

## Committing Murder and Punishment in US Criminal Law

Dr. Sepideh Sehat<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Phd in private law from islamic azad university, urmia branch, urmia, iran, email: [sehat.sepid@gmail.com](mailto:sehat.sepid@gmail.com)

**Article History:** Received: 14 July 2020; Accepted: 2 January 2021; Published online: 5 February 2021

**Abstract:** Intentional homicide, as defined in the custom of nations, is the unlawful killing of another human being with intent (or malice in the past), and this generally distinguishes premeditated murder from other forms of death. Because the loss of a human being imposes great grief on those close to the victim, as well as the fact that committing a murder torments the victim forever, most societies consider it a very serious crime. The most severe punishment is usually given to a murder suspect sentenced to life imprisonment or even the death penalty for such an act. A person who commits murder is called a murderer, and punishments in the United States of America vary from state to state, as outlined below. In the United States Maritime and Territorial Jurisdiction, anyone who commits first-degree premeditated murder will be sentenced to death or life imprisonment. Anyone who commits second-degree premeditated murder must be sentenced to long or life in prison. This article examines premeditated murder and how it is punished in US criminal law.

**Keywords:** Premeditated Murder, Punishment, USA, Criminal Law

### Introduction

Intentional homicide is the unlawful killing of a human being with an evil nature. In the United States Maritime and Territorial Jurisdiction, anyone who commits first-degree premeditated murder will be sentenced to death or life imprisonment. Anyone who commits second-degree premeditated murder must be sentenced to long or life in prison. Murder of first degree is the most serious case of murder charges and applies to premeditated murder (or pre-murder). It requires malice (evil intent) and anticipation (planning). These cases are usually among the most heinous crimes and similarly, they are sentenced to the most severe punishments, which include life imprisonment or the death penalty (Yaksic, 2020). Second-degree murder, on the other hand, usually occurs in cases where the murder was intentional but not predetermined. These cases are often referred to as "salt crimes" (Van Krieken, 2019). A common example is a jealous husband who kills in anger and kills his wife and lover when he finds them in bed. Some US states also consider unintentional and reckless behavior that leads to the death of another person to be secondary murder. This applies in a situation where the actions of one person were so hopeless and reckless that the death of another person was almost certain, even if the murder was not intentional. Second-degree murder is also very serious, and in most cases the accused can spend decades in prison, although the death penalty is not possible in these cases (Esoimeme, 2020).

Most jurisdictions that still use the "degree of homicide" distinction are usually required to exercise caution as a key element in predicting the accused for murder. Judges, however, will differ on how to describe the "predetermined". In Virginia and West Virginia, state legislators have defined their "pre-planned" as "knowledge and intentional." This definition allows the forecasting standard to be met easily and without prior planning. Similarly, this definition has been criticized by some legal analysts (Hildebrand & Culhane, 2015). Guthrie's state has further exacerbated this confusion, as the court ruled in that case that "predetermined" relates to any time interval between the formation of the intent and the execution of the accused. The court found that any time that elapsed allowed the accused to be aware of his actions, without announcing the time required before the murder. Kansas had a similarly confusing definition of "premeditation," and the state legislature sought to correct the confusion. Kansas currently needs time between planning and time, but no specifics are needed for the passage of time. Likewise, juries may still be confused about what constitutes proper promotion (Ewing, 2018).

New York State only charges a defendant with first-degree murder if the defendant kills a police officer, kills a court witness, or kills through terrorist acts. Iowa uses one or the other method. In New York State, a judge can use previous trials for first-degree murder if he or she decides to do so. Some state legislators have looked into the use of "pre-mediation" by the courts and have decided to overshadow the courts by law to show any confusion the jury may have with regard to the term. Give, clean up. For example, the Ohio First-degree Murder Statute now uses "prior calculation and design" as a first-degree murder test instead of "prior meditation," although it is not yet clear whether this reduces "prescription" confusion. (Esoimeme, 2020). This article examines premeditated murder and how it is punished in US criminal law.

***Term of premeditated murder and its background in the United States :***

Murder is perhaps the most serious crime. Depending on the circumstances surrounding the murder, the person convicted of the murder may be sentenced to many years in prison, imprisonment without the possibility of parole or death. The exact definition of murder differs from one jurisdiction to another. Under common law, or the law enacted by the courts, murder is the unlawful killing of a person with malice. The term evil did not necessarily mean that the killer had planned or planned the murder, or that he felt bad about the victim. In general, the former ill-wishers referred to a level of marital intent or sensitivity that separated murder from other homicides and implicit severe punishments. The definition of murder has evolved over the centuries. Under most new US law, murder is committed in four ways: (1) Intentional homicide. (2) Murder resulting from intent to inflict serious bodily harm. (3) Murder caused by a severely deprived or reckless heart. And (4) homicide committed by a company while committing, attempting, or flying by certain offenders (Ewing, 2018).

