

R-Regular Integers Modulo n^r

M. GaneshwarRao^a

^aChaitanya Bharathi Institute of Technology, Gandipet, Hyderabad, Telangana

Article History: Received: 11 January 2021; Accepted: 27 February 2021; Published online: 5 April 2021

Abstract: Introducing the notion of ar-regular integer modulo n^r we obtain some basic properties of such integers and arithmetic properties of certain functions related to them.

Keywords: r-regular integer modulo n^r , unitary divisor, r-free integer, r-gcd of two integers

1. Introduction

Let r be a fixed positive integer. A positive integer a is said to be r -regular modulo n^r if there is an integer x such that $a^{r+1}x \equiv a^r \pmod{n^r}$. The case $r = 1$ gives the notion of aregular integer moduleon, introduced by (Morgado, J, 1972; Morgado J , 1974) who made an investigation of their properties.

Clearly $a = 0$ is r -regular modulo n^r for every $n \geq 1$. Also if $a \equiv b \pmod{n^r}$ then a and b are r -regular modulo n^r simultaneously. Further, if a and b are r -regular modulo n^r then so is ab .

For positive integers a and b their greatest r^{th} power common divisor is denoted by $(a, b)_r$ and is called the r -gcd of a and b . Note that $(a, b)_1 = (a, b)$, the gcd of a and b .

We recall the notions given in (McCarthy, 1985):

A complete set of residues modulo n^r is called a (n, r) -residue system. $C_{n,r} = \{a : 1 \leq a \leq n^r\}$ is the minimal (n, r) -residue system. The set of all a in an (n, r) -residue system such that $(a, n^r)_r = 1$ is called a reduced (n, r) -residue system. $R_{n,r} = \{a \in C_{n,r} : (a, n^r)_r = 1\}$ is the minimal reduced (n, r) -residue system.

(V.L.Klee, 1948) defined a generalization φ_r of the Euler’s function by $\varphi_r(n) = \#\{a : 1 \leq a \leq n \text{ and } (a, n)_r = 1\}$ and proved that

$$\varphi_r(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu_r(d) \cdot \frac{n}{d}, \tag{1}$$

Where μ_r is the r -analogue of the Mobius function μ given by

$$\mu_r(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ (-1)^t & \text{if } n = (p_1 p_2 \dots p_t)^r \text{ where } p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_t \text{ are primes} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Note that $\mu_1 = \mu$ and that $\varphi_r(n^r) = \#R_{n,r}$.

Let $\text{Reg}_r(n) = \{a \in C_{n,r} : a \text{ is } r\text{-regular modulo } n^r\}$ and $\rho_r(n^r) = \#\text{Reg}_r(n)$.

Observe that any $a \in R_{n,r}$ is in $\text{Reg}_r(n)$. In fact, if $a \in R_{n,r}$ then $(a, n^r)_r = 1$ so that $(a, n^r) = 1$ and therefore there is an integer x_0 such that $ax_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{n^r}$ which gives $a^{r+1}x_0 \equiv a^r \pmod{n^r}$ showing $a \in \text{Reg}_r(n)$. Hence $\varphi_r(n^r) < \rho_r(n^r) \leq n^r$ for every $n > 1$, with $\rho_r(n^r) = n^r$ if and only if n is squarefree.

Recently (Laszlo Toth, 2008; Yokesh, T.L., 2020) has studied several properties of the function $\rho(n) := \rho_1(n)$.

In this paper we prove some basic properties of the integers in the set $\text{Reg}_r(n)$ and certain arithmetic properties of the function $\rho_r(n^r)$

2. Integers in $\text{Reg}_r(n)$

In all that follows $n > 1$ be of the canonical form:

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t},$$

where $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_t$ are primes and α_i are integers ≥ 1 .

