Existence and uniqueness of mild solutions for impulsive semilinear differential equations in a Banach space

Berrezoug Halimi^a, Khelifa Daoudi^b

^aUniversity of Saida Dr MoulayTahar, Bp 138 Ennasr 20000 Saida, Algeria

^a Laboratory of water ressources and environnement, University of Saida, Algeria.^ae-mail: halimiberrezoug@yahoo.fr

^bUniversityCenter of NourBachir El-Bayadh, El-Bayadh, Algeria, Institute of Sciences, BP 900 32000, El-Bayadh,

Algeria.^bLaboratory of Mathematics, University of SidiBel-Abbès, Algeria.

be-mail:khelifa.daoudi@Gmail.com, k.daoudi@cu-elbayadh.dz

Abstract: This paper is devoted to study the uniqueness and existence of mild solutions for impulsive semilinear differential equations, we assume that the linear part generates a strongly continuous semigroup on a general Banach space in a Banachspace. The arguments are based upon Banach's, Mönch's and Darbo'sfixed point theorems and the technique of measure of noncompactness. Finally, an illustrative example is given to illustrate the results.

Keywords: Impulsive Differential Equations, semilinear, fixed point, existence and uniqueness, semigroup of linear operators, measure of noncompactness.

1. Introduction

Dietary Differential equations with impulses were considered for the first time by Milman and Myshkis [16] and then followed by a period of active research which culminated with the monograph by Halanay and Wexler[13]. Many phenomena and evolution processes in physics, chemical technology, population dynamics, and natural sciences may change state abruptly or be subject to short-term perturbations. These perturbations may be seen as impulses. Impulsive problems arise also in various applications in communications, mechanics (jump discontinuities in velocity), electrical engineering, medicine and biology. A comprehensive introduction to the basic theory is well developed in the monographs by Benchohra et al. [5], Graef et al. [11], Laskshmikantham et al. [3], and Samoilenko and Perestyuk [18].

In this paper, we consider the impulsive semilinear differential equations:

$y'(t) = Ay(t) + f(t, y), t \in J := [0, T], t \neq t_k, k = 1,, m$	(1)
$y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-) = I_k(y(t_k)), k = 1,, m$	(2)
$y(0)=y_0.$	(3)

Where f: $J \times E \to E$ is a given function verifying certain hypotheses which will be specified later, A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semi group $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, $I_k: E \to E, k = 1, ..., m$ and $y_0 \in E, 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m$, $\Delta y|t =_{t_k} = y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-), y(t_k^+) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} y(t_k + h), y(t_k^-) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} y(t_k - h)$ represent the left and right limits of y(t) at $t = t_k$ and E is a Banach space with the norm $\|.\|$.

Denote the solution of the problem (1)-(3) by y,

if

$$PC \coloneqq \{y: J \to E: y \in AC((t_k, t_{k+1}), E), k = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Evidently, PC(J, E) is a Banach space with norm

 $\|.\|_{PC} \coloneqq \sup\{\|y(t)\|: t \in [0, T]\}.$

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we will recall briefly some basic definitions, some fixed point theorems and preliminary facts which will be needed in the following sections.

In Section 3, we give one of our main existence results for solutions of (1)-(3), with the proof based on Banach's fixed point theorem. In Section 4, we give two other existence results for solutions of (1)-(3). Their

proofs involve the measure of noncompactness paired in one result with a Mönch fixed point theorem and paired in the other result with a Darbo fixed point theorm. In Section 5, we present an illustrative and comparative example.

2. Preliminaries

In what follows we introduce definitions, notations, and preliminary facts which are used in the sequel. For more details, we refer to [20].

Definition 2.1[20]We say that f: $J \times E \rightarrow E$ is a Caratheodory function if

(a) $t \rightarrow f(t, u)$ is measurable for each $u \in E$,

(b) $u \rightarrow f(t, u)$ is continuous for almost by all $t \in J$.

Moreover, if

(c) For each r > 0, there exist a function $\Phi_r \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{u})\| \le \Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{t})$$

for all $||f(t, u)|| \le r$; and for almost all $t \in J$.