Some jurisdictions still use the term wickedness to define premeditated murder, but many have changed or described the term to describe the murderous state of mind more clearly. California has retained the definition of homicide (187 § [West 1996]). It also defines a statute that defines the term evil. Under section 188 of the California Penal Code, malice is divided into two types: explicit and implicit. Expression of malice "exists when there is an intentional unlawful intent to take the life of another being." Blasphemy by a judge or jury may "indicate an abandoned, malignant heart in the absence of significant provocation, or the circumstances surrounding the murder." (Van Krieken, 2019).

In 2003, the defendant, Thomas Ann. Lakawa was convicted of premeditated murder of his wife. Lakawa confessed to the shooting and murder, but he claimed that due to his reduced mental capacity, he could not commit the necessary evil when committing the murder, so that he could be convicted of first-degree murder. The Massachusetts Supreme Court has found that Massachusetts law allows psychiatric evidence to attack the pre-murder aspect of murder. However, according to the court, the judge's instructions to the jury regarding the definition of murder were sufficient to make the error harmless (Ewing, 2018).

Many states use the California definition of mischief to describe an unintentional homicide as a homicide because the defendant intended to inflict serious bodily harm or acted with extreme recklessness. For example, if an assailant punches a victim in the nose, who only intends to injure the victim's face, the accused may be charged with murder if the victim dies from the beating. Serious bodily harm becomes the equivalent of intent to kill when the victim is the victim. Although the aggressor in such cases did not explicitly intend to kill the victim, he is not accused of assault but of murder. To understand this, consider the usefulness of the option: When a person dies at the hands of an aggressor, they do not have a public conscience to prevent a murder charge because the aggressor only intended to inflict serious bodily harm. Murders related to severe recklessness on the part of the accused cause public outrage. In 1989, the accused, Landing Dillinger, was found guilty of murdering his two-year-old stepmother. The main cause of death of the child was a broken skull due to head trauma. However, other evidence suggests that the child had large amounts of cocaine in his system at the time of death. In addition, his mother found that the defendant had fed the child wine through a baby bottle. According to the California Supreme Court, the death sentence was appropriate because of the defendant's "disregard for life" (Berman, 2019).

A person who inadvertently causes the death of another person may also be charged with murder according to the theory of the deprived heart. Murder is lost the heart refers to murder, which is the result

of unpleasant negligence. For example, suppose a man practices shooting his gun in his backyard, in a suburban area. If a man accidentally shoots and kills someone, he can be charged with murder according to the theory of the deprived heart (Boykin, 2020) if unpleasant negligence is proven.

In 2001, defendant Jimmy Ray Turner was convicted of murdering his wife. The couple had considered divorce, but apparently had reconciled. After the reconciliation, they went to the house of the accused's parents to return a borrowed weapon. As they walked to their parents' house, the defendant, who testified that he did not think the gun had been loaded, showed his wife how he carried the gun on the trigger with his fingers and walked with his arms. His wife abruptly stopped and approached the defendant. He fired the gun and killed his wife. Although he was not charged with premeditated murder, he was charged with aggravated negligence in dealing with a firearm (Van Krieken, 2019).

Most states also have criminal murder laws. According to the doctrine of criminal murder, a person who has committed a crime can be held responsible for the death of an accomplice in the crime. Attempting to commit a crime; or fleeing from crime or trying to crime. For example, if two people rob a bank and one of them shoots and kills a security guard during the robbery, the perpetrator who did not draw the trigger may still be charged with murder (Hildebrand & Culhane, 2015).

The crimes charged with premeditated murder include murder, rape, robbery, burglary, kidnapping, and Arson. Many states add to this list. Mine, for example, adds to the unpleasant sexual assault and escapes legal custody. In general, the responsibility for a criminal murder lies only if the death has an acceptable result from the crime, a criminal act or an escape from the crime. For example, courts have ruled that death is an acceptable outcome of armed robbery (Yaksic, 2020). Most states divide homicide into first and second degree. In such circumstances, any premeditated, unlawful murder committed without justification or excuse is considered secondary murder. This offense is usually punishable by long-term imprisonment or life imprisonment without the possibility of delay. If this murder is committed under an aggravating or special condition, the second degree murder can be committed as a first degree murder, which is a more serious crime than the second degree murder. An aggravating or special circumstance is something that makes the crime particularly heinous or in some way worthy of additional punishment (Ewing, 2018).

California lists about 20 different specific circumstances that can increase homicide from second to first degree, including murders committed for financial gain. Murder with explosives Murder committed to prevent or prevent legal arrest; Murder to complete or attempt to evade legal custody; Murder of a law enforcement officer, prosecutor, judge, or elected, appointed or former government official; a murder that is brutally, cruelly or brutally committed, where the killer was waiting or hiding from the victim. Murder where the victim was tortured by the killer; the killer that the killer used poison. Or murder where the murder occurred while committing, assisting, or fleeing by some of the perpetrators. These crimes include rape, robbery, kidnapping, robbery, fire, train breakdown, sodomy, performing an ugly or ridiculous act on a child under 14, and oral capsules on a child under 14 (Podlogar, 2018).