Theorem 1. For an integer $a \geq 1$ the following are equivalent:

1.1 $a \in \text{Reg}_r(n)$

1.2 for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ we have either $p_i \nmid a$ or $p_i^{\alpha_i r} \mid a^r$

1.3 $(a, n^r)_r \parallel n^r$, $(d \parallel m$ means that $d \mid m$ and $(d, \frac{m}{d}) = 1$, in which case d is called a unitary divisor of m)

1.4 $a^{\varphi_r(n^r)+r} \equiv a^r \pmod{n^r}$

1.5 There is an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $a^{k+r} \equiv a^r \pmod{n^r}$.

Proof: Suppose $a \in \text{Reg}_r(n)$ so that $a^{r+1}x_0 \equiv a^r \pmod{n^r}$ for some integer x_0 . Therefore for each $i (1 \leq i \leq t)$, $p_i^{\alpha_i r} \mid a^r(ax_0 - 1)$. Since $(a, ax_0 - 1) = 1$ we have $(a^r, ax_0 - 1) = 1$, we have either $p_i \nmid a$ or $p_i^{\alpha_i r} \mid a^r$ for each i , and in the latter case it follows $p_i^{\alpha_i r} \mid a^r$. Thus (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

Assume (ii). That is, a is an integer ≥ 1 such that either $p_i \nmid a$ or $p_i^{\alpha_i r} \mid a^r$. We have to show $a \in \text{Reg}_r(n)$.

In case $p_i \nmid a$ then $(a, p_i^{\alpha_i r}) = 1$ so that there is an integer x_i with $ax_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i^{\alpha_i r}}$ and hence $a^{r+1}x_i \equiv a^r \pmod{p_i^{\alpha_i r}}$.

In case $p_i^{\alpha_i r} \mid a^r$ then for any integer x , $a^{r+1}x \equiv a^r \pmod{p_i^{\alpha_i r}}$ holds. Thus $a^{r+1}x \equiv a^r \pmod{p_i^{\alpha_i r}}$ is solvable for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and hence $a^{r+1}x \equiv a^r \pmod{p_1^{\alpha_1 r} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2 r} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t r}}$ is solvable, showing $a \in \text{Reg}_r(n)$. Thus (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

Note that (ii) holds $\Leftrightarrow a^r = a_0 \cdot d^r$, where $d^r = \prod_{p_i \mid a} p_i^{\alpha_i r}$ and $(a_0, n) = 1$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a^r, n^r) = d^r, \text{ which is a unitary divisor of } n^r$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a, n^r)_r = d^r \parallel n^r, \text{ since } (a^r, n^r) = (a, n^r)_r. \text{ Thus (ii) } \Leftrightarrow \text{(iii).}$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iv). If $p_i^{\alpha_i r} \mid a^r$ then $a^{\varphi_r(n^r)+r} \equiv a^r \pmod{n^r}$ is obvious. If $p_i \nmid a$, then by Euler-Fermat Theorem, $a^{\varphi(p_i^{\alpha_i r})} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i^{\alpha_i r}}$ so that

$$a^{\varphi_r(n^r)} = \left[a^{\varphi(p_i^{\alpha_i r})} \right]^{\varphi_r(n^r)/\varphi(p_i^{\alpha_i r})} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i^{\alpha_i r}},$$

since

$$m := \frac{\varphi_r(n^r)}{\varphi(p_i^{\alpha_i r})} = \frac{\varphi_r(p_1^{\alpha_1 r}) \varphi_r(p_2^{\alpha_2 r}) \cdots \varphi_r(p_t^{\alpha_t r})}{\varphi(p_i^{\alpha_i r})} = \left(\prod_{j \neq i} \varphi_r(p_j^{\alpha_j r}) \right) \frac{\varphi_r(p_i^{\alpha_i r})}{\varphi(p_i^{\alpha_i r})}$$

$$= (1 + p_i + \dots + p_i^{r-1}). \text{ Mwhere } M = \prod_{j \neq i} \varphi_r(p_j^{\alpha_j r}) \text{ so that } m \text{ is an integer.}$$