Then the application f is said to be L¹-Caratheodory.

2.1. Semigroup of Linear Operator

Definition 2.2[17] A one-parameter family S(t) of bounded linear operators on aBanach space E is aC_0 -semigroup (or strongly continuous) on E if

(i) $S(t) \circ S(s) = S(t + s)$; for t; $s \ge 0$; (semigroup property),

(ii) S(0) = I, (the identity on E),

(iii) the map $t \to S(t)x$ is strongly continuous for each $x \in E$, i.e.

$$\lim_{x \to 0} S(x) = x, \forall x \in E.$$

A semigroup of bounded linear operators S(t), is uniformly continuous if

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \|S(t) - I\| = 0.$$

Here I denotes the identity operators in E.

Theorem 2.3[17] If S(t) is a C₀-semigroup, then there exist $\omega \ge 0$ and $M \ge 1$ such that

 $\|S(t)\|_{B(E)} \le Mexp(\omega t)$ for $0 \le t \le \infty$.

Definition 2.4[17] Let S(t) be a semigroup of class C_0 defined on E. The infinitesimal generator A of S(t) is the linear operator defined by

$$A(x) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{S(h)x - x}{h}, \text{ for } x \in D(A),$$

where $D(A) = \left\{ x \in D(A) / \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{S(h)x - x}{h} \text{ exists in } E \right\}.$

Proposition 2.5[17]The infinitesimal generator A is a closed, linear and densely defined operator in E. If $x \in D(A)$, then S(t)(x) is a C¹-map and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{t})\mathrm{x} = \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{t})\mathrm{x}) = \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{t})(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{x})) \text{ on } [0,\infty).$$

Now let us recall some fundamental facts of the notion of Kuratowski measure of noncompactness.

2.2. The measure of non-compactness in the sense of Kuratowski

Definition 2.6([2]) Let E be a Banach space and Ω_E the family of bounded subsets of E. The Kuratowski measure of noncompactness is the map $\gamma: \Omega_E \to \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by

$$\gamma(B) = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_i \text{ and } \operatorname{diam}(B_i) \le \varepsilon \right\}.$$

This measure of noncompactness satisfies some important properties ([2]):

(a) $\gamma(B) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \overline{B}$ is compact (B is relatively compact).

(b)
$$\gamma(B) = \gamma(\overline{B}).$$

(c)
$$A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \gamma(A) \leq \gamma(B)$$
.

- (**d**) $\gamma(A + B) \leq \gamma(A) + \gamma(B)$.
- (e) $\gamma(cA) = |c|\gamma(A); c \in \mathbb{R}$.

(**f**)
$$\gamma$$
(convA) = γ (A).

Here \overline{B} and convB denote the closure and the convex hull of the bounded set B, respectively. The details of γ and its properties can be found in ([2]).

Then we have this following result:

Theorem 2.7[14] Let $C \subset L^1(J, E)$ be a countable set with $||u(t)|| \le h(t)$ for p.p. $t \in J$ and all $u \in C$; where $h \in L^1(J)$ then the function $\phi: t \to \gamma(C(t))$ belongs to $L^1(J)$ and satisfy.

$$\gamma\left(\left\{\int_0^T u(s)ds : u \in C\right\}\right) \le 2\int_0^T \gamma(C(s))ds.$$

Let us now recall Mönch's and Darbo's fixed point theorems.

Theorem 2.8 (Mönch's Fixed Point Theorem [1])Let D be a bounded, closed and convex subset of a Banach space such that $0 \in D$, and let N be a continuous mapping of D into itself. If the implication

$$V = \overline{Conv} N(V) \text{ or } V = N(V) \cup \{0\} \Rightarrow \gamma(V) = 0$$

holds for every subset V of D, then N has a fixed point.

Theorem 2.9 (Darbo's Fixed Point Theorem [8])Let X be a Banach space and C be a bounded, closed, convex and nonempty subset of X. Suppose a continuous mapping N: $C \rightarrow C$ is such that for all closed subsets D of C,

$$\gamma(N(D)) \le k\gamma(D), \qquad (4)$$

where $0 \le k < 1$; and γ is the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness. Then N has a fixed point in C.