If a murder is not legally competent to commit first-degree murder, it is charged with second-degree murder. If mitigating factors are involved in the murder, such as sufficient provocation by the victim, or lack of intent or recklessness on the part of the accused, the second-degree murder penalty may be further reduced (Esoimeme, 2020).

The state of Maine has simplified the murder law. In the state of Maine, a person is guilty of murder if he intentionally or knowingly causes the death of another human being, engages in behaviors that show indifference deprived of the value of human life and causes death, or intentionally or knowingly causes another human being. . Suicide by force, coercion or deception. Mine also has a criminal murder law. It does not categorize homicide (Walters, 2019).

Murder penalties vary from state to state, depending on the degree to which they exist. Second-degree murder is usually punishable by more than 20 years in prison. For example, a person convicted of second-degree murder in Minnesota may be sentenced to more than 40 years in prison. Some states, such as California, allow life imprisonment for second-degree murder (Podlogar, 2018).

In some states with first-degree murder charges, the crime is punishable by life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. In other states, homicide is the death penalty. A defendant's criminal history may affect the sentence of a convicted murderer. The longer the criminal history, the longer the sentence for the accused. The criminal history of a murder suspect may even lead to a second-degree murder charge. In California, for example, a homicide suspect with a previous conviction for murder faces a charge of first-degree murder (Michel, 2020).

The strongest defense against murder charges is incitement and self-defense. If the accused acts fully in self-defense, this fact may absolve the accused of all criminal responsibility (Free, 2017). If he does not release the accused from all responsibilities, self-defense can at least reduce the charge of murder to murder. Provocation seldom leads to absolute but may reduce the criminal responsibility of the accused. *For example, suppose a family is tortured by a neighbor for no apparent reason. The neighbor damaged the family property, attacked the children and killed the family dog. If the father kills a neighbor and is accused of murder, the father may argue that the incitement by the victim was so great that if any criminal liability is to be found, he should be held responsible for the premeditated murder (Van Krieken, 2019).*

#### ***Accused of premeditated murder in the United States :***

The charge of premeditated murder is intended only for cases where the accused did not plan the crime or did not intend to kill the victim because of his actions. A prime example of a fatal car accident, especially when the victim is a pedestrian (Fairbairn, 2019). Sentences for murder convicts vary largely depending on state law and the circumstances of the event. These sentences are usually less than the sentence imposed for second-degree murder (Leaf, 2018). Murder is not justified at all, because it is not a crime. Instead, living in a situation where murder is essential is the only means of preventing the murder of one person or protecting another. Because the murder was justifiable, the perpetrator would not be criminally liable to death, although civil liability may still exist (for example, the victim's family may have an unpleasant death claim). Fleury-Steiner, 2015). Some states have developed other forms of murder offenses. A common example is criminal murder. In a criminal murder, the person dies while the accused is committing another crime. For example, if several people are fleeing a crime scene in a car and their vehicle collides and kills a pedestrian, everyone in the car may be charged with murder, not just the driver. This is true even if they probably do not intend to kill anyone. State laws are constantly evolving and diverging, so there may be other forms of homicide in the future or there may be in the future, especially in countries that do not follow the model penal code (Hildebrand & Culhane, 2015).

A report released in July 2019 by the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime shows that nearly 464,000 people worldwide were killed in homicides in 2017, a significant number. More than 89,000 people have been killed in armed conflict in the same period. The most common division is between first-degree and second-degree murder. Second-degree murder is generally legal murder, and first-degree murder is a form of aggravation (Lubaszka, 2013). Aggravating factors of first-degree murder depend on competence, but may include a specific intent to kill, pre-trial, or dispute. In some cases, homicides committed through illegal acts, poisoning, or lying in wait are also known as first-degree homicides (Schoenfeld, 2018). Several states in the United States also recognize third-degree homicide, but they differ significantly. For example, Minnesota defines third-degree murder as a prohibited murder, while Florida defines third-degree murder as criminal murder (except where the underlying crimes are specifically mentioned in the definition of first-degree murder). (Chagnon, 2017). In some jurisdictions, premature homicide is also segregated. This crime intentionally and intentionally causes the death of another human being (also known as murder) after considering the logic of the time or method of doing so, in order to increase the probability of success, or to escape discovery or apprehension. State law in the United States differs in terms of "premeditation" (Santos & Testa, 2018). In some states, the default may be interpreted as occurring just seconds before the murder. Premature homicide is one of the most serious forms of premeditated murder and is more severe than homicide or other types of homicide, often punishable by life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or the death penalty in some countries (Michel, 2020).

*In the United States, federal law (1811 Euro 1111 (a)) punishes unlawful homicide, criminal homicide, and second-degree murder under federal jurisdiction. In Canada, the Penal Code classifies murder as*

*first-degree or second-degree. The former type of homicide is often referred to as premeditated murder, although pre-homicide is not the only way that homicide can be considered primary (Bull, 2020).*

### ***Serial killers versus mass killers:***

In both mass murder and serial murder, the victims die when the offender takes control of his or her life moment by moment by controlling others. But the differences between the two types of offenders go far beyond the similarities. First, gang killers are generally arrested or killed by the police, commit suicide, or report to authorities. Instead, serial killers usually make special efforts to detect in vain. In fact, they may kill for weeks, months, and often years before they are found and stopped - if found at all - (Yaksic, 2020).

