An Orthogonal Left Centralizer and Reverse Left Centralizer on Semiprime Rings

Fawaz Ra'ad Jarullah

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Al-Mustansirya University, Iraq <u>fawazraad1982@gmail.com</u>

Abstract:

Let R be a semiprime ring. Then we prove the following main result:

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of R, suppose that $t^2 = h^2$. Then t + h and t - h are orthogonal.

Key Words: semiprime ring , left centralizer , reverse left centralizer, orthogonal left centralizer and reverse left centralizer .

Mathematic Subject classification : 16 N 60, 42C05, 33C45.

1-Introduction :

A ring R is called semiprime if xRx = (0) implies = 0, such that $x \in R$ [3]. Let R be a ring then R is called 2-torsion free if 2x = 0 implies x = 0, for all $x \in R$ [3]. A left (resp. right) centralizer of a ring R is an additive mapping t: $R \longrightarrow R$ which satisfies the equation : t (xy) = t(x) y (resp.t (xy) = x t (y)), for all $x , y \in R$. t is called a centralizer of R if it is both a left and a right centralizer [4]. A left (resp. right) Jordan centralizer of a ring R is an additive mapping t: $R \longrightarrow R$ which satisfies the equation t (x^2) = t(x) x (resp. t (x^2) = x t(x)), for all $x \in R$ t is called a Jordan centralizer of R if it is both a left and a right Jordan centralizer [4]. Also , Jarullah and Salih introduced the concepts of a higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer and a Jordan higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer on rings as follows : Let t = (t_i) $_{i \in N}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into itself .Then t is called a higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer of R if for all $x, y \in R$ and $n \in N$

$$t_n(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(y) t_{i-1}(x) (resp. t_n(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(y) t_i(x)) \quad [3] .$$

Let $t = (t_i)_{i \in N}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into itself. Then t is called a Jordan higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer of R, if the following equation holds, for all $x \in R$ and $n \in N$: $t_n(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x) t_{i-1}(x) (resp. t_n(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) t_i(x))$ [3].

In this paper, we define and study the concept of orthogonal when t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of semiprime ring and we prove some of lemmas and theorems about orthogonally one of these theorems is :

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring , t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of R , such that t and h are commuting .Then t and h are orthogonal if and only if t(x) h(y) + h(x) t(y) = 0, for all x, $y \in R$.

In our work we need the following Lemmas :

Lemma (1.1): [2]

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and x, y be elements of R, then the following conditions are equivalent :

(i) xry = 0, for all $r \in R$ (ii) yrx = 0, for all $r \in R$ (iii) xry + yrx = 0, for all $r \in R$ If one of these conditions is fulfilled ,then xy = yx = 0. Lemma (1.2): [1]

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and x , y be elements of R if xry + yrx = 0, for all $r \in R$, then xry = yrx = 0.

2-Orthogonal Left Centralizer and Reverse Left Centralizer on

Semiprime Rings :

In this section we will introduce the definition of orthogonal when t be a left centralizer and h a reverse left centralizer of semiprime rings.

Definition (2.1):

Let t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of a ring R. Then t and h are called **orthogonal** if

 $t(x) \mathrel{R} h(y) = (0) = h(y) \mathrel{R} t(x)$, for all x , $y \in R$.

Example (2.2):

Let R be a ring of all 2×2 matrices of integer numbers, such that

$$\mathbf{R} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix}; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$$

Let $t : R \to R$ be an additive mapping of a ring R into itself, such that

$$t \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}$$

And $h: R \to R$ be an additive mapping of a ring R into itself, such that

$$h\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}$$

t is a left centralizer and h is a reverse left centralizer . Then t and h are orthogonal of R.

Example (2.3):

Let t is a left centralizer and h is a reverse left centralizer of a ring R , let S be a ring , such that $S = R \oplus R = \{(x,y) ; x , y \in R\}$, we define t^* and h^* on S by $t^*((x,y)) = (t(x), 0)$ and $h^*((x,y)) = (0, h(y))$, for all $(x,y) \in S$.

Then t^* and h^* are orthogonal of S.

Lemma (2.4):

Let R be a semiprime ring, suppose that t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of R, satisfy t(x) R h(x) = (0), for all $x \in R$. Then t(x) R h(y) = (0), for all x, $y \in R$.

Proof :

Suppose that t(x) z h(x) = 0, for all x, y, $z \in R$...(1) Replace x by x + y in (1), we have that t(x + y) z h(x + y) = 0t(x) z h(x) + t(x) z h(y) + t(y) z h(x) + t(y) z h(y) = 0 Therefore, by our assumption and Lemma (1.1), we get

 $t(x) \ z \ h(x) = 0$, for all x, y, $z \in R$

Thus, t(x) R h(y) = (0), for all $x, y \in R$

Lemma (2.5):

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring , t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of R , such that t and h are commuting .Then t and h are orthogonal if and only if t(x) h(y) + h(x) t(y) = 0, for all x, $y \in R$.

