

Inclusion Properties of Certain Subclasses of Analytic Functions Involving Mittag-Leffler Function

F. Z. El-Emam

Delta Higher Institute for Engineering and Technology

Mansoura, Egypt.

fatma_elemam@yahoo.com

Abstract

The purpose of the present paper is to introduce certain new subclasses of analytic univalent functions defined by a linear operator involving Mittag-Leffler function and study their inclusion relationships. Some applications involving integral operators are also considered.

Keywords. Analytic functions, Hadamard product, differential subordination, Mittag-Leffler function, integral operator.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Introduction

Let \mathbf{A} denote the class of functions of the form:

$$\mathbf{f}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in $\mathbf{U} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

For $0 \leq \eta, \xi < 1$, let $\mathbf{S}(\eta)$, $\mathbf{K}(\eta)$, $\mathbf{C}(\eta, \xi)$ and $\mathbf{C}(\eta, \xi)$ be the subclasses of \mathbf{A} consisting of all analytic functions which are, respectively, starlike of order η , convex of order η , close-to-convex functions of order ξ and type η and quasiconvex functions of order ξ and type η .

Let \mathcal{S} be the class of analytic and univalent functions ϕ for which $\phi(\mathbf{U})$ is convex with $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{\phi(z)\} > 0$, $z \in \mathbf{U}$.

For $0 \leq \eta, \xi < 1$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}$, $z \in \mathbf{U}$ (cf. [3] and [11]), let

$$\mathbf{S}(\eta; \phi) = \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{A} : \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{z \mathbf{f}'(z)}{\mathbf{f}(z)} - \eta \right) \prec \phi(z) \right\},$$

$$\mathbf{K}(\eta; \phi) = \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{A} : \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(1 + \frac{z \mathbf{f}''(z)}{\mathbf{f}'(z)} - \eta \right) \prec \phi(z) \right\},$$

$$\mathbf{C}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi) = \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{A} : \exists g \in \mathbf{S}(\eta; \phi) \text{ s.t. } \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left(\frac{z \mathbf{f}'(z)}{g(z)} - \xi \right) \prec \psi(z) \right\},$$

and

$$\mathbf{C}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi) = \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{A} : \exists g \in \mathbf{K}(\eta; \phi) \text{ s.t. } \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left(\frac{(z \mathbf{f}'(z))'}{g'(z)} - \xi \right) \prec \psi(z) \right\},$$

where \prec denotes the subordination. For special choices for the functions ϕ and ψ , we can obtain well-known subclasses of \mathbf{A} . For examples:

$$\mathbf{S}\left(\eta; \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathbf{S}(\eta), \quad \mathbf{K}\left(\eta; \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathbf{K}(\eta),$$

$$\mathbf{C}\left(\eta, \xi; \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathbf{C}(\eta, \xi) \text{ and } \mathbf{C}\left(\eta, \xi; \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathbf{C}(\eta, \xi).$$

The function

$$E_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)}, \quad (z \in \mathbf{C}, \text{Re}(\alpha) > 0).$$

was introduced by Mittag-Leffler [15, 16] and is known as the Mittag-Leffler function.

Srivastava and Tomovski [17] generalized this function by $E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(z)$, where

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{n\delta} z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)n!} \quad (z \in \mathbf{U}), \tag{1.2}$$

$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{C}; \text{Re}(\alpha) > \max\{0, \text{Re}(\delta) - 1\}; \text{Re}(\delta) > 0)$,

and proved that it is an entire function in the complex z-plane, where

$$(\gamma)_{\sigma} = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + n)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \sigma = 0 \\ \gamma(\gamma + 1)\dots(\gamma + \sigma - 1) & \sigma \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\} \end{cases}$$

Several properties of Mittag-Leffler function and its generalization can be found e.g. in ([1], [5]-[8], [12], [13], [15]-[17]).

