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) = (0)$ , for all  $x, y \in M$

**Lemma (2.5):**

Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ - ring,  $t$  be a left centralizer and  $h$  be a reverse left centralizer of  $M$ , such that  $x\alpha z\beta y = x\beta z\alpha y$ , for all  $x, y, z \in M$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $t, h$  are commuting. Then  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal if and only if

$$t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma M \Gamma t(y) = (0), \text{ for all } x, y \in M.$$

**Proof:**

Suppose that  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal

$$T.P. t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma M \Gamma t(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in M$$

Since  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal, we have that

$$t(x)\alpha z \beta h(y) = 0 = h(y)\alpha z \beta t(x), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$$

By Lemma (1.1), we have that

$$t(x) \alpha h(y) = 0 = h(x) \alpha t(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma$$

$$t(x) \alpha h(y) + h(x) \alpha t(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma$$

Left multiply by  $z \beta$ , we have that

$$z \beta t(x) \alpha h(y) + z \beta h(x) \alpha t(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$$

Since  $x\alpha z\beta y = x\beta z\alpha y$ , for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $t$  and  $h$  are commuting, we have that :

$$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) + h(x) \alpha z \beta t(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$$

Hence  $t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma M \Gamma t(y) = (0)$ , for all  $x, y \in M$

**Conversely**, it's clear by using Lemma (1.2)

**Theorem (2.6):**

Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ - ring ,  $t$  be a left centralizer and  $h$  be a reverse left centralizer of  $M$  , where  $t$  and  $h$  are commuting . Then the following conditions are equivalent :

- (i)  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal
- (ii)  $th = 0$
- (iii)  $ht = 0$
- (iv)  $th + ht = 0$

**Proof:** (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)

Suppose that  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal

T.P.  $th = 0$

Since  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal , we have that

$$h(y) \alpha z \beta t(x) = 0 , \text{ for all } x , y , z \in M \text{ and } \alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$$

Replace  $x$  by  $h(y)$  , we have that

$$h(y) \alpha z \beta t(h(y)) = 0$$

$$t(h(y) \alpha z \beta t(h(y))) = 0$$

$$t(h(y)) \alpha z \beta t(h(y)) = 0 , \text{ for all } y , z \in M \text{ and } \alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$$

Since  $M$  is a semiprime  $\Gamma$ - ring , we have that

$$t(h(y)) = 0 , \text{ for all } y \in M \Rightarrow th = 0$$

**Conversely**, suppose that  $th = 0$

T.P.  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal

$$h(t(x\beta y)) = 0$$

$$h(t(x) \beta y) = 0$$

$$h(y) \beta t(x) = 0$$

Since  $t$  and  $h$  are commuting , we have that

$$t(x) \beta h(y) = 0$$

Replace  $x$  by  $x\alpha z$  , we have that

$$t(x\alpha z) \beta h(y) = 0$$

$$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) = 0 , \text{ for all } x , y , z \in M \text{ and } \alpha , \beta \in \Gamma \quad \dots(1)$$

Since  $t$  and  $h$  are commuting , we have that

$$h(y) \alpha z \beta t(x) = 0 , \text{ for all } x , y , z \in M \text{ and } \alpha , \beta \in \Gamma \quad \dots(2)$$

Hence  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal .

**Proof:** (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii)

By the same way in (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) , we get (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) .

**Proof:** (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv)

Suppose that  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal

T.P.  $th + ht = 0$

By (ii) and (iii) , we get the require result .

**Conversely** , suppose that  $th + ht = 0$

T.P.  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal

$(th + ht)(y\beta x) = 0$

$t(h(y\beta x)) + h(t(y\beta x)) = 0$

$t(h(x) \beta y) + h(t(y) \beta x) = 0$

$t(h(x)) \beta y + h(x) \beta t(y) = 0$

Replace  $t(h(x))$  by  $t(x)$  , we have that

$t(x) \beta y + h(x) \beta t(y) = 0$

Replace  $\beta y$  by  $\beta h(y)$  , we have that

$t(x) \beta h(y) + h(x) \beta t(y) = 0$

Left multiply by  $z\alpha$  , we have that

$z\alpha t(x) \beta h(y) + z\alpha h(x) \beta t(y) = 0$  , for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

Since  $t$  and  $h$  are commuting , we have that

$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) + h(x) \alpha z \beta t(y) = 0$  , for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

That is  $t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma M \Gamma t(y) = (0)$  , for all  $x, y \in M$

By Lemma (2.5) , we get the require result .

**Theorem(2.7):**

Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ - ring ,  $t$  be a left centralizer and  $h$  be a reverse left centralizer of  $M$  , where  $t$  and  $h$  are commuting .Then the following conditions are equivalent :

(i)  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal

(ii)  $t(x) \Gamma h(y) = (0)$

(iii)  $h(x) \Gamma t(y) = (0)$

(iv)  $t(x) \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma t(y) = (0)$

**Proof: (i) ⇔ (ii)**

Suppose that t and h are orthogonal

T.P.  $t(x) \Gamma h(y) = (0)$  , for all  $x, y \in M$

Since t and h are orthogonal , we have that

$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) = 0$  , for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

By Lemma (1.1) , we have that

$t(x) \alpha h(y) = 0$

Thus ,  $t(x) \Gamma h(y) = (0)$ , for all  $x, y \in M$

**Conversely**, suppose that  $t(x) \Gamma h(y) = (0)$ , for all  $x, y \in M$

T.P t and h are orthogonal

$t(x) \beta h(y) = 0$  , for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$

Replace x by  $x\alpha z$  , we have that

$t(x\alpha z) \beta h(y) = 0$

$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) = 0$  , for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  ... (1)

Since t and h are commuting , we have that

$h(y) \alpha z \beta t(x) = 0$  , for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  ... (2)

Hence t and h are orthogonal .