In the case of the California Zodiac killer, the killings seemed to have stopped, but one of the perpetrators was never arrested for those crimes. Maybe the perpetrator was in prison for just one murder and never had contact with others, or maybe he was imprisoned for other crimes. Or the zodiac killer may have just decided to stop the murder, or move to a new location and kill under a new method, or method of committing a crime. The killer may even be immobilized due to an accident or illness, or without the story being told (Fleury-Steiner, 2015). There is now speculation that the zodiac killer is taking over the victims of the New York area. The Zodiac case is just one example of unsolved serial killings, many of which are never solved. Second, although both types of killers cause fear and anxiety in society, the response to mass murder will be much more focused and locally limited than serial killings. To the People generally understand a mass murderer as someone who suffers from mental illness. This immediately creates a "they" / "us" dichotomy in which "they" are different from "us" due to mental problems. We can somehow accept the fact that some people sometimes go "crazy" and start shooting at others (Langert, 2019). When we realize that there are people who are not considered insane or crazy but enjoy killing others for "fun", this actually gives a new meaning to the word "stranger" (Leaf, 2018). Although the mass murderer is seen as a pornographic ghost, a product of a stressful environment that now and then just "explodes" (but elsewhere, of course), the serial killer is seen just as badly. And it has more ability. Create fear. Third, the mass murderer kills people all at once, while the serial killer individualizes his murders. The serial killer continues to injure and kill victims, while the mass killer makes his final statements about life through the mediation of sudden and final violence (Mullen, 2019). Rarely if we have heard of a mass murderer who gives a chance to commit a mass murder or become a serial killer. Likewise, we can seldom hear of a serial killer committing mass murder (Gruenewald, 2019).

The mass murderer and the serial killer differ in quantity and quality, and disagreements about their characteristics continue precisely with the types of mass and serial offenders that have apparently emerged in recent years (Michel, 2020). Perhaps the only major obstacle to understanding serial homicide today is the disagreement between researchers and law enforcement over how to define the phenomenon. In serial killers, killing may continue for weeks, months, and often years before they are found and stopped - if found at all. In the case of the California Zodiac killer, the killings seemed to have stopped, but one of the perpetrators was never arrested for those crimes. The Violent Crime Control Act and the 1994 Enforcement Act represent the reciprocal product of six years of hard work. It is the largest crime bill in the country's history, providing \$ 9.7 billion for prisons and \$ 6.1 billion for prevention programs for 100,000 new police officers, designed with the significant help of experienced police officers. The law also dramatically expands the government's ability to deal with problems caused by criminal aliens (Hildebrand & Culhane, 2015).

### ***Intentional homicide laws in the United States:***

In the United States, the law of murder is judicially different. In most US jurisdictions, there is a hierarchy of acts, collectively known as homicides, of which first-degree murder and homicide are the most serious crimes. This is followed by second-degree murder, and then voluntary murder and involuntary manslaughter, which are not very serious, and finally ending a justifiable murder, which is

not a crime. However, since there are at least 52 relevant jurisdictions, each with its own criminal code, this is a significant simplification. Punishment also varies depending on the specific murder charge (Henry, 2018). "Life imprisonment" is a common punishment for first-degree murder, but its meaning is very different. The death penalty is legal in 32 states and in the federal civilian and military legal systems. The United States is unusual in executing the death penalty, while 34 countries have been executed since the death penalty was reinstated in 1976. Execution methods have varied, but the most common method since 1976 has been lethal injection (Esoimeme, 2020). In 2014, a total of 35 people were executed and 3,002 were on death row. The Federal Victims of Violence Act, enacted in 2004 and codified in the United States 1841, allows the fetus to be a victim of crime. Clause (c) of that statute specifically prohibits abortion trials and medical treatments (Leaf, 2018).

*If the murder takes place within the borders of a state, that state has jurisdiction, and likewise, if the crime takes place in the District of Columbia, the DC Supreme Court (equivalent to the district state court) retains jurisdiction. However, in some cases involving US government property or personnel, federal courts may have jurisdiction. However, if the victim is a US-sponsored federal official, ambassador, consul, or other foreign official, either the crime occurred on federal property, or involves crossing state borders, or in a way that significantly affects intergovernmental (Brennan, 2019).*

Trade or national security, the federal government also has jurisdiction over it. If a crime is not committed in any state, federal jurisdiction is exclusive, for example, US Navy ships or US merchant ships in international waters and US military bases around the world. In addition, the murder by a member of the armed forces of a prisoner while in the custody of the United States Armed Forces is contrary to Article 118 of the same Military Justice Act and may result in the conviction of a person by a general court. The people are subject to certain types of jurisdictions within their borders or with foreign countries (Michel, 2020). Murder jurisdiction over murder crimes can be complicated by the principle of "dual sovereignty" that is part of federalism. In cases where a murder involves state or federal jurisdiction, the offender can be convicted and punished separately for each offense without imposing double liability, unless the court believes that the new trial is merely "shameful." Is led by the previous prosecutor. In the United States, there is no law restricting the crime of murder (Langert, 2019).