Remark 2.10 Mappings satisfying the Darbo-condition (4) have subsequently been called k-set contractions.

3. Uniqueness of mild solutions

This section is devoted to the existence results for problem (1)-(3). First, we done a lemma and define what we express to be a mild solution of problem (1)-(3).

Definition 3.1A function $y \in PC(J; E)$ is said to be a mild solution of the problem (1)-(3) if y is the solution of the impulsive integral equation

$$y(t) = T(t)y_0 + \int_0^t T(t-s) f(s,y) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} T(t-t_k) I_k(y(t_k)), t \in J.$$

A is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup S(t), $t \in J$ in the Banach space E. There exists a constant M > 0 such that

$$||S(t)|| \le M$$
 for all $t \in J$

Let us introduce the following hypotheses:

(A1) There exists a constant $d \ge 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, y) - f(t, \overline{y})\| \le d \|y - \overline{y}\|$$
, for each $t \in J, \forall y, \overline{y} \in E$.

(A2) There exist constants $c_k \ge 0$ such that

$$\|I_k(y) - I_k(\overline{y})\| \le c_k \|y - \overline{y}\|$$
, for each $k = 1, ..., m, \forall y, \overline{y} \in E$.

Theorem 3.2 Assume that assumptions (A_1) and (A_2) hold, if $\theta < 1$; where

 $\theta = MdT + M \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k,$

then, the problem (1)-(3) has a unique solution on J.

Proof: Transform the problem (1)-(3) into a fixed point problem. Consider the operator $N: PC(J, E) \rightarrow PC(J, E)$ defined by

$$N(y)(t) = T(t)y_0 + \int_0^t T(t-s) f(s,y) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} T(t-t_k) I_k(y(t_k))$$

Note that a fixed point of *N* is a mild solution of the problem (1)-(3). We will show that N is a contraction. Indeed, considery, $\bar{y} \in PC(J, E)$. Thus, for $t \in J$, we have:

$$\begin{split} \|N(y)(t) - N(\bar{y})(t)\| &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|T(t-s))\| \left\| f(t,y(s)) - f(t,\bar{y}(s)) \right\| ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_{k} < t} \|T(t-t_{k})\| \|I_{k}y(t_{k}) - I_{k}(\bar{y}(t_{k}))\| \\ &\leq Md \int_{0}^{t} \|T(t-s))\| \|y(s) - \bar{y}(s)\| ds + M \sum_{0 < t_{k} < t} c_{k} \|y(t_{k}) - \bar{y}(t_{k})\| \\ &\leq MdT \|y - \bar{y}\|_{PC} + M \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} \|y - \bar{y}\|_{PC} \end{split}$$

$$\leq \left(MdT + M \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k \right) \|y - \overline{y}\|_{PC}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{N}(\bar{\mathbf{y}})\|_{\mathsf{PC}} &\leq (\mathsf{M}\mathsf{dT} + \mathsf{M}\sum_{k=1}^{\mathsf{m}} \mathsf{c}_{k}) \|\mathbf{y} - \bar{\mathbf{y}}\|_{\mathsf{PC}} \\ &\leq \theta \|\mathbf{y} - \bar{\mathbf{y}}\|_{\mathsf{PC}}. \end{split}$$

Since $\theta < 1$, N is a contraction. By the Banach fixed point theorem [9] we conclude that N has a unique fixed point in PC(J, E) and the problem (1)-(3) has a unique solution on[0, T].

4. Existence of mild solutions

In this section we apply a technique based on noncompactness measure assumption. In the following, we prove existence results, for the problem (1)-(3) by using a Mönch's and Darbo's fixed point theorems.

(H1) A is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup T(t), $t \in J$ which is compact for t > 0 in the Banach space E. Let M > 0 be such that

 $||S(t)|| \le M$ for all $t \in J$.

(H2) $f: J \times E \to E$ satisfies the Caratheodory conditions.

(H3) There exists $p \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$, such that

||f(t,y)|| < p(t)||y||, for all $t \in J$ and each $y \in E$.

(H4) There exists c > 0, such that

 $||I_k(y)|| \le c ||y\rangle||$, for each $y \in E$.

