

Common Fixed Point of Mappings Satisfying Rational Inequalities in Complete Complex Valued Generalized Metric Spaces

Rakesh Kumar Jaiswal and Dr. Rakesh Kumat Tripathi

Department of Mathematics, Dr. A. P. J. Abdul Kalam University, Indore (M.P.) India
 rakeshjaiswal210@gmail.com anddrakeshtrpathi@gmail.com

Abstract :

In this paper Some common fixed point theorems involving rational inequalities have been proved and some consequences obtained in Complete Complex Valued Generalized Metric Spaces. Also we have extended this work periodic point property of common fixed point problem for two rational type contractive mappings .

Keywords: Weakly increasing map, Common fixed point, complex valued generalized metric spaces, Partially ordered set.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries:

In 1922 , the polish mathematician Stefan Banach established a remarkable fixed point theorem known as the "Banach Contraction Principle " (BCP) which is one of the most important results of analysis and considered as the main source of metric fixed point theory . [8]

His valuable work has been elaborated via generalizing the metric conditions or by imposing conditions on the metric spaces. As a consequence of those generalizations so many metric spaces were introduced namely uniformly convex Banach spaces, strictly convex Banach spaces, cone metric spaces, pseudo metric spaces, B-metric spaces, fuzzy metric spaces etc. This paper is to introduce the concept of a complex valued generalized metric space and to study the fixed and common fixed point results for two mappings satisfying rational inequalities. The results presented in this paper substantially extend and strengthen the results given in Azam et al. [4] and Rouzkard et al. [12].

The following definitions [1-3] and results [12] will be needed in the sequel.

Let C be the set of complex numbers and let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \leq on \mathbb{C} as follows : $z_1 \leq z_2$ if and only if $\text{Re}(z_1) \leq \text{Re}(z_2), \text{Im}(z_1) \leq \text{Im}(z_2)$.

It follows that $z_1 \leq z_2$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1) $\text{Re}(z_1) = \text{Re}(z_2), \text{Im}(z_1) < \text{Im}(z_2)$,
- (2) $\text{Re}(z_1) < \text{Re}(z_2), \text{Im}(z_1) = \text{Im}(z_2)$,
- (3) $\text{Re}(z_1) < \text{Re}(z_2), \text{Im}(z_1) < \text{Im}(z_2)$,
- (4) $\text{Re}(z_1) = \text{Re}(z_2), \text{Im}(z_1) = \text{Im}(z_2)$.

In particular we will write $z_1 \leq z_2$ and $z_1 \neq z_2$.

If $z_1 \neq z_2$ one of (1), (2) and (3) is satisfied and we will write $z_1 < z_2$ if only (3) is satisfied.

Some elementary properties of the partial order \leq on \mathbb{C} are the following:

- (i) If $0 \leq z_1 < z_2$, then $|z_1| \leq |z_2|$.
- (ii) $z_1 \leq z_2$ is equivalent to $z_1 - z_2 \leq 0$.

- (iii) If $z_1 \leq z_2$ and $r \geq 0$ is a real number, then $r z_1 \leq r z_2$.
- (iv) If $0 \leq z_1$ and $0 \leq z_2$ with $z_1 + z_2 \neq 0$, then $\frac{z_1^2}{z_1 + z_2} \leq z_1$.
- (v) $0 \leq z_1$ and $0 \leq z_2$ do not imply $0 \leq z_1 z_2$.
- (vi) $0 \leq z_1$ does not imply $0 \leq \frac{1}{z_1}$. Moreover, if $0 \leq z_1$ and $0 \leq \frac{1}{z_1}$, then $\text{Im}(z_1) = 0$.

Now we give the definition of complex valued generalized metric space.

Definition 1.1 [9] Let X be a non-empty set. If a mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies:

- (a) $0 \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (b) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (c) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, u) + d(u, v) + d(u, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all distinct $u, v \in X$ each one is different from x and y .

Then d is called a complex valued generalized metric on X and (X, d) is called a complex valued generalized metric space.

Example Let $\{(X_n, d_n): n \in K \subset \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of disjoint complex valued generalized metric spaces and let $X = \cup\{X_n: n \in K\}$. Define, for all $x, y \in X$, a mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} d_n(x, y), & \text{if } x, y \in X_n \text{ for some } n \in K \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in X_n, y \in X_m \text{ for some } m, n \in K, m \neq n. \end{cases}$$

Clearly (X, d) is a complex valued generalized metric space.