### ***Degrees of premeditated murder:***

Countries have adopted several different systems for classifying homicides by degree. It divides the most common homicide into two degrees (first degree and second degree homicide), and treats voluntary homicide as a separate crime that does not mean homicide (Fleury-Steiner, 2015).

#### ***First-degree murder:***

Any type of premeditated murder that is premeditated with malicious intent and way of thinking. The murder of Felony, an accusation that may be made against a defendant in a dangerous crime that leads to a crime that leads to death, is typically first-class (Kaplan, 2020).

#### ***Second-degree homicide:***

Any premeditated homicide with a bad background, but not pre-planned or unplanned (Kaplan, 2020).

#### ***Voluntary homicide:***

Sometimes referred to as the crime of aggravated homicide, it is any premeditated homicide that does not involve a premeditated homicide and is committed in a situation that "causes a reasonable person to have emotional or mental disorders." Both murders and second-degree murders take place moment by moment, but the two differ in the extent to which the circumstances surrounding the crime. For example, a fight with a bar that leads to death, usually constitutes second-degree murder. If the same fight with the tapes stems from the discovery of blasphemy, it may be voluntary murder (Pinkney, 2018).

#### ***Unlawful Murder:***

Murder that results from unintentional death but involves an intentional or negligent act that results in death. Death from drunk driving is typically involuntary manslaughter (Leaf, 2018). Note that the "unintentional" element here leads to no intent to die. All three of these crimes are intentional homicides,

while unlawful homicide is "unintentional" because the killer did not intend to die as a result of his intentional acts. If there is an intention, it is only with the intention of creating a violent act that leads to death, but not with the intention of death itself (Michel, 2020).

The model criminal law classifies murders without varying degrees. According to it, murder is any murder that is committed intentionally and knowingly, intentional murder is any kind of murder that has been committed as a result of recklessness, and negligent murder is any murder that is caused by negligence. Some states classify homicides differently. In Pennsylvania, first-degree murder includes extrajudicial killings, second-degree murder involves complicity, and third-degree murder is a crime for all other murders. In New York, first-degree murder involves "special circumstances," such as the murder of a police officer or witness to a crime, multiple murders, or torture-related murders. According to this system, second-degree murder is any murder with another intent. The New York Constitution also calls "murder for hire" a first-degree murder. Texas uses a similar scheme to New York, but calls first-degree murder "capital murder," a term that usually only applies to crimes that deserve the death penalty. Some states, such as Florida, do not distinguish between these two types of homicide (Nurse, 2020).

#### ***Fetal homicide in the United States :***

Under current law, attacking a pregnant woman that results in childbirth is not considered murder. Treatment was limited to criminal punishments for assaulting the mother and torture measures for losing the anticipated economic services of the missing child, for emotional distress, or both. With the enactment of laws protecting the lives of non-natives, the accused could be charged with that offense, but the punishment was often only a fine and a few days in prison. A number of states have enacted "fetal homicide" laws, and embryo kill a fetus. The rules for the stage of development in which the fetus is protected are different (Yaksic, 2020). *In the United States, most violent crimes are covered by state law, not federal law. There are currently 38 "unborn child" states (a term commonly used for a fetus that is a victim of suicide) and 23 of these states apply the principle throughout the prenatal development period. These laws do not apply to legal abortions. Federal and state courts have consistently stated that these laws do not conflict with US Supreme Court rulings on abortion (Langert, 2019).*

In 2004, after several campaigns, Congress passed the Illegal Victims of Violence Act, which specifically penalizes harm to the fetus, with the same penalties as for a similar assault on a person when the assault is a crime. Be federal. Most attacks are subject to state law. For example, Scott Patterson was convicted of murder before the Fetal Murder Act in California for killing an unborn child and his wife (Nurse, 2020).

Of the 38 states that recognize fetal homicide, approximately two-thirds enforce it during the prenatal development period, while one-third protects in the later stages, which vary from state to state. California, for example, commits suicide by killing a fetus, but does not massacre the fetus (about eight weeks ago) by building the California Supreme Court. Some other states do not consider fetal murder to be suicide as long as the fetus survives fast or alive. Fetal homicide laws, as well as ordinary murder sentences, increasingly lead to the trial of pregnant women accused of premeditated or reckless homicide, resulting in miscarriages or stillbirths. According to the National Bar Association of Pregnant Women, South Carolina, one of the first states to pass the Fetal Act, has charged only one man with assaulting a pregnant woman under the Act, while nearly 300 women have been arrested. Have become. Extensive cases include cases related to Rennie Gibbs, Bibi Shui, and Purley Patel (Hildebrand & Culhane, 2015).