(H5) For each bounded set $B \subset E$, we have

$$\gamma(I_k(y)) < c \gamma(B), k = 1, \dots, m.$$

(H6) For each $t \in J$ and each bounded set $B \subset E$, we have

$$\gamma(f(t,B)) \le p(t)\gamma(B).$$

Theorem 4.1 Assume that conditions (H1) - (H6) hold. Let $p^* = \sup_{t \in I} p(t)$. If

 $Mp^* + mMc < 1, \qquad (5)$

then the problem (1)-(3) has at least one mild solution on J.

Proof: Let

$$r \ge \frac{M \|y_0\|}{1 - Mp^* - mMc}$$
(6)

andconsider

 $D_r = \{y \in PC(J, E) : ||y||_{PC} \le r\}.$

Clearly, the subset D_r is closed, bounded and convex. We shall show that N defined in Theorem 3.2 satisfies the assumptions of Mönch's fixed point theorem. The proof will be given in three steps.

Step I: N is continuous.

Let $\{y_n\}$ a sequence such that $y_n \rightarrow y$ in PC(J,E). Then for each $t \in J$

. t

$$\|N(y_n)(t) - N(y)(t)\| \le \int_0^t T(t-s) \|f(s,y_n(s)) - f(s,y(s))\| ds + \sum_{0 \le t_k \le t} T(t-t_k) \|I_k(y_n(t_k)) - I_k(y(t_k))\|.$$

Since I_k is continuous and f is of the Caratheodory type, then by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$||N(y_n) - N(y)||_{PC} \rightarrow 0 asn \rightarrow \infty.$$

Step 2: N is an application of D_r into itself.

For each y in Dr by (H3) and (6), for each t in J we have:

$$\begin{split} \|N(y)(t)\| &\leq \|y_0\| \|T(t)\| + \int_0^t \|T(t-s)\| \|f(s,y(s))\| ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \|T(t-t_k)\| \|I_k(y(t_k))\| \end{split}$$

 $\leq M \|y_0\| + M \int_0^t p(t) \|y\| ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} Mc \|y\|$

 $\leq M \|y_0\| + Mp^* \|y\| + mMc\|y\|$

Step 3: $N(D_r)$ is bounded and equicontinuous.

By step 2, it is obvious that $N(D_r) \subset PC(J;E)$ is bounded.

For the equicontinuity of N(D_r). Either τ_1, τ_2 in J, $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ and either y in D_r

$$\| Ny(\tau_{2}) - Ny(\tau_{1}) \| \leq \| T(\tau_{2})y_{0} - T(\tau_{1})y_{0} \|$$

+ $\left\| \int_{0}^{t} T(\tau_{2} - s)f(s, y)ds - \int_{0}^{t} T(\tau_{1} - s)f(s, y)ds \right\|$
+ $\left\| \sum_{0 < t_{k} < t} T(\tau_{2} - t_{k})I_{k}(y(t_{k})) - \sum_{0 < t_{k} < t} T(\tau_{2} - t_{k})I_{k}(y(t_{k})) \right\|$
 $\leq \| [T(\tau_{2}) - T(\tau_{1})y_{0}] \| + \| \int_{0}^{t} [T(\tau_{2} - s) - T(\tau_{1} - s)]f(s, y)ds \|$

$$+ \| \int_{\tau_1} I(\tau_2 - s) f(s, y) ds \| + \| \sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_1} [T(\tau_2 - t_k) - T(\tau_1 - t_k)] I_k(y(t_k) \| + \| \sum_{\tau_1 < t_k < \tau_2} T(\tau_2 - t_k) I_k(y(t_k)) \|$$
(*)

If $\tau_1 = 0$, then the right side of (*) can be made small when τ_2 is small.