Attiya [1] under the same conditions above defined the function $Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(z)$

by

$$Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{(\gamma)_{\delta}} \left(E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(z) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \right)$$

$$= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\delta n + \gamma)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\delta + \gamma)\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)n!} z^n. \tag{1.3}$$

Corresponding to a function $Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(z)$ defined by (1.3), we introduce a function $Q_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(z)$ given by

$$Q_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(z) * Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} \quad (\lambda > -1) \tag{1.4}$$

and define the linear operator $H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\mathbf{f})(z) : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z) &= Q_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(z) * \mathbf{f}(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n \Gamma(\lambda+n)\Gamma(\delta + \gamma)\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)\Gamma(\delta n + \gamma)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} a_n z^n \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

($\lambda > -1$; $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{C}$; $\text{Re}(\alpha) > \max\{0, \text{Re}(\delta) - 1\}$; $\text{Re}(\delta) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(\alpha) = 0$ when $\text{Re}(\delta) = 1$ with $\beta \neq 0$).

We note that $H_{0, 0, \beta}^{1, 1} \mathbf{f}(z) = \mathbf{f}(z)$.

It is easily verified from (1.5) that

$$\delta z (H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z))' = (\gamma + \delta) H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z) - \gamma H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z), \tag{1.6}$$

$$z (H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z))' = (\lambda + 1) H_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z) - \lambda H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z), \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$\alpha z (H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z))' = (\alpha + \beta) H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z) - \beta H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z). \tag{1.8}$$

For $\phi, \psi \in S$, $\lambda > -1$, $0 \leq \eta, \gamma < 1$ and $H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z)$, let

$$S_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) = \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{A} : H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z) \in S(\eta; \phi) \right\};$$

$$K_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) = \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{A} : H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z) \in K(\eta; \phi) \right\};$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi) = \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathbf{C}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi) \right\};$$

and

$$\mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi) = \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathbf{C}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi) \right\}.$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \Leftrightarrow z \mathbf{f}'(z) \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$\mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \Leftrightarrow z \mathbf{f}'(z) \in \mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi). \tag{1.10}$$

In particular, set

$$\mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}\left(\eta; \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}\right) = \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B) \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$$

and

$$\mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}\left(\eta; \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}\right) = \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B) \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1).$$

Inclusion properties involving the operator $\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z)$

The following lemmas will be required in our investigation.

Lemma 1 [4]. Let φ be convex univalent in \mathbf{U} with $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $\text{Re}\{\kappa\varphi(z) + \nu\} > 0$ ($\kappa, \nu \in \mathbf{C}$). If p is analytic in \mathbf{U} with $p(0) = 1$, then

$$p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{\kappa p(z) + \nu} \prec \varphi(z) \Rightarrow p(z) \prec \varphi(z)$$

Lemma 2 [14]. Let φ be convex univalent in \mathbf{U} and ω be analytic in \mathbf{U} with $\text{Re}\{\omega(z)\} \geq 0$. If p is analytic in \mathbf{U} and $p(0) = \varphi(0)$, then

$$p(z) + \omega(z)z p'(z) \prec \varphi(z) \Rightarrow p(z) \prec \varphi(z)$$

Theorem 1. Let $\text{Re}\{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\phi \in S$. Then

$$\mathbf{S}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \subset \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \subset \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, \delta}(\eta; \phi).$$

Proof: Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$ and

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z))'}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z)} - \eta \right). \tag{2.1}$$

Applying (1.7) in (2.1), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z))'}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z)} - \eta \right) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(1-\eta)p(z) + \lambda + \eta}. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $\lambda > 0$ and $\phi \in S$, we see that

$$\operatorname{Re}\{(1-\eta)\phi(z) + \lambda + \eta\} > 0.$$

Applying Lemma 1 to (2.2), it follows that $p \prec \phi$, that is, $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$.

Using arguments similar to those detailed above with (1.6), we can prove the second part.

Theorem 2. Let $\operatorname{Re}\{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\phi \in S$. Then

$$\mathbf{K}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \subset \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \subset \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, \delta}(\eta; \phi).$$

Proof: Applying (1.9) and Theorem 1, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathbf{K}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) &\Leftrightarrow z \mathbf{f}'(z) \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \\ \Rightarrow z \mathbf{f}'(z) \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) &\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi), \\ \mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) &\Leftrightarrow z \mathbf{f}'(z) \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \\ \Rightarrow z \mathbf{f}'(z) \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, \delta}(\eta; \phi) &\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, \delta}(\eta; \phi), \end{aligned}$$

which evidently proves Theorem 2.