#### ***Punishment guidelines in various US states :***

##### ***Arizona :***

In Arizona, a person is charged with murder if the offender knowingly and intentionally causes the death of a person or unborn child. Murder must be predetermined. In the state of Arizona, if someone is found guilty of first-degree murder, they are more likely to receive the death penalty (Pinkney, 2018).

##### ***California :***

If a person is convicted of murder in California, that person may face life imprisonment without parole or the death penalty (Kaplan, 2020).

A person convicted of first-degree murder will face up to 25 years in prison, so he or she must serve at least 25 years to be eligible for probation. If the murder was committed because of the victim's race, religion, or gender, the defendant is sentenced to life imprisonment without probability (Langert, 2019).

A person convicted of second-degree murder in California will face up to 15 years in prison, so he or she must serve at least 15 years in prison before being eligible (Pinkney, 2018).

Penalties increase if the victim was the murder of a peace officer, or was shot dead. If used with a weapon during murder, the punishment will include life imprisonment for another 10, 20 or 25 years. The convicts also go on strike for their criminal case and receive fines of up to \$ 10,000. They are also forced to pay compensation to victims and are no longer allowed to bear arms (Nurse, 2020).

### ***Florida:***

In Florida, the culprit is a first-degree murder perpetrator of a premeditated plot to kill a human. The person is also guilty of first-degree murder, which results in the death of any person who commits a heinous crime, regardless of the actual intent or premise. This is called criminal murder. This offense is classified as a capital offense, so if convicted, the offender is likely to receive the death penalty (Pinkney, 2018).

### ***Hawaii:***

The state of Hawaii does not have the death penalty. If they are found guilty, the maximum penalty is life imprisonment without temporary possibility. ***First-degree murder involves one or more specific elements (Hildebrand & Culhane, 2015):***

- *A public security official, such as a police officer, firefighter, or paramedic / EMT*
- *The judge or prosecutor was killed (in connection with the relevant duties)*
- *A witness was killed in a criminal case (in connection with a witness)*
- *Murder committed for a mercenary (charged with both the killer and the person who paid the killer)*
- *Murder committed by a prisoner*
- *Murder of an organized crime perpetrator (refer to Ricoh)*

### ***Louisiana:***

Louisiana stated that murder is a third degree murder. There are other special instructions, for example, killing a police officer or firefighter is a first-degree automatic charge, and intent to kill more than one person is automatically a first-degree charge. In Louisiana, convicted murderers can face life in prison or receive the death penalty (Yaksic, 2020).

### ***Michigan:***

In Michigan, a person who commits first-degree murder is found guilty of poisoning, lying in wait, or any other premeditated, intentional, or premeditated murder. In Michigan, the best punishment is life imprisonment (Fleury-Steiner, 2015).

### ***Nevada:***

In Nevada, first-degree murder is the unlawful killing of a malevolent person, previously expressed or implied. If a serial killer is found guilty of aggravated circumstances, such as killing someone by torture or killing a stranger for no apparent reason, then the government can seek the death penalty or the death penalty without leave (Michel, 2020).

### ***Washington:***

In Washington State, a person may be convicted of first-degree murder if there is a premeditated intent to kill another person. Murder is the number one crime in Washington State. If a person is convicted of first-degree murder, he or she will receive no less than life imprisonment (Hildebrand & Culhane, 2015).



If he commits first-degree murder and has an aggravated situation, the offender can commit first-degree aggravated murder, for example if he kills a public security officer such as a police officer, firefighter or paramedic. In this case, he can receive the death penalty. However, in October 2018, the Washington State Supreme Court ruled that the death penalty could no longer be used as a punishment for any crime (Pinkney, 2018).

No state in any state has the absolute and unlimited right to execute its most vicious criminals, even if the majority of the inhabitants of a state grant this right to the state. Of course, people are killed in all states, even in states where there is no death penalty. The police are armed (governments are arming them), and people are killed in clashes with them and during shootings. At the same time, most people are generally positive about the death penalty, and recent surveys show that about 60 to 65 percent of them are in favor of the death penalty. Opponents, on the other hand, believe that the government should not have the right to kill people in any case. The point that activists opposed to the death penalty generally overlook is that we are all ultimately doomed to death, and in many cases we become aware of it in advance, and in the process we experience deep suffering and emotional grief.

This is especially true for those who are aware of their incurable disease. It seems socially acceptable for doctors to sentence people to death without committing a crime, but it is unacceptable for a jury to issue a death sentence for a premeditated murder defendant after legal proceedings. . Another fallacy raised by opponents of the death penalty is that if the death penalty is abolished, the prisoner will be released and resume his or her daily life. This is certainly not a valid argument - such criminals often and generally spend the rest of their lives behind bars. It is safe to say that state governments use the death penalty not as a deterrent but only as a punishment. In most states, executions are very rare, and only a small number of murderers (approximately one and a half percent) are sentenced to death.