Proof :

Suppose that t and h are orthogonal T.P. t(x) h(y) + h(x) t(y) = 0, for all x, $y \in R$ Since t and h are orthogonal, we have that t(x) z h(y) = 0 = h(x) z t(y), for all x, y, $z \in R$ Therefore, by Lemma (1.1), we get the require result . **Conversely**, Suppose that t(x) h(y) + h(x) t(y) = 0, for all x, $y \in R$ T.P. t and h are orthogonal By our assumption, we have that t(x) h(y) + h(x) t(y) = 0, for all x, $y \in R$ Left multiply by z, we have that z t(x) h(y) + z h(x) t(y) = 0Since t and h are commuting, we have that t(x) z h(y) + h(x) z t(y) = 0, for all $z \in R$ By Lemma (1.2), we have that Thus, t(x) z h(y) = 0 = h(x) z t(y), for all x, $y, z \in R$

Hence, t and h are orthogonal

<u>Theorem (2.6):</u>

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring , t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of R , where t and h are commuting . Then the following conditions are equivalent :

(i) t and h are orthogonal(ii) th = 0

Vol.13 No.02 (2022), 625-630 Research Article

(**iii**) ht = 0

(iv) th + ht = 0

<u>*Proof*</u> : (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) Suppose that t and h are orthogonal $t(x) \ge h(y) = 0 = h(y) \ge t(x)$, for all x, y, $z \in R$ T.P. th = 0By our assumption, we have that h(y) z t(x) = 0Replace x by h(y), we have that h(y) z t(h(y)) = 0t((h(y)) z t(h(y)) = 0 $t(h(y)) \ge t((h(y)) = 0$ Since R is a semiprime ring , we have that t(h(y)) = 0, for all $y \in R \implies th = 0$ **Conversely**, suppose that th = 0T.P. t and h are orthogonal h(t(xy)) = 0h(t(x) y) = 0h(y) t(x) = 0, for all x, $y \in R$ Since t and h are commuting, we have t(x) h(y) = 0, for all x, $y \in R$ Replace x by xz, we have that t(xz) h(y) = 0t(x) z h(y) = 0, for all x, y, $z \in R$...(1) Since t and h are commuting, we have h(y) z t(x) = 0, for all x, $y \in R$...(2) Hence t and h are orthogonal

<u>Proof</u>: (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii)

By the same way in (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) , we get (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) .

$$\underline{Proof:}(\mathbf{i}) \Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{iv})$$

Suppose that t and h are orthogonal

T.P. th + ht = 0

By (ii) and (iii), we get the require result **Conversely**, suppose that th + ht = 0T.P. t and h are orthogonal (th + ht) (yx) = 0 t(h(yx)) + h (t(yx)) = 0 t(h(x) y) + h (t(y)x) = 0 t(h(x)) y + h(x) t(y) = 0, for all x, $y \in R$. Replace t(h(x)) by t(x) h(y), we have that t(x) h(y) + h(x) t(y) = 0, for all x, $y \in R$ By Lemma (2.5), we get the require result.

<u>Theorem(2.7):</u>

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring , t be a left centralizer and h be a reverse left centralizer of R , suppose that $t^2 = h^2$. Then t + h and t – h are orthogonal.

Proof :

$$((t + h) (t - h) + (t - h) (t + h))(x)$$

= $(t (x) + h(x)) (t (x) - h(x)) + (t (x) - h(x)) (t (x) + h(x))$
= $t^{2}(x) - t(x) h(x) + h(x)t(x) - h^{2}(x) + t^{2}(x) + t(x) h(x) - h(x)t(x) - h^{2}(x)$
= 0

Therefore, ((t + h) (t - h) + (t - h) (t + h))(x) = 0By Theorem (2.6) (iv) \Rightarrow (i), we get the require result

References

[1] Bresar . M ,"Jordan Derivations on Semiprime Rings", Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society,104(4), p.p.1003-1006, 1988.

[2] Breser .M and Vukman .J, "Orthogonal Derivations and on Extension of a Theorem of Posner ", Radovi Mathematick ,5, p.p.237-246,1989.

[3] F.R.Jarullah and S.M. Salih,"A Jordan Higher Reverse Left(resp. Right) Centralizer on Prime Rings", Academic Journal for engineering and science,3(4),p.p.12-18, 2021.

[4] B.Zalar, "On Centralizers of Semiprime Ring", Comment. Math.Univ. Carol., 32(4), p.p.609-614, 1991.