If $\tau_1 > 0$ then we can find a small $\epsilon > 0$ with $\tau_1 - \epsilon > 0$, then it follows

$$\| [Ny(\tau_2) - Ny(\tau_1) \| \le \| [T(\tau_2) - T(\tau_1)]y_0 \|$$

$$+ \| \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}-\varepsilon} [T(\tau_{2}-s) - T(\tau_{1}-s)] f(s,y) ds \|$$

$$+ \| \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} T(\tau_{2}-s) f(s,y) ds \|$$

$$+ \| \sum_{0 < t_{k} < \tau_{1}} [T(\tau_{2}-t_{k}) - T(\tau_{1}-t_{k})] I_{k}(y(t_{k}) \|$$

$$+ \| \sum_{\tau_{1} < t_{k} < \tau_{2}} T(\tau_{2}-t_{k}) I_{k} I_{k}(y(t_{k}) \|$$

$$\leq \| [T(\tau_{2}) - T(\tau_{1})] y_{0} \|$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}-\varepsilon} \| T(\tau_{2}-s) - T(\tau_{1}-s) \| \| f(s,y) \| ds$$

$$+ \int_{\tau_1 - \varepsilon}^{\tau_1} \| T(\tau_2 - s) - T(\tau_1 - s \| \| f(s, y) \| ds$$

+
$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \| T(\tau_2 - s) \| \| f(s, y) \| ds$$

+
$$\sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_1} \| [T(\tau_2 - t_k) - T(\tau_1 - t_k)] \| \| I_k(y(t_k)) \|$$

 $+ M \sum_{\tau_1 < t_k < \tau_2} \| I_k(y(t_k)) \|.$

So when for $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ the right side of the above inequality tends to zero, that is to say

$$\parallel Ny(\tau_2) - Ny(\tau_1) \parallel \to 0$$

Now, let V be a subset of D_r such that $V \in \overline{conv}(N(V)\cup(0))$.

V is bounded and equicontinuous and therefore the function $v \rightarrow v(t) = \gamma(V(t))$ is continuous over J. From $(H_5), (H_6)$, and the properties of the measure we have for each $t \in J$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{v}(\mathsf{t}) &\leq \gamma(\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{V}) \cup \{0\}) \\ &\leq (\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{V})) \\ &\leq \int_0^t Mp(s) \,\gamma(V(s)) \mathrm{d} \mathsf{s} + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} M \,\gamma(V(s)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \int_0^t Mp(s)\gamma(V(s)) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} Mcv(s)$$

 $\leq \parallel v \parallel_{PC} (Mp^* + mMc).$

This means that

 $\| v \|_{PC} (1 - [Mp^* + mMc]) \le 0$

By (5), it follows that $|| v ||_{PC} = 0$, that is v(t) = 0 for each $t \in J$, and then V (t) is relatively compact in PC(J,E). In view of the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, V is relatively compact in D_r. Applying now Theorem 2.8, we conclude that N has a fixed point which is a solution of the problem (1) - (3).

For the next theorem we replace the condition (5) by

$$M(1 + mc) < 1.$$
 (7)

Now, consider the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness γ_C defined on the family of bounded subsets of the space PC(J,E) by

$$\gamma_{C}(H) = \sup_{s \in J} e^{-\tau L(s)} \gamma(H(s)),$$

Where $L(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \overline{l}(s) ds$, $\overline{l}(t) = Mp(t)$, $\tau > \frac{1}{1 - M(1 + mc)}$

Our next result is based on the Darbo fixed point theorem 2.9.

Theorem 4.2Assume that conditions $(H_1) - (H_6)$ and (7) are satisfied. Then the problem (1)-(3) has at least one mild solution on J.

Proof: As in Theorem 4.1, we can prove that the operator $N: B_q \to B_q$ defined in that theorem is continuous and $N(B_q)$ is bounded.