Lemma 1.2[4]. Let (X, d) be complex valued generalized metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 1.3[4]. Let (X, d) be a complex valued generalized metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_m)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The following definition is due to Altun ([3]).

Definition 1.8[3]. Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set. A pair (f, g) of self-map of X is said to be weakly increasing if $fx \leq gfx$ and $gx \leq fgx$ for all $x \in X$. If $f = g$, then we have $fx \leq f^2x$ for all x in X and in this case, we say that f is a weakly increasing map.

2 Main Results:

Theorem. Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set such that there exists a complete complex valued generalized metric d on X and (S, T) a pair of weakly increasing self – maps on X . Suppose that for every comparable $x, y \in X$ we have either

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq a_1 \frac{[d(y, Sx)d(x, Ty)^2 + d(x, Ty)d(y, Sx)^2]}{d(x, Ty)^2 + d(y, Sx)^2} + a_2 \frac{d(x, Ty)d(y, Sx)}{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx)} + a_3 \frac{d(x, Ty)^2 + d(y, Sx)^2}{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx)} + a_4 d(x, Sx) + a_5 d(y, Ty) + a_6 d(x, y) \tag{1}$$

In case $d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx) \neq 0$ $a_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1$ to 6 and $\sum_{i=1}^6 a_i < 1$ or

$$d(Sx, Ty) = 0 \text{ if } d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx) = 0. \tag{2}$$

If S or T is continuous or for any non-decreasing sequence x_n with $x_n \rightarrow z$ in X we necessarily have $x_n \leq z$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then S and T have a common fixed point. Moreover the set of common fixed points of S and T is totally ordered iff S and T have one and only one common fixed point.

Proof: First we shall show that if S or T has a fixed point, then it is a common fixed point of S and T . Let u be a fixed point of S . Then from (1) with $x = y = u$ we have for $u \neq Tu$.

$$\begin{aligned} & d(u, Tu) = d(Su, Tu) \\ & \leq a_1 \frac{[d(u, Su)\{d(u, Tu)\}^2 + d(u, Tu)\{d(u, Su)\}^2]}{\{d(u, Tu)\}^2 + \{d(u, Su)\}^2} \\ & \quad + a_2 \frac{d(u, Tu)d(u, Su)}{d(u, Tu) + d(u, Su)} + a_3 \frac{d\{(u, Tu)\}^2 + d\{(u, Su)\}^2}{d(u, Tu) + d(u, Su)} \\ & \quad + a_4 d(u, Su) + a_5 d(u, Tu) + a_6 d(u, u) \\ & \leq \frac{a_1 [d(u, u)\{d(u, Tu)\}^2 + d(u, Tu)\{d(u, u)\}^2]}{\{d(u, Tu)\}^2 + \{d(u, u)\}^2} \\ & \quad + a_2 \frac{d(u, Tu) d(u, u)}{d(u, Tu) + d(u, u)} + a_3 \frac{d\{(u, Tu)\}^2 + d\{(u, u)\}^2}{d(u, Tu) + d(u, u)} + a_4 d(u, u) + a_5 d(u, u) + 0 \\ & \leq a_1 \cdot 0 + a_2 \cdot 0 + a_3 d(u, Tu) + a_4 \cdot 0 + a_5 d(u, Tu) \\ & \quad d(u, Tu) \leq (a_3 + a_5) d(u, Tu) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $|d(u, Tu)| \leq (a_3 + a_5) |d(u, Tu)|$

As $a_3 + a_5 < 1$ so we have $d(u, Tu) = 0$ and u is a common fixed point of S and T . Similarly if u is a fixed point of T , then it is also fixed point of S .

Now let x_0 be an arbitrary point on X . If $Sx_0 = x_0$ then the proof is finished. Assume that $Sx_0 \neq x_0$. Construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X as follows:

$$x_1 = Sx_0 \leq TSx_0 = Tx_1 = x_2 \text{ and}$$

$$x_2 = Tx_1 \leq STx_1 = Sx_2 = x_3.$$

Continuing this way we have $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq x_{n+1} \leq \dots$. Assume that $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) > 0$ for every $n \in N$. If not, then $x_{2n} = x_{2n+1}$ for some n . For all those n , $x_{2n} = x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}$ and the proof is finished. Assume that $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) > 0$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots$. As x_{2n} and x_{2n+1} are comparable, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ & \leq a_1 \frac{[d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})\{d(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})\}^2 + d(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})\{d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})\}^2]}{\{d(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})\}^2 + \{d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})\}^2} \\ & \quad + a_2 \frac{d(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})}{d(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})} \\ & \quad + a_3 \frac{[\{d(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})\}^2 + \{d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})\}^2]}{d(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})} \\ & + a_4 d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + a_5 d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + a_6 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ & = a_1 \frac{[d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})\{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})\}^2 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})\{d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})\}^2]}{\{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})\}^2 + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})} \\ & \quad + a_2 \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})}{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})} \\ & \quad + a_3 \frac{[\{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})\}^2 + \{d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})\}^2]}{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})} + a_4 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ & \quad + a_5 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + a_6 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ & = a_3 d\{(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + a_4 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + a_5 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + a_6 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ & \quad d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})(1 - a_3 - a_5) = (a_3 + a_4 + a_6)d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ & \quad d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \frac{(a_3 + a_4 + a_6)}{(1 - a_3 - a_5)} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq kd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $0 \leq k = \frac{a_3 + a_4 + a_6}{1 - a_3 - a_5} < 1$.

1. Similarly $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq kd(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$ for all $n \geq 0$. Hence for all $n \geq 0$. We have

$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq kd(x_n, x_{n+1})$. Consequently,

$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq kd(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \dots \leq k^{n+1}d(x_0, x_1)$ for all $n \geq 0$. Now for $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) & \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ & \leq k^n d(x_0, x_1) + k^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1) + \dots + k^{m-1} d(x_0, x_1) \\ & \leq \frac{k^n}{1 - k} d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $d(x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{k^n}{1 - k} |d(x_0, x_1)|$. So $|d(x_n, x_m)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ gives that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point u in X .

If S or T is continuous, then it is clear that $Su = u = Tu$.

If neither S nor T is continuous, then by given assumption $x_n \leq u$ for all $n \in N$. We claim that u is a fixed point of S . If not then $d(u, Su) = z > 0$ from (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 z &\leq d(u, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, Su) \\
 &= d(u, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(Su, Tx_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq d(u, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \frac{a_1[d(x_{n+1}, Su)\{d(u, Tx_{n+1})\}^2 + d(u, Tx_{n+1})\{d(x_{n+1}, Su)\}^2]}{\{d(u, Tx_{n+1})\}^2 + \{d(x_{n+1}, Su)\}^2} \\
 &\quad + \frac{a_2 d(u, Tx_{n+1})d(x_{n+1}, Su)}{d(u, Tx_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Su)} + a_3 \frac{\{d(u, Tx_{n+1})\}^2 + \{d(x_{n+1}, Su)\}^2}{d(u, Tx_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Su)} \\
 &\quad + a_4 d(u, Su) + a_5 d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) + a_6 d(u, x_{n+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

And so $|z| \leq d(u, x_{n+1}) + |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + a_1 \frac{[|d(x_{n+1}, Su)|\{|d(u, Tx_{n+1})|^2\} + |d(u, Tx_{n+1})|\{|d(x_{n+1}, Su)\}^2]}{\{|d(u, Tx_{n+1})|^2\} + \{|d(x_{n+1}, Su)\}^2}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ a_2 \frac{|d(u, Tx_{n+1})| |d(x_{n+1}, Su)|}{|d(u, Tx_{n+1})| + |d(x_{n+1}, Su)|} + a_3 \frac{\{|d(u, Tx_{n+1})|^2\} + \{|d(x_{n+1}, Su)\}^2}{|d(u, Tx_{n+1})| + |d(x_{n+1}, Su)|} \\
 &\quad + a_4 |d(u, Su)| + a_5 |d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})| + a_6 |d(u, x_{n+1})|
 \end{aligned}$$

which on taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ given $|z| < a_4 |z|$ a contradiction and $Sou = Su$. Therefore $Su = u = Su$. Now suppose that set of common fixed points of S and T is totally ordered. We prove that common fixed point of S and T. By supposition, we can replace x by p and y by q in (1) to obtain.