On the other hand, many opponents of the death penalty see "life imprisonment without parole" as a viable alternative to the execution of the most heinous criminals. Polls show that "life imprisonment without parole" is widely supported by those who agree with the death penalty in the absence of such a sentence. If "life imprisonment without parole" is properly implemented, it means that convicts, most of whom are young, will have to live behind bars for many years without any hope (the average age of those arrested for murder is 29). And often their friends and acquaintances soon forget about them and are thus excluded from society altogether. In other words, we sentence people to life imprisonment with gradual death, except that prison staff and jurors no longer have to be involved in executions. It is also argued that the execution of this sentence would be an easy solution for the members of the jury, because in this case they do not have to suffer from a guilty conscience and blame themselves for the death sentence and possible execution of the convicted person. It is also clear that many prisoners are sentenced to "life imprisonment without parole" who have not been sentenced to death from the beginning.

### ***Conclusion:***

Intentional homicide is an act that kills another human being. A murder only requires a voluntary act by another person that results in death, so a murder may be the result of accidental, reckless, or negligent action, even if it is not intended to cause harm. Depending on the circumstances of the death, murders can interfere with many legal categories, including murder, murder, justifiable murder, murder in war (or following the laws of war or as a war crime), euthanasia, and Divided the death penalty. These types of murders are usually treated very differently in human societies. Some of them are considered a crime, while others are authorized or even ordered by the legal system. Murder is the most serious crime that can be charged following a murder. In many jurisdictions, murder may be punishable by life imprisonment or even the death penalty. Although the categories of murder can vary according to jurisdiction, murder charges fall into two broad categories: First-degree murder: Intentional, unlawful, intentional murder of another person. Second-degree murder: Intentional, unlawful murder of another person, but without any presuppositions.

In the United States Maritime and Territorial Jurisdiction, anyone who commits first-degree premeditated murder will be sentenced to death or life imprisonment. Anyone who commits second-degree premeditated murder must be sentenced to long or life in prison. Murder of first degree is the most

serious case of murder charges and applies to premeditated murder (or pre-murder). It requires malice (evil intent) and anticipation (planning). These cases are usually among the most heinous crimes, and similarly, they are sentenced to the most severe punishments, which include life imprisonment or the death penalty. Second-degree murder, on the other hand, usually occurs in cases where the murder was premeditated but not predetermined. These are often referred to as "salt crimes." A common example is a jealous husband who kills in anger and kills his wife and lover when he finds them in bed. Some US states also consider unintentional and reckless behavior that results in the death of another person to be a minor murder. This applies in a situation where the actions of one person were so hopeless and reckless that the death of another person was almost certain, even if the murder was not intentional. Second-degree murder is also very serious, and in most cases the accused can spend decades in prison, although a death sentence is not possible in these cases.

In some jurisdictions, a homicide that results from a dangerous crime may constitute homicide, regardless of the actor's intent to commit the murder. In the United States, this is known as criminal murder law. In simpler terms, in the case of murder, a person who commits a crime can be blamed for the murder if someone dies as a result of the crime, including the victim, the leader, or an accomplice. Intention - or lack thereof - to kill, even when the death is the result of the actions of an accused or a third party who is committing a crime. Criminal murder takes many forms, including accidental murder or homicide. Criminal murder is divided into two broad categories, premeditated murder and unintentional murder, based on the state of mind and intent of the person who committed the murder. This article examines the commission of premeditated murder and how it is punished in US criminal law.

## References

1. Yaksic, E. (2020), "Evaluating the use of data-based offender profiling by researchers, practitioners and investigative journalists to address unresolved serial homicides", *Journal of Criminal Psychology*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 123-144.
2. Hildebrand, M.M. and Culhane, S.E. (2015), "Personality characteristics of the female serial murderer", *Journal of Criminal Psychology*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 34-50.
3. Pinkney, C. and Robinson-Edwards, S. (2018), "Gangs, music and the mediatisation of crime: expressions, violations and validations", *Safer Communities*, Vol. 17 No. 2, pp. 103-118.
4. Leaf, M.J. (2018), "Judicial Ethnocentrism versus Expert Witnesses in Asylum Cases", Sarat, A. and Rodriguez, L. (Ed.) *Special Issue: Cultural Expert Witnessing (Studies in Law, Politics, and Society, Vol. 74)*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 49-99.
5. Fleury-Steiner, B., Kaplan, P. and Longazel, J. (2015), "Racist Localisms and the Enduring Cultural Life of America's Death Penalty: Lessons from Maricopa County, Arizona", *Studies in Law, Politics, and Society (Studies in Law, Politics, and Society, Vol. 66)*, Emerald Group Publishing Limited, pp. 63-85.
6. Michel, V. (2020), "Judicial Reform and Legal Opportunity Structure: The Emergence of Strategic Litigation against Femicide in Mexico", Sarat, A. (Ed.) *Studies in Law, Politics, and Society (Studies in Law, Politics, and Society, Vol. 82)*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 27-54.
7. Van Krieken, R. (2019), "Naughty or Bad: Children and Crime", *Victim, Perpetrator, or What Else? (Sociological Studies of Children and Youth, Vol. 25)*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 85-105.
8. Ewing, K.P. (2018), "Murder in Chapel Hill: Muslims, the Media and the Ambivalence of Belonging", Davis, K., Ghorashi, H. and Smets, P. (Ed.) *Contested Belonging: Spaces, Practices, Biographies*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 263-288.
9. Podlogar, M.C., Gai, A.R., Schneider, M., Hagan, C.R. and Joiner, T.E. (2018), "Advancing the prediction and prevention of murder-suicide", *Journal of Aggression, Conflict and Peace Research*, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 223-234.
10. Free, M.D. (2017), "Wrongful Convictions: The African American Experience", *Race, Ethnicity and Law (Sociology of Crime, Law and Deviance, Vol. 22)*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 7-25.