Now we show that the operator $N: B_q \to B_q$ is a strict set contraction, i.e., there is a constant $0 \le \lambda < 1$ such that $\gamma(N(H)) \le \lambda \gamma(H)$ for any $H \subset B_q$. In particular, we need to prove that there exists a constant $0 \le \lambda < 1$ such that $\gamma_C(N(H)) \le \lambda \gamma_C(H)$ for any $H \subset B_q$. For each $t \in J$ we have

 $\gamma(N(H)(t)) \le M\gamma(H(0)) + M \int_0^t p(s) \gamma(H(s)) ds$

$$\begin{split} + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \mathsf{Mc}\gamma(\mathsf{H}(t_k)) \\ &\leq \mathsf{M}\gamma(\mathsf{H}(0)) + \mathsf{M} \int_0^t \mathsf{p}(s) e^{\tau \mathsf{L}(s)} e^{-\tau \mathsf{L}(s)}\gamma(\mathsf{H}(s)) ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \mathsf{Mc}\gamma(\mathsf{H}(t_k)) \\ &\leq \mathsf{M}\gamma(\mathsf{H}(0)) + \mathsf{M} \sup_{s \in \mathsf{J}} e^{-\tau \mathsf{L}(s)} \gamma(\mathsf{H}(s)) \int_0^t \mathsf{p}(s) e^{\tau \mathsf{L}(s)} ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \mathsf{Mc}\gamma(\mathsf{H}(t_k)) \\ &\leq \mathsf{M}\gamma(\mathsf{H}(0)) + \mathsf{M}\gamma_{\mathsf{C}}(\mathsf{H}) \int_0^t \mathsf{p}(s) e^{\tau \mathsf{L}(s)} ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \mathsf{Mc}\gamma(\mathsf{H}(t_k)) \\ &\leq \mathsf{M}\gamma(\mathsf{H}(0)) + \gamma_{\mathsf{C}}(\mathsf{H}) \int_0^t \bar{\mathsf{I}}(s) e^{\tau \mathsf{L}(s)} ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \mathsf{Mc}\gamma(\mathsf{H}(t_k)) \end{split}$$

$$\leq M\gamma(H(0)) + \gamma_{C}(H) \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{e^{\tau L(s)}}{\tau}\right)' ds$$
$$+ \sum_{0 < t_{k} < t} Mc\gamma(H(t_{k}))$$
$$\leq M\gamma(H(0)) + \gamma_{C}(H) \frac{1}{\tau} e^{\tau L(t)} + \sum_{0 < t_{k} < t} Mc\gamma(H(t_{k})).$$

Then

$$e^{-\tau L(t)} \gamma(N(H)(t)) \le M e^{-\tau L(t)} \gamma(H)(0) + \gamma_{C}(H) \frac{1}{\tau} + \sum_{0 < t_{k} < t} Mc \, e^{-\tau L(t)} \, \gamma(H(t_{k}))$$

$$\le M \sup_{s \in J} e^{-\tau L(t)} \, \gamma(H)(0) + \gamma_{C}(H) \frac{1}{\tau} + \sum_{0 < t_{k} < t} M \sup_{s \in J} e^{-\tau L(t)} \, \gamma(H(t_{k})).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{NH}) &\leq \left[M + \frac{1}{\tau} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} Mc \right] \gamma_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{H}) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\tau} + M(1 + mc) \right] \gamma_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{H}). \end{aligned}$$

So, the operator N is a set contraction. By the Darbo fixed point Theorem 2.9 we deduce that N has a fixed point which is a mild solution of problem (1)-(2).

5. Example

Let E be a Banach space, $J \coloneqq [0,2\pi], \lambda_i$, i=0,1 and c_k , k=1,...,m are the constants such that $\lambda_0 > 0$, $0 < \lambda_1 < \frac{1}{4\pi}$ and $0 < \sum_{k=1}^m c_k < \frac{1}{2}$.

Consider the following impulsive differential equation:

$$y'(t) = \lambda_0^2 y(t) + \lambda_1 y(t), t \in J, t \neq t_k, k = 1, ..., m$$
 (8)

$$y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-) = c_k(y(t_k)), k = 1, \dots, m$$
(9)

$$y(0) = y_0.$$
 (10)

Here

 $f(t, y(t)) = \lambda_1 y(t),$

$$I_k(y(t_k)) = c_k y(t_k), k = 1, ..., m$$

and

 $A = \lambda_0^2.$

Firstly, we show that f and I_k are Lipschitz functions. Indeed, let $x, y \in E$, then $\| f(t, x) - f(t, y) \| = \| \lambda_1 x - \lambda_1 y \|$ $\leq \lambda_1 \| x - y \|$

and

$$\| I_k(x) - I_k(y) \| = \| c_k x - c_k y \| \leq c_k \| x - y \|.$$

We take $T(t)=e^{-\lambda_0^2 t}, \parallel T(t)=e^{-\lambda_0^2 t}\parallel\leq \parallel e^0\parallel=M$ for all $t\in J.$ We have

$$\theta = 2 \pi M \lambda_1 + M \sum_{k=1}^m c_k < \frac{2\pi}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{2} = 1.$$

It is clear that $\theta < 1$, therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Hence the problem (8)-(10) has a unique mild solution.