Thus $a^{\varphi_r(n^r)+r} \equiv a^r \pmod{p_i^{\alpha_i r}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, giving (iv)

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). If $a^{\varphi_r(n^r)+r} \equiv a^r \pmod{n^r}$ then $a^{r+1}x_0 \equiv a^r \pmod{n^r}$ where $x_0 = a^{\varphi_r(n^r)-1}$ showing $a \in \text{Reg}_r(n)$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v) is immediate with $k = \varphi_r(n^r)$. Also if $a^{k+r} \equiv a^r \pmod{n^r}$ for some $k \geq 1$ implies $a^{r+1}x_0 \equiv a^r \pmod{n^r}$, where $x_0 = a^{k-1}$, showing $a \in \text{Reg}_r(n)$. Thus (v) \Rightarrow (i).

3. The Function $\rho_r(n^r)$.

In this section we study the function $\rho_r(n^r)$ and its relation with $\varphi_r(n^r)$. Also we express the sum $S_r(n)$ of the r-regular integers modulo n^r in terms of $\rho_r(n^r)$

$$\rho_r(n^r) = \sum_{d^r \parallel n^r} \varphi_r(d^r).$$

Theorem 2: For every $n \geq 1$,

The function $\rho_r(n^r)$ is multiplicative and $\rho_r(p^{\alpha r}) = p^{\alpha r} - p^{(\alpha-1)r} + 1$, for any prime pand integer $\alpha \geq 1$.

Proof: We give two proofs for the first part.

First Proof: Let $a \in \text{Reg}_r(n)$.

If $p_i \nmid a$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ then $(a, n) = 1$ so that $(a, n^r)_r = (a^r, n^r) = 1$ and the number of such as $\varphi_r(n^r)$.

Suppose $p_i^{\alpha_i r} \mid a^r$ for exactly one i so that $(a, p_j) = 1$ for $j \neq i$ and $a = b \cdot p_i^{\alpha_i r}$ where $1 \leq b \leq \frac{n^r}{p_i^{\alpha_i r}}$ and $\left(b, \frac{n^r}{p_i^{\alpha_i r}}\right) = 1$; $\varphi_r\left(\frac{n^r}{p_i^{\alpha_i r}}\right)$.
the number of such a's is

Suppose $p_i^{\alpha_i r} \mid a^r$ and $p_j^{\alpha_j r} \mid a^r$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq t$; and for $k \notin \{i, j\}$ $(p_k, a) = 1$. Then $a = C \cdot p_i^{\alpha_i r} \cdot p_j^{\alpha_j r}$, where $1 \leq C \leq \frac{n^r}{p_i^{\alpha_i r} p_j^{\alpha_j r}}$ and $\left(C, \frac{n^r}{p_i^{\alpha_i r} p_j^{\alpha_j r}}\right) = 1$; and the number of such integers is $\varphi_r\left(\frac{n^r}{p_i^{\alpha_i r} \cdot p_j^{\alpha_j r}}\right)$; and so on. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_r(n^r) &= \varphi_r(n^r) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} \varphi_r\left(\frac{n^r}{p_i^{\alpha_i r}}\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} \varphi_r\left(\frac{n^r}{p_i^{\alpha_i r} p_j^{\alpha_j r}}\right) + \dots + \varphi_r\left(\frac{n^r}{p_1^{\alpha_1 r} p_2^{\alpha_2 r} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t r}}\right) \\ &= y + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} \frac{y}{y_i} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} \frac{y}{y_i y_j} + \dots + \frac{y}{y_1 y_2 \dots y_t} \end{aligned}$$