11. Lubaszka, C.K. and Shon, P.C. (2013), "Reconceptualizing the notion of victim selection, risk, and offender behavior in healthcare serial murders", *Journal of Criminal Psychology*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 65-78.
12. Schoenfeld, H., Durso, R.M. and Albrecht, K. (2018), "Maximizing Charges: Overcriminalization and Prosecutorial Practices During the Crime Decline", *After Imprisonment (Studies in Law, Politics, and Society, Vol. 77)*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 145-179.
13. Santos, M.R. and Testa, A. (2018), "Global Trends in Homicide", Deflem, M. (Ed.) *Homicide and Violent Crime (Sociology of Crime, Law and Deviance, Vol. 23)*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 199-222.
14. Bull, M., Carrington, K. and Vitis, L. (2020), "Gender-based Violence: Case Studies from the Global South", Walklate, S., Fitz-Gibbon, K., Maher, J. and McCulloch, J. (Ed.) *The Emerald Handbook of Feminism, Criminology and Social Change (Emerald Studies in Criminology, Feminism and Social Change)*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 373-394.
15. Gruenewald, J., Klein, B.R., Drawve, G., Smith, B.L. and Ratcliff, K. (2019), "Suspicious preoperative activities and law enforcement interdiction of terrorist plots", *Policing: An International Journal*, Vol. 42 No. 1, pp. 89-107.
16. Henry, T.K., Hayes, B.E., Freilich, J.D. and Chermak, S. (2018), "Comparison of honor killings to anti-LGBTQ homicides", *Journal of Aggression, Conflict and Peace Research*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp. 272-282.
17. Esoimeme, E.E. (2020), "Institutionalizing the war against corruption: new approaches to assets tracing and recovery", *Journal of Financial Crime*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 217-230.
18. Nurse, A. (2020), "Justice Under Stress: Scrutiny, Tribunals and Public Inquiries", *The Citizen and the State*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 79-92.
19. Langert, B. (2019), "The Battle of Extremism: McCruelty", *The Battle to Do Good*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 61-77.
20. Walters, G.D. (2019), "The crimes of first-time offenders: same or different from the crimes of habitual criminals?", *Journal of Criminal Psychology*, Vol. 10 No. 1, pp. 1-15.
21. Mullen, R., Arbiter, N., Plepler, C.R. and Bond, D.J. (2019), "In-prison therapeutic communities in California", *Therapeutic Communities: The International Journal of Therapeutic Communities*, Vol. 40 No. 3/4, pp. 142-158.
22. Kaplan, P. (2020), "Doomed Antiheros: Intimate Killers and Home-grown Jihadis", Polizzi, D. (Ed.) *Jack Katz*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 73-90.
23. Berman, J. (2019), "The Landscape Of Depression": William Styron and Darkness Visible", *Mad Muse: The Mental Illness Memoir in a Writer's Life and Work*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 33-80.
24. Boykin, CM, Brown, ND, Carter, JT, Dukes, K., Green, DJ, Harrison, T., Hebl, M., McCleary-Gaddy, A., Membere, A., McJunkins, CA, Simmons, C., Singletary Walker, S., Smith, AN and Williams, A.D. (2020), "Anti-racist actions and accountability: not more empty promises", *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print.
25. Fairbairn, J., Sutton, D., Dawson, M. and Jaffe, P. (2019), "Putting Definitions to Work: Reflections from the Canadian Domestic Homicide Prevention Initiative with Vulnerable Populations", *Victim, Perpetrator, or What Else? (Sociological Studies of Children and Youth, Vol. 25)*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 67-82.
26. Chagnon, N.J. (2017), "Racialized Culpability: Victim Blaming and State Violence", *Race, Ethnicity and Law (Sociology of Crime, Law and Deviance, Vol. 22)*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 199-219.
27. Michel, V. (2020), "Judicial Reform and Legal Opportunity Structure: The Emergence of Strategic Litigation against Femicide in Mexico", Sarat, A. (Ed.) *Studies in Law, Politics, and Society (Studies in Law, Politics, and Society, Vol. 82)*, Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 27-54.

28. Brennan, N. (2019), "Gender Ideologies, Social Realities and New Technologies in Recent Latin American 'Abduction' Horror", Holland, S., Shail, R. and Gerrard, S. (Ed.) *Gender and Contemporary Horror in Film* (Emerald Studies in Popular Culture and Gender), Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 169-185.