Taking $\phi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$; $z \in \mathbf{U}$), in Theorems 1 and 2, we have

Corollary 1. Let $\operatorname{Re}\{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\}$, $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$\mathbf{S}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B) \subset \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B) \subset \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, \delta}(\eta; A, B),$$

and

$$\mathbf{K}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B) \subset \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B) \subset \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, \delta}(\eta; A, B).$$

Theorem 3. Let $\text{Re}\{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\phi, \psi \in S$. Then

$$\mathbf{C}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi).$$

Proof: To prove the first inclusion, let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{C}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi)$. Then, from the definition of $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi)$, $\exists g \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{1-\xi} \left(\frac{z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z))'}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} g(z)} - \xi \right) \prec \psi(z).$$

Now, let

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left(\frac{z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z))'}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} g(z)} - \xi \right), \tag{2.3} \text{ using}$$

(1.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\xi)z p'(z) \mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} g(z) + [(1-\xi)p(z) + \xi]z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} g(z))' \\ = (\lambda+1)z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z))' - \lambda z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z))'. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Since $g \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \subset \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$, by Theorem 1, we set

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} g(z))'}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} g(z)} - \eta \right) \prec \phi(z). \tag{2.5}$$

By using (1.7) again, we obtain

$$(\lambda+1) \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} g(z)}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} g(z)} = (1-\eta)q(z) + \lambda + \eta. \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.4) and (2.6), we get

$$\frac{1}{1-\xi} \left(\frac{z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z))'}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} g(z)} - \xi \right) = p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{(1-\eta)q(z) + \lambda + \eta} \prec \psi(z). \tag{2.7}$$

Since $\lambda > 0$ and $q \prec \phi$, $\text{Re}\{(1-\eta)q(z) + \lambda + \eta\} > 0$. Hence, applying

Lemma 2, we have $p \prec \psi$, so that $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi)$. Similarly the second inclusion can be obtained by using (1.6).

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $\text{Re}\{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\phi, \psi \in S$. Then

$$\mathbf{C}_{\lambda+1, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi).$$

By using (1.8), we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5. Let $\text{Re}\{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\phi, \psi \in S$. Then

$$\mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \subset \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi), \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \subset \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi), \tag{2.8}$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi), \tag{and}$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi).$$

Taking $\phi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1; z \in \mathbf{U}$), in (2.8), we have

Corollary 2. Let $\text{Re}\{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\}$, $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$\mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B) \subset \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B), \tag{and}$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B) \subset \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B).$$

Inclusion properties involving the integral operator F_{μ}

The generalized Libera integral operator F_{μ} (cf. [2], [9] and [10]) is defined by

$$F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f}) = F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f})(z) = \frac{\mu+1}{z^{\mu}} \int_0^z t^{\mu-1} \mathbf{f}(t) dt \quad (f \in \mathbf{A}; \mu > -1) \tag{3.1}$$

and satisfies

$$z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f})(z))' = (\mu+1)\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z) - \mu\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f})(z). \tag{3.2}$$

Theorem 6. If \mathbf{f} belongs to $\mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$, then $F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f})$ belongs to

$$\mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \quad (\mu \geq 0).$$

Proof: Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$ and set

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f})(z))'}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f})(z)} - \eta \right), \tag{3.3}$$

then p is analytic in \mathbf{U} with $p(0) = 1$. Using (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$(\mu + 1) \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z)}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f})(z)} = (1 - \eta)p(z) + \mu + \eta. \tag{3.4}$$

Taking the logarithmic differentiation on both sides of (3.4) and multiplying by z , we have

$$\frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z))'}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z)} - \eta \right) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(1-\eta)p(z) + \mu + \eta}.$$

Hence, by virtue of Lemma 1, we conclude that $p \prec \phi$, which implies

$$F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi).$$

Theorem 7. If $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$, then $F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$ ($\mu \geq 0$).

Proof: By applying Theorem 6, we have

$$\mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi) \Leftrightarrow z\mathbf{f}'(z) \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$$

$$\Rightarrow F_{\mu}(z\mathbf{f}'(z)) \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow z(F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f})(z))' \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f})(z) \in \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi),$$

which proves Theorem 7.

From Theorems 6 and 7, we have

Corollary 3. If \mathbf{f} belongs to $\mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B)$ (or $\mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B)$), then

$$F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f}) \text{ belongs to } \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B) \text{ (or } \mathbf{K}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; A, B)) \text{ } (\mu \geq 0).$$

Theorem 8. If \mathbf{f} belongs to $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi)$, then $F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f})$ belongs to $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi)$ ($\mu \geq 0$).