Where $y_i = \varphi_r(p_i^{\alpha_i r})$ and $y = y_1 y_2 \dots y_t$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_r(n^r) &= (y_1 + 1)(y_2 + 1) \dots (y_t + 1) \\ &= \left(\varphi_r(p_1^{\alpha_1 r}) + 1\right) \left(\varphi_r(p_2^{\alpha_2 r}) + 1\right) \dots \left(\varphi_r(p_t^{\alpha_t r}) + 1\right) \\ &= \sum_{d^r \parallel n^r} \varphi_r\left(\frac{n^r}{d^r}\right) = \sum_{d^r \parallel n^r} \varphi_r(d^r). \end{aligned}$$

Second Proof: Group the integers $a \in C_{n,r}$ according to the value $\left(a, n^r\right)_r = d^r$.

Note that $\left(a, n^r\right)_r = d^r \Leftrightarrow a = j \cdot d^r$ where $1 \leq j \leq \frac{n^r}{d^r}$ and $\left(j, \frac{n^r}{d^r}\right)_r = 1$. Hence the number of a's

in $C_{n,r}$ with $\left(a, n^r\right)_r = d^r$ is $\varphi_r\left(\frac{n^r}{d^r}\right)$. Thus $\rho_r(n^r) = \sum_{d^r \parallel n^r} \varphi_r\left(\frac{n^r}{d^r}\right) = \sum_{d^r \parallel n^r} \varphi_r(d^r)$.

Now
$$\rho_r(n^r) = \sum_{D \parallel n^r} \varphi_r(D) \cdot \chi_r(D), \tag{3}$$

where $\chi_r(m) = 1$ or 0 according as m is the rth power of an integer or not.

Therefore $\rho_r(n^r) = (\varphi_r \chi_r \circ I)(n^r)$, where $I(n) \equiv 1$ for all n and \circ is the unitary convolution of arithmetic functions discussed by (Eckford Cohen, 1960). Since unitary convolution preserves multiplicativity, we get

$\rho_r(n^r)$ is multiplicative, because φ_r , χ_r and I are all multiplicative.

Also $\rho_r(p^{\alpha r}) = \varphi_r(p^{\alpha r}) + 1 = p^{\alpha r} - p^{(\alpha-1)r} + 1$, completing the proof of Theorem B.

Theorem 3.
$$\sum_{\substack{a \in C_{n,r} \\ \left(a, n^r\right)_r = 1}} a = \frac{1}{2} n^r \cdot \varphi_r(n^r)$$
 for $n > 1$.

Proof: First observe that for positive integers a and b, $\left(a, b\right)_r = 1$ if and only if $\left(a, b\right)$ is r-free (Recall that an integer not divisible by the rth power of any prime is said to be r-free). Let $q_r(m) = 1$ or 0 according as m is r-free or not. Then it is well-known (Apostol, 1998, problem 6, p.47; Ranjeeth 2020) that

$$q_r(m) = \sum_{t^r \mid m} \mu(t), \tag{4}$$

Where μ is the Mobius function

Now, by (4) and (1), we get

$$\sum_{\substack{a \in C_{n,r} \\ \left(a, n^r\right)_r = 1}} a = \sum_{1 \leq a \leq n^r} a \cdot q_r\left(\left(a, n^r\right)\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{1 \leq a \leq n^r} a \left\{ \sum_{\substack{t^r s = a \\ t^r | n^r}} \mu(t) \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{t^r s \leq n^r \\ t^r | n^r}} t^r s \mu(t) \\
 &= \sum_{t^r | n^r} \mu(t) t^r \left\{ \sum_{\substack{s \leq \frac{n^r}{t^r}} s} \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{t^r | n^r} \mu(t) t^r \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{n^r}{t^r} \left(\frac{n^r}{t^r} + 1 \right) \\
 &= \frac{n^r}{2} \sum_{t^r | n^r} \mu(t) \frac{n^r}{t^r} + \frac{n^r}{2} \sum_{t^r | n^r} \mu(t) \\
 &= \frac{n^r}{2} \cdot \sum_{t_0 | n^r} \mu(t_0) \frac{n^r}{t_0} + \frac{n^r}{2} \sum_{t^r | n^r} \mu(t) \\
 &= \frac{n^r}{2} \cdot \varphi_r(n^r),
 \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{t^r | n^r} \mu(t) = 0$ for $n > 1$.