**Proof: (i) ⇔ (iii)**

By the same way in (i) ⇔ (ii) , we get (i) ⇔ (iii) .

**Proof: (i) ⇔ (iv)**

Suppose that t and h are orthogonal

T.P.  $t(x) \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma t(y) = (0)$

By (ii) and (iii) , we get the require result .

**Conversely** , suppose that  $t(x) \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma t(y) = (0)$

T.P t and h are orthogonal

By our assumption , we have that

$t(x) \beta h(y) + h(x) \beta t(y) = 0$  , for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$

Left multiply by  $z\alpha$  , we have that

$z \alpha t(x) \beta h(y) + z \alpha h(x) \beta t(y) = 0$  , for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

Since t and h are commuting , we have that

$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) + h(x) \alpha z \beta t(y) = 0$  , for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$t(x) \Gamma M \Gamma h(y) + h(x) \Gamma M \Gamma t(y) = (0)$  , for all  $x, y \in M$

By Lemma (2.5) , we get the require result .

**Corollary (2.8):**

Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ - ring ,  $t$  be a left centralizer and  $h$  be a reverse left centralizer of  $M$  , where  $t$  and  $h$  are commuting . Then the following conditions are equivalent , for all  $x \in M$  :

- (i)  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal
- (ii)  $t(x) \Gamma h(x) = 0$
- (iii)  $h(x) \Gamma t(x) = 0$
- (iv)  $t(x) \Gamma h(x) + h(x) \Gamma t(x) = 0$

**Proof :**

Obvious

**Lemma(2.9):**

Let  $M$  be a completely prime  $\Gamma$ - ring ,  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal left centralizer and reverse left centralizer resp. of  $M$  . Then either  $t = 0$  or  $h = 0$  .

**Proof :**

Suppose that  $t$  and  $h$  are orthogonal

T.P.  $t = 0$  or  $h = 0$

$t(x) \alpha z \beta h(y) = 0$  , for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

By Lemma (1.1) , we have that

$t(x) \alpha h(y) = 0$  , for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$

Since  $M$  is a completely prime  $\Gamma$ - ring , we get either

$t(x) = 0$  or  $h(y) = 0$  , for all  $x, y \in M$

$t = 0$  or  $h = 0$

**Theorem(2.10):**

Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ - ring ,  $t$  be a left centralizer and  $h$  be a reverse left centralizer of  $M$  , suppose that  $t(x) \alpha t(x) = h(x) \alpha h(x)$  , for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  .



Then  $t + h$  and  $t - h$  are orthogonal .

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} & ((t + h) \alpha (t - h) + (t - h) \alpha (t + h))(x) \\ &= t(x) \alpha t(x) - t(x) \alpha h(x) + h(x) \alpha t(x) - h(x) \alpha h(x) + t(x) \alpha t(x) + t(x) \alpha h(x) - \\ & \quad h(x) \alpha t(x) - h(x) \alpha h(x) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ,  $((t + h) \alpha (t - h) + (t - h) \alpha (t + h))(x) = 0$  , for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$

By Corollary (2.8) (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) , we get the require result .

**References:**

- [1] M.Ashraf, and M. R. Jamal, "Orthogonal Derivations in  $\Gamma$ -Rings" Advanced in Algebra, 3(1), 2010 ,pp. 1-6.
- [2] W . E Barnes "On The  $\Gamma$ -Rings of Nobusawa", Pac. J. Math, 8(3) ,1966 , pp. 411-422.
- [3] S.Chakraborty and A.C. Paul, "On Jordan K-Derivations of 2-Torsion Free Prime  $\Gamma_N$  -rings", Punjab University J. of Math. ,Vol.40, 2008 , PP.97-101.
- [4] F.R Jarullah and S.M Salih," A Jordan Higher Reverse Left (resp. right) Centralizer on Prime  $\Gamma$ -Rings", Iraqi Journal of Science , 61(9),2020, PP. 2341-2349 .
- [5] A.H. Majeed and S.A. Hamil,"  $\gamma$ - Orthogonal for K- Derivations and K- Reverse Derivations", Journal of physics,1530,2020 p.p.1-6 .
- [6] N. Nobusawa," On a Generalization of the Ring Theory",Osaka Journal Math., 1,1964, p.p.81-89.
- [7] M.A. Ozturk , H. Durna and T.Acet,"A note on Derivations on Prime Gamma Rings with Characteristic 2", Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute ,10(2), 2020, p.p.201-212 .
- [8] R.C.Shaheen,"On Centralizers on Some Gamma Ring",Journal of Al-qadisiyah for Pure Science ,12(2), pp.219-229, 2007.