Proof: Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi)$, then, $g \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{1-\xi} \left(\frac{z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z))'}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} g(z)} - \xi \right) \prec \psi(z).$$

Thus, we set

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left(\frac{z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f})(z))'}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} F_{\mu}(g)(z)} - \xi \right),$$

where p is analytic in \mathbf{U} with $p(0) = 1$. Since $g \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$, we see

from Theorem 6 that $F_{\mu}(g) \in \mathbf{S}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta; \phi)$. Using (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(1-\xi)p(z) + \xi] \mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} F_{\mu}(g)(z) + \mu \mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f})(z) \\ = (\mu + 1) \mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by a simple calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu + 1) \frac{z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \mathbf{f}(z))'}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} F_{\mu}(g)(z)} \\ = [(1-\xi)p(z) + \xi][(1-\eta)q(z) + \mu + \eta] + (1-\xi)zp'(z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{z(\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} F_{\mu}(g)(z))'}{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} F_{\mu}(g)(z)} - \eta \right).$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{1}{1-\xi} \left(\frac{z(\mathbf{H}\lambda, q, s(\alpha_1)\mathbf{f}(z))'}{\mathbf{H}\lambda, q, s(\alpha_1)g(z)} - \xi \right) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(1-\eta)q(z) + \mu + \eta}.$$

The remaining part of this proof is similar to that of Theorem 3, so we omit it.

Theorem 9. If $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi)$, then

$$F_{\mu}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathbf{C}_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(\eta, \xi; \phi, \psi) \quad (\mu \geq 0).$$

Proof: As in Theorem 7. The proof follows by using Theorem 8.

Remark 1. If we take $\text{Re}(\alpha) = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$ in the above Theorems, we get results that depend on $\mathbf{f}(z)$ instead of $H_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}\mathbf{f}(z)$.

References

[1] A. A. Attiya, Some applications of Mittag-Leffler function in the unit disk, Filomat 30 (2016), no. 7, 2075-2081.
 [2] S.D. Bernardi, Convex and univalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 135 (1996), 429-446.
 [3] J.H. Choi, M. Saigo and H.M. Srivastava, Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 276 (2002), no. 1, 432-445.
 [4] P. Eenigenburg, S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu and M.O. Reade, On a Briot--Bouquet differential subordination, General Inequalities 3 (1983), 339-348.
 [5] M. Garg, P. Manohar and S.L. Kalla, A Mittag-Leffler-type function of two variables. Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 24 (2013), no. 11, 934-944.
 [6] V. Kiryakova, Generalized fractional calculus and applications. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 301. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994.
 [7] V. Kiryakova, Multiple (multiindex) Mittag-Leffler functions and relations to generalized fractional calculus. Higher transcendental functions and their applications, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 118 (2000), no. 1-2, 241-259.
 [8] V. Kiryakova, The multi-index Mittag-Leffler functions as an important class of special functions of fractional calculus, Comput. Math. Appl. 59 (2010), no. 5, 1885-1895.
 [9] R.J. Libera, Some classes of regular univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 16 (1965), 755-658.
 [10] A.E. Livingston, On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 17 (1966), 352-357.
 [11] W. Ma and D. Minda, An internal geometric characterization of strongly starlike functions, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A, 45 (1991), 89-97.
 [12] F. Mainardia and R. Gorenflo, On Mittag-Leffler-type functions in fractional evolution processes. Higher transcendental functions and their applications. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 118 (2000), no. 1-2, 283-299.
 [13] S. S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane, J. Math. Ana. Appl. 65 (1978), 289-305.

- [14] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, Michigan Math. J. 28 (1981), 157-171.
- [15] G. M. Mittag-Leffler, Sur la nouvelle fonction $E(x)$, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 137 (1903), 554-558.
- [16] G.M. Mittag-Leffler, Sur la representation analytique d'une fonction monogene (cinquieme note), Acta Math., 29 (1905), 101-181.
- [17] H.M. Srivastava and Z. Tomovski, Fractional calculus with an integral operator containing a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel, Appl. Math. Comp., 211 (2009), 198-210.