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(p, q) &= d(Sp, Tq) \\
 &\leq a_1 \frac{[\{d(q, Sp)\}\{d(p, Tq)\}^2 + d(p, Tq)\{d(q, Sp)\}^2]}{\{d(p, Tq)\}^2 + \{d(q, Sp)\}^2} + a_2 \frac{d(p, Tq)d(q, Sp)}{d(p, Tq) + d(q, Sp)} \\
 &\quad + a_3 \frac{d\{(p, Tq)\}^2 + d(q, Sp)\}^2}{d(p, Tq) + d(q, Sp)} + a_4 d(p, Sp) + a_5 d(q, Tq) + a_6 d(p, q) \\
 &= a_1 \frac{[\{d(q, p)\}\{d(p, q)\}^2 + d(p, q)\{d(q, p)\}^2]}{\{d(p, q)\}^2 + \{d(p, q)\}^2} + a_2 \frac{d(p, q)d(q, p)}{d(p, q) + d(q, p)} + a_3 \frac{d(p, q)^2 + d(q, p)^2}{d(p, q) + d(p, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_4 d(p, p) + a_5 d(q, q) + a_6 d(p, q) \\
 &= a_1 \left[\frac{2d(p, q)}{2d(p, q)^2} \right] + a_2 \left[\frac{d(p, q) * d(p, q)}{2d(p, q)} \right] + a_3 \left[\frac{2d(p, q)}{2d(p, q)} \right] + 0 + 0 + a_6 d(p, q) \\
 &= a_1 d(p, q) + \frac{a}{2} d(p, q) + a_3 d(p, q) + a_6 d(p, q) \\
 &= \left(a_1 + \frac{a}{2} + a_3 + a_6 \right) d(p, q)
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $|d(p, q)| \leq \left(a_1 + \frac{a}{2} + a_3 + a_6 \right) |d(p, q)|$ a contradiction. Hence $p = q$.

Conversely if S and T have only one common fixed point then the set of common fixed point of S and T being singleton is totally ordered.

Although we studied a common fixed point problem for two mapping to consider a more general result, we could use even one and yet the result would have been new. In theorem (1) take S=T, to obtain the following corollary.

Corollary. Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set such that there exists a complete complex valued generalized metric d on X and let T be a weakly increasing self – map on X . Suppose that for every comparable $x, y \in X$, either

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq a_1 \frac{[d(y, Tx) \{d(x, Ty)\}^2 + d(x, Ty) \{d(y, Tx)\}^2]}{\{d(x, Ty)\}^2 + \{d(y, Tx)\}^2} + a_2 \frac{d(x, Ty)d(y, Tx)}{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)} + a_3 \frac{\{d(x, Ty)\}^2 + d\{y, Tx\}^2}{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)} + a_4(x, Tx) + a_5d(y, Ty) + a_6(x, y)$$

If $d(x, Ty) + d(x, Tx) \neq 0$, $a_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1$ to 6 and $\sum_{i=1}^6 a_i < 1$ or

$d(Tx, Ty) = 0$ if $d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx) = 0$ if T is continuous or for a non decreasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n \rightarrow Z$ in X we necessarily have $x_n \leq Z$ for all $n \in N$ then T has a fixed point.

Conclusion : The concept of a complex valued generalized metric space and study the fixed point theorem and in the current work we obtain common fixed point for two mappings satisfying with rational inequalities , without exploiting any type of commutativity condition.

References :

1. Abbas, M., Nazir, T., Radenovi´c, S.: Common fixed points of four maps in partially ordered metric spaces. Appl. Math. Lett. 24, 1520–1526 (2011)
2. I. Altun and H. Simsek, Some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and application, Fixed Point Theory Appl., vol. 2010, Article ID 621492.S. Radenovi´c et al.
3. Azam, A., Arshad, M.: Kannan fixed point theorem on generalized metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 1, 45–48 (2008)
4. Azam, A., Fisher, B., Khan, M.: Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 32(3), 243–253 (2011)
5. ´Ciri´c, L., Abbas, M., Saadati, R., Hussain, N.: Common fixed points of almost generalized contractive mappings in ordered metric spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 217, 5784–5789 (2011)
6. Harjani, J., Sadarangani, K.: Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets. Nonlinear Anal. 71, 3403–3410 (2009)
7. Klin-eam, C., Suanoom, C.: Some common fixed point theorems for generalized contractive type mappings on complex valued metric spaces. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2013 (2013). doi:10.1155/2013/604215
8. Abdul Latif : Banach Contraction Principle and its Generalizations . Springer International publishing (2014) .
9. Mujahind Abbas, Vesna Cojbasic Rajic, Talat Nazir, Stojan Radenovic: Common fixed point of mappings satisfying inequalities in ordered complex valued generalized metric spaces. Afr. mat. (2015) 26:17-30. DOI 10.1007/s13370-013-0185z.
11. Radenovi´c, S., Kadelburg, Z.: Generalized weak contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 60, 1776–1783 (2010)
12. Rouzkard, F., Imbdad, M.: Some common fixed point theorems on complex valued metric spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. (2012). doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2012.02.063