Conclusion

In this paper, we have considered an impulsivesemilinear differential equations. The existence of a solutions

have been investigated using the measures of non-compactness, semigroup, Banach's, Mönch'sand Darbo's theorems.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. Meehan and D. O'Regan, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 141. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [2] R. R. Akhmerov, M. I. Kamenskii, A. S. Patapov, A. E. Rodkina and B. N.Sadovskii, *Measures of Noncompactness and Condensing Operators*. Translatedfrom the **1986** Russian original by A. Iacob. Operator Theory : Advances and Applications, **55**. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, **1992**.
- [3] D.D. Bainov, V. Lakshmikanthan and P.S. Simeonov, *Theory of Impulsive DifferentialEquations*, WorldScientific, Singapore, **1989**.
- [4] J.BanašandK.Goebel, *MeasuresofNoncompactnessinBanachSpaces*, Marcel Dekker, NewYork, 1980.
- [5] M. Benchohra , J. Henderson and S. K. Ntouas, *Impulsive Differential Equations and Inclusions*, HindawiPublishingCorporation, Vol. 2, NewYork, 2006.
- [6] K. Daoudi, J.R. Graef and A. Ouahab, Existence, uniqueness, compactness of thesolution set, and dependence on a parameter for an impulsive periodic boundaryvalue problem, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **114** (4)(2017),917-931.
- [7] K.Daoudi, J.HendersonandA.Ouahab, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for some neutral differential equations with statedependent delays, *Communications in Applied Analysis*, 22(3)(2018), 333-351.
- [8] J.DugundjiandA.Granas, FixedPointTheory, Springer-Verlag, NewYork, 2003.
- [9] K.Deimling, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [10] K. Ezzinbi and X. Fu, Existence and regularity of solutions for some neutral partial differential equations with nonlocal conditions, *Nonlinear Anal.* 57 (2004), 1029-1041.
- [11] J.R. Graef, J. Henderson and A. Ouahab, *Impulsive differential inclusions*. *Afixedpointapproach*.DeGruyterSeriesinNonlinearAnalysisandApplications 20.Berlin:deGruyter,2013.
- [12] J. K. Hale and S. VerduynLunel, *Introduction to Functional -Differential Equations*, AppliedMathematicalSciences, 99, Springer-Verlag, NewYork, 1993.
- [13] A. Halanay and D. Wexler, *TeoriaCalitativa a sistemelorImpulsuri*, (in Romanian),EdituraAcademieiRepubliciiSocialisteRomânia,Bucharest,**1968**.
- [14] H.P. Heinz, On the behaviour of measures of noncompactness with respect to dif-ferentiation and integration of vector-valued functions, Nonlinear Anal. 7(1983),1351-1371.
- [15] V. Kolmanovskii, and A. Myshkis, *Introduction to the Theory and Applications* of *Functional-DifferentialEquations*, KluwerAcademicPublishers, Dordrecht, 1999.

- [16] V.D. Milman and A.A. Myshkis, On the stability of motion in the presence of impulses, Sib.Math.J.(inRussian)1(1960)233-237.
- [17] A.PAZY, Semigroups of Linear operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations. New York (NY): Springer-Verlag; 1983.
- [18] A.M.SamoilenkoandN.A.Perestyuk, *ImpulsiveDifferentialEquations*, WorldScientific, Sin gapore, **1995**.
- [19] J.Wu, *TheoryandApplicationsofPartialFunctionalDifferentialEquations*, Springer-Verlag, NewYork, 1996.
- [20] K.Yosida, Fonctional Analysis, 6thedn. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.