Remark 1. The case $r = 1$ of Theorem C is the well-known formula:

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq n \\ (a, n) = 1}} a = \frac{n\varphi(n)}{2} \text{ for } n > 1. \text{ (For example see (Apostol, 1998, Problem 16, p.48)}$$

Theorem 4. If $S_r(n) := \sum_{a \in \text{Reg}_r(n)} a$ then $S_r(n) = \frac{1}{2} [\rho_r(n^r) + 1]$ for $n \geq 1$.

Proof: We have, by Theorem A, that $a \in \text{Reg}_r(n) \Leftrightarrow (a, n^r)_r = d^r \parallel n^r$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_r(n) &= \sum_{\substack{a \in C_{r,n} \\ (a, n^r)_r \parallel n^r}} a = \sum_{d^r \parallel n^r} \sum_{\substack{a \in C_{r,n} \\ (a, n^r)_r = d^r}} a \\
 &= \sum_{d^r \parallel n^r} d^r \sum_{\substack{j \in C_{\frac{n^r}{d^r}, r} \\ \left(j, \frac{n^r}{d^r}\right)_r = 1}} j, \text{ Since } \left(a, n^r\right)_r = d^r \Leftrightarrow a = j \cdot d^r \text{ where } 1 \leq j \leq \frac{n^r}{d^r} \text{ and } \left(j, \frac{n^r}{d^r}\right)_r = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, in view of Theorem C and Theorem B, for $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_r(n) &= n^r + \sum_{\substack{d^r \parallel n^r \\ d^r < n^r}} d^r \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{n^r}{d^r} \cdot \varphi^r\left(\frac{n^r}{d^r}\right) \\
 &= n^r + \frac{n^r}{2} \sum_{\substack{d^r \parallel n^r \\ d^r < n^r}} \varphi^r\left(\frac{n^r}{d^r}\right) \\
 &= n^r + \frac{n^r}{2} \cdot \left[\rho_r(n^r) - 1\right] \\
 &= \frac{n^r}{2} \left[\rho_r(n^r) + 1\right],
 \end{aligned}$$

proving the theorem.

References

1. Apostol, Tom M., (1998) *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer International Student Edition, Naroso Publishing House, New Delhi, 1998.
2. Eckford Cohen, (1960) *Arithmetical Functions Associated with the Unitary Divisors of an Integer*, *Math. Zeitschr.* 74, 66-80.
3. Klee, V. L, (1948) *Generalization of Euler's Function*, *Amer. Math., Monthly*, 55, 358-359.
4. Laszlo Toth, *Regular Integers Modulo n*, (2008) *Annals Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.* 29, 263-275.
5. McCarthy, Paul J., (1985). *Introduction to Arithmetical Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
6. Morgado, J, (1972). *Inteiros Regulares Modulo n*, *Gazeta de Mathematical (Lisboa)*, 33, 125-125, 1-5.
7. Morgado, J. (1974) *A Property of the Euler φ -Function Concerning the Integers which are Regular Modulo n*, *Portugal. Math.*, 33, 185-191.
8. Ranjeeth, S., Latchoumi, T. P., & Paul, P. V. (2020). *Role of gender on academic performance based on different parameters: Data from secondary school education*. *Data in brief*, 29, 105257.
9. Yookesh, T. L., Boobalan, E. D., & Latchoumi, T. P. (2020, March). *Variational Iteration Method to Deal with Time Delay Differential Equations under Uncertainty Conditions*. In *2020 International Conference on Emerging Smart Computing and Informatics (ESCI)* (pp. 252-256